# **JOURNAL REVIEW**

# The plight of nuns: hazards of nulliparity

**Britt K**, Short R. *Lancet* online 7 December 2011. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(11)61746-7 [accessed 7 February 2012]

This comment,¹ published in *The Lancet*, has evoked a plethora of response in the popular press and revisits the contentious issue of the Catholic Church and the combined oral contraceptive pill (COCP). It focuses on the increased risks of breast, ovarian and uterine cancers in Catholic nuns, the titular 'hazards of nulliparity'.

It reflects on Fraumeni's work, who reviewed cancer mortality data of nuns in the USA for the period 1900–1954, which showed an increase in mortality in nuns from breast cancer at all ages, and an increase in later life of uterine and ovarian cancer.<sup>2</sup> It then succinctly covers the data on the link between parity and these cancers, before moving to the effect of the COCP on their incidence – reduction in ovarian and endometrial cancer without increasing the

relative risk of breast cancer, but accepts that further evidence is required.

Finally it turns to the religious aspect; the *Humanae Vitae* condemns all contraceptive methods except abstinence, but permits "therapeutic means considered necessary to cure organic disease, even though they have a contraceptive effect". Britt then concludes if the COCP was freely available to nuns, the risk of ovarian and uterine cancer would be reduced.

The press predictably ran with 'Nuns should go on the pill, says Lancet',4 and some Catholic groups were upset, not least with the ill-advised publication on the feast day of the immaculate conception. But Britt's argument has much to recommend it - overall ovarian cancer mortality remains high, largely due to late presentation and lack of a feasible screening programme. Uterine cancers have better survival, but nuns may have less outpatient endometrial sampling obtained due to virgo intacta. Recourse to hysteroscopy may delay diagnosis and so upstage disease. In an aetiologically high-risk group, with potential delays in management, the use of the COCP is worth rational discussion.

### Reviewed by Lucy Whitaker

Specialty Trainee in Obstetrics and Gynaecology, South East of Scotland rotation, Edinburgh, UK

# Competing interests None.

#### Provenance and peer review

Commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

J Fam Plann Reprod Health Care 2012;**38**:116. doi:10.1136/jfprhc-2012-100339

# References

- Britt K, Short R. The plight of nuns: hazards of nulliparity. Lancet online 7 December 2011. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(11)61746-7 [accessed 7 February 2012].
- 2 Fraumeni JF Jr, Lloyd JW, Smith EM, et al. Cancer mortality among nuns: role of marital status in etiology of neoplastic disease in women. J Natl Cancer Inst 1969;42:455–468.
- 3 Pope Paul VI. Humanae Vitae: On the Regulation of Birth. London, UK: Catholic Truth Society, 1968.
- 4 **Beckford M.** Nuns should go on pill, says *Lancet* study. *The Telegraph*, 8 December 2011