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Abstract

Background An e-contraceptive advice line (contraceptionadvice.GP@hullpct.nhs) was set up by our unit to support contraceptive provision in primary care. This advice line was for general practitioners (GPs) initially and was then extended to certain pharmacists. All queries were to be answered within 24 hours. A similar e-advice line on emergency contraception for patients seeking advice had been successful in the USA and South Africa.

Methods Our aim was to evaluate the contraceptive advice line using standards developed at its inception. A retrospective audit of the queries received by the contraceptive advice line between January and September 2009 was conducted.

Results Sixty-seven queries were received from 30 GPs, nine from practice nurses and none from pharmacists. Some 61% of the queries were answered within 24 hours and 85% were answered within 72 hours; 90% were within the advice line guidelines.

Conclusions The e-mail advice line is a useful, low-cost, well-received support service for GPs. This model could be adopted by other areas wishing to increase contraceptive access in primary care.
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Introduction

The concept of an evidence-based contraceptive e-mail advice line (contraceptionadvice.GP@hullpct.nhs) came from the desire to increase access to contraceptive services by supporting general practitioners (GPs) in delivering contraceptive care within their surgeries. It also supported the achievement of Medical Foundation for AIDS and Sexual Health (MedFASH) aspirational standards for 48-hour access to contraceptive provision.1

The e-mail advice line was designed to be answered in a timely manner for routine queries, thereby reducing frustration from delays with letters and difficulty contacting consultants by phone. In emergencies, immediate telephone support with a senior family planning doctor remained available via secretaries. Our model was based on an existing e-advice line for emergency contraception, although that service is for clients and is little used by service providers.2,3

Project development

Technical support from the primary care trust (PCT) information technology (IT) department was sought to determine the feasibility of having an additional shared e-mail inbox for clinicians who will answer the advice line. This was established on personal computers at work. Access via existing work BlackBerry™ smartphones was not possible however.

The guidelines and protocol for the advice line were set and approved by departmental and PCT clinical governance. A condition for approval was that no patient-specific details were to be given by the clinician requesting advice (for data protection purposes) and that it be made clear this was an advice line, and not a diagnostic or therapeutic service (Figure 1).

Key message points

- Supporting primary care colleagues using current technology to enhance their contraceptive services is feasible.
- The e-mail advice line is a useful, low-cost, well-received support service suitable for general practitioners, and is a model that could be adopted by other areas wishing to increase contraceptive access in primary care.

Resources

As the e-mail advice line inbox was shared, the questions were seen by all three clinicians involved and were answered by the first clinician to access the inbox. However, the sent box could not be shared, and so the given advice was copied to the other two clinicians in case secondary questions arose.

Figure 1 Process for contraception e-mail advice line. GP, general practitioner

GP ensures the query is not for emergency advice (which should continue to be made using immediate telephone support with the senior family planning doctor) or is patient specific

GP e-mails (non-urgent) query to contraceptionadvice.gp@hullpct.nhs.uk

Query must not contain the details of any individual

E-mail picked up by one of the three clinicians who have access to the e-mail account within 24 hours

GP receives e-mail response to request for advice within 24 hours

Referral and reply filed for audit purposes

Audit of service to determine timeliness and issues, which can be addressed through training

If exclusion breached, GP to be informed immediately
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The aim was to provide evidence-based answers with relevant e-links included when possible.

**Implementation**

The guidelines and protocol were reviewed by local GPs who helped design the launch letter (Figure 2) and distribution plan. The development of this e-mail advice line was advertised to National Health Service (NHS) Hull and NHS East Yorkshire GPs by e-mail and through letters to practice managers as a secondary method and for locums. Trainee GPs were informed through the local GP training programme director. The advertisement was sent out at the beginning of January 2009 and the first query was submitted on 23 January 2009. The e-mail helpline guidelines were as follows:

1. This service is not appropriate for emergency situations. Where a GP has an urgent query they should continue to be addressed via consultant mobile (available from the secretaries on Hull 01482 336337/336378).
2. All requests must be anonymous; patients must not be identifiable.
3. This is not a diagnostic or therapeutic service but an advice-only service.
4. Queries should be replied to within 24 hours.
5. The reply could be from one of three senior clinicians.
6. If exclusion is breached, the GP is to be informed immediately.

The service was audited over the period January 2009 to September 2009 against the standards detailed above. There was no benchmark.

The first request for information from a practice nurse was received on 20 February 2009. The decision was made to answer nurse requests rather than inconvenience patients.

In July 2009 the advice line was offered to NHS Hull pharmacists offering emergency contraception, chlamydia treatment and repeat issuing of contraception by patient group direction.

**Results**

During the audit period 67 queries were received, all of which were non-urgent from GP practices. Forty-one (61%) were answered within 24 hours and a further 16 (23%) were answered within 3 days.

Three clinicians answered the queries: Clinician 1 answered the most queries (38 queries; 57%); Clinicians 2 and 3 answered four (6%) and 14 (21%) queries, respectively. Ten (15%) queries were jointly answered by Clinicians 1 and 3, with Clinicians 2 and 3 jointly answering one query.

The majority of the queries (60; 90%) were within the advice line guidelines; only five were outside the topics covered by the guidelines. These queries were about menopause on two occasions, and GUM, gynaecology and equipment on one occasion each. Two other queries were about contraception but the guideline was breached by the request coming from an administrator and a nurse practitioner.

In four cases the e-mails contained patient details.

During the audit period the advice line was accessed by 30 GPs with 53 (79%) queries, nine nurses with 13 (19%) queries and one administrative staff member on behalf of the GP. The highest number of queries from a single GP was eight, and 18 GPs had one query each. Of the 13 queries received from nurses, three queries were from one nurse, two were from two nurses and remaining six queries were from six different nurses.

**Discussion**

Information via e-mail (ceu.members@ggc.scot.nhs.uk) is available from the Clinical Effectiveness Unit (CEU) of the
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Faculty of Sexual and Reproductive Healthcare (FSRH), with a response promised within 5–10 working days. The CEU has a researcher available to co-ordinate answers to queries. Our e-mail advice line was designed for use by local GPs, this later being extended to pharmacists in July 2009 when repeat issuing of hormonal contraception by pharmacists was launched. It is likely that pharmacists did not use the service in the audit period because of this later invitation. A pragmatic approach was adopted for queries received from nurses who accessed the advice line, and their queries were responded to.

Although the advice line guidelines quite clearly stated that only generic discussions (i.e. without patient details) would take place, there were four instances where patient details were included in the queries. These cases were identified and dealt with as per protocol.

This baseline audit showed that we met the standard for replying within 24 hours in only 61% of cases. However, the protocol design did not take into account the fact that two of the three clinicians had access to the inbox only during office hours, which meant that queries received on a weekend could not be answered by these two clinicians until the following Monday. The majority (84%) of queries were answered within 3 days. The system also failed when annual/study leave clashed with sick leave. A solution to this problem would be to allow mobile internet access and to have a fixed rota. Without mobile internet access it may be more realistic to state that queries will be answered within two working days and set rotas for answering the queries. If a clinician is unavailable then robust arrangements should be made with a colleague to cover.

Findings from this audit demonstrate that it is possible to support primary care colleagues using current technology to enhance their contraceptive services. Although the numbers in this audit are small, there is enthusiasm to extend the advice line concept to other related services within the Sexual Health Partnership (e.g. community gynaecology, menopause and erectile dysfunction). There have also been enquiries about patients accessing this service.

Frequently asked questions can be used as prompts for topics for in-house training, for primary care updates and for inclusion on the local website.

The queries received were varied, as illustrated by the following examples:

- Contraception following HELLP syndrome
- Contraception in an under-18-year-old with familial hyperlipidaemia
- Intrauterine device timing in the postnatal period
- Intrauterine system and loss of libido
- How to postpone a period in a woman using Logynon®
- Duration of combined oral contraceptive use to deal with bleeding on Implanon®

Where possible, the answers were evidence-based using FSRH CEU guidance or UK Medical Eligibility for Contraceptive Use (UKMEC). Where the query had previously been dealt with by the CEU, this was indicated on the reply and a PDF attachment included. Alternatively relevant sections of text were cut and pasted into the response sent to the GPs so that they could look up the detailed information if they wished and for future reference.

Currently the service is provided with technical support from the PCT and the clinicians ‘in the building’ between their other routine tasks. If expansion is deemed necessary, or demand for the service increases, specific time will need to be allocated and this will have cost implications.

The e-mail advice line has been well received by users and there has been a lot of positive feedback although no formal audit. Sample comments from users of the e-mail advice line include the following:

- “Many thanks. If only all specialities had such a useful quick, practical system for GP enquiries, we may save the NHS a fortune in inappropriate referrals. If you need a feedback audit for your online advice please forward to me as this is the sort of service we find really useful.”
- “Many thanks for helpful and speedy response. This is an excellent service and well respected by other GPs also.”

Recommendations

The findings from this audit led us to make the following recommendations:

1. Answer queries within two working days.
2. Arrange rotas for answering queries, and if a clinician is unavailable then they should arrange cover.
3. Make a case for funding of mobile internet access.
4. Consider extending the advice line formally to nurse practitioners and to other areas of the partnership (e.g. erectile dysfunction, menopause and community gynaecology).
5. Repeat the audit 6 months after any changes have been implemented.

Conclusions

The e-mail advice line is a low-cost, useful, support service for GP, nurse practitioner and (potentially) pharmacist contraceptive provision in our locality. This model could be adopted by other areas wishing to increase contraceptive access in primary care.
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