Comment on 'Embracing post-fertilisation methods of family planning: a call to action': authors' response

Dr Gordon¹ is uncomfortable with a family planning method that exerts its effect after fertilisation. However, a new study, published after our personal view article was already in press, suggests that many women feel differently.

This study surveyed 1137 women from randomly selected households in five European countries in 2008.² Overall, 41% of the respondents said they would consider using a method

Elizabeth G Raymond, MD, MPH

Senior Medical Associate, Gynuity Health Projects, New York, NY, USA; eraymond@gynuity.org

James Trussell. PhD

Professor of Economics and Public Affairs, Office of Population Research, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA and Visiting Professor, The Hull York Medical School, Hull, UK; trussell@princeton.edu

Kristina Gemzell-Danielsson, MD, PhD

Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Karolinska Institutet, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden; Kristina.Gemzell@ki.se

Competing interests None.

J Fam Plann Reprod Health Care 2014;40:73-74. doi:10.1136/jfprhc-2013-100825

REFERENCES

- 1 Gordon S. Comment on 'Embracing post-fertilisation methods of family planning: a call to action'. J Fam Plann Reprod Health Care 2014;40:73.
- 2 Lopez-Del Burgo C, Mikolajczyk RT, Osorio A, et al. Women's attitudes towards mechanisms of action of birth control methods: a cross-sectional study in five European countries. J Clin Nurs 2013;22:3006-3015.