Terminology in early pregnancy loss: what women hear and what clinicians write

Martin J Cameron, Gillian C Penney

Abstract

Objective The Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists recommends that patient-focused terminology be used in early pregnancy care. The objective of the study was to evaluate whether inappropriate terminology is continuing to be used in Scottish gynaecology services.

Methods A case note review (1259 records) and a patient survey (648 replies) assessed usage of four inappropriate terms ('abortion', 'blighted ovum', 'incompetent cervix' and 'pregnancy failure') in 18 Scottish hospitals providing secondary care to women with early pregnancy loss.

Results Women reported hearing 'abortion' in 4.2% of hospital episodes (95% CI 2.9–6.0), but the term was used in 9.9% (95% CI 8.4–11.7) of hospital records.

Conclusion In order to meet national recommendations on terminology for early pregnancy loss, clinicians should not only say 'miscarriage' but also write it.

J Fam Plann Reprod Health Care 2005; **31**(4): 313–314 (Accepted 1 July 2005)

Key message points

- The Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists has published recommendations for terminology usage in early pregnancy loss care.
- Inappropriate terminology with negative connotations is used in around 10% of case episodes.
- Patients now have access to their case records. In order to meet national recommendations on terminology, clinicians should not only say 'miscarriage' but also write it.

Scottish Programme for Clinical Effectiveness in Reproductive Health (SPCERH), Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Aberdeen Maternity Hospital, Aberdeen, UK Martin J Cameron, MRCOG, DFFP, *Research Fellow* Gillian C Penney, FRCOG, MFFP, *National Co-ordinator*

Correspondence to: Dr Martin J Cameron, Office 66, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Aberdeen Maternity Hospital, Cornhill Road, Aberdeen AB25 2ZD, UK. Tel: +44 (0) 1224 554476. Fax: +44 (0) 1224 550553. E-mail: m.j.cameron@abdn.ac.uk

Introduction

Early pregnancy loss includes the conditions of miscarriage and ectopic pregnancy, which provoke negative emotional responses in significant numbers of women and their families.¹ Unfortunately, early pregnancy loss is common, occurring in 10–20% of clinical pregnancies.² In traditional medical terminology, miscarriage is referred to as 'abortion'. However, patients understand 'abortion' to mean termination of pregnancy. Furthermore, the terms 'blighted ovum', 'pregnancy failure' and 'incompetent cervix' have negative connotations, with the view that these terms are inappropriate being based on professional consensus rather than women's views, and might increase patient distress.^{3,4} The Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists recommends that more patient-focused terms, including 'miscarriage', 'early fetal demise' and 'cervical weakness', should be adopted and that 'pregnancy failure' should not be used.³ Our aim was to assess whether this patient-focused terminology has been adopted into the working practice of Scottish hospitals by measuring how often inappropriate terminology was used in case records and in consultations with women.

Methods

Eighteen Scottish hospitals participated in a broader audit, which has been described elsewhere.⁵ Two audit components assessed terminology usage: a retrospective case note review (1259 records) and a prospective patient survey (648 women).

All women managed for miscarriage (1 February-31 July 2002) or ectopic pregnancy (1 August 2001-31 July 2002) were identified from ward and theatre registers. From an anticipated proportion of 50% for achieving each audit criterion for the broader audit, Epi-Info 2000 software (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA) was used to calculate hospital-specific sample sizes with 95% confidence that a measured proportion would be within 10% of the 'true' value. Within each hospital, a sample of the relevant size was randomly selected for review from all identified cases using SPSS software v.10 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Trained audit assistants extracted data onto standardised proformas. For assessment of terminology, they reviewed 'admission clerking' notes, ultrasound reports, operation notes and discharge letters. Four terms were sought: 'abortion', 'blighted ovum', 'incompetent cervix' and 'pregnancy failure'. ('Abortion' used in the context of termination of pregnancy was considered appropriate.)

Questionnaires were administered at discharge from hospital to women with confirmed early pregnancy loss (<14 weeks' gestation) or with a threatened first-trimester miscarriage during a 4-month period from 1 December 2002. Multicentre research ethics committee approval was granted for this survey. Women were asked whether health professionals had used any of the four terms during their clinical care. Non-responders were considered to have withheld consent to participate. Consequently, no reminders could be sent.

The data were analysed using SPSS software v.10 and Statistics with Confidence Interval Analysis Disk v.2 (BMJ Books, London, UK). Confidence intervals for single proportions were calculated using Wilson's method.

J Fam Plann Reprod Health Care 2005: 31(4)

ARTICLE

Table 1 Case records and patients reporting the listed term		
Inappropriate term	Case note review $(n = 1259)$	Patient survey $(n = 648)$
Abortion Blighted ovum Incompetent cervix Pregnancy failure	125 (9.9%, 8.4–11.7) 65 (5.2%, 4.1–6.5) 2 (0.2%, 0–0.6) 72 (5.7%, 4.6–7.1)	27 (4.2%, 2.9–6.0) 38 (5.9%, 4.3–7.9) 6 (0.9%, 0.4–2.0) 95 (14.7%, 12.1–17.6)

The results are given as number (percentage; 95% CI).

Results

Overall, 942 case notes for miscarriages and 337 for ectopic pregnancies were sought; 934 records for miscarriages and 325 for ectopic pregnancies were obtainable for review representing a combined retrieval rate of 98%. A total of 649 questionnaires were returned from a mailing of 1750, representing a 37% response rate. One questionnaire was excluded from analysis because the woman had presented with hyperemesis and did not fulfil the inclusion criteria. The remaining 648 replies comprised 323 women with pregnancy loss, 307 women with threatened miscarriage (i.e. viable pregnancy at discharge), 15 women who were unsure of their diagnosis at discharge and three women who left the diagnosis question blank.

The results are summarised in Table 1. Generally, women reported low levels of inappropriate terminology usage by health professionals. An exception was the term 'pregnancy failure', heard by approximately 1 in 7 women. Relatively high levels of usage of 'abortion' were found in case records, with 1 in 10 hospital records containing this term.

Discussion

We acknowledge there are limitations to our study. Due to considerations for confidentiality and consent to participate, we were unable to mail questionnaires to women whose case records we reviewed retrospectively, having instead to perform a prospective questionnaire, and consequently cannot make a direct correlation between the two audit tools. In addition, the low response rate to the patient survey introduces potential selection bias, limiting how generalisable these results are to other clinical settings. However, the principal findings from this study are derived from the case note review where there was a very high retrieval rate.

The use in scientific journals of inappropriate terminology for miscarriage has previously been highlighted.^{6,7} These papers suggest that, over the past two decades, there has been a change in terminology used in the European literature. However, we can find no previous study measuring use of inappropriate terminology during routine clinical practice. Use of appropriate terminology may, or may not, reduce women's distress at pregnancy loss;⁸ however, we believe that a commonsense approach of avoiding ambiguous terms such as 'abortion' could not increase this distress. The term 'miscarriage' is generally understood and accepted by members of the public. Patients now have access to their case records. In order to meet national recommendations on terminology for early pregnancy loss, clinicians should not only say miscarriage' but also write it.

Statements on funding and competing interests Funding. SPCERH is funded through NHS Quality Improvement Scotland.

Competing interests. None identified.

References

- Frost M, Condon JT. The psychological sequelae of miscarriage: a critical review of the literature. Aust N Z J Psychiatry 1996; 30: 54-62
- 2 Prendiville WJ. Miscarriage: epidemiological aspects. In: Grudzinskas JG, O'Brien PMS (eds), Problems in Early Pregnancy: Advances in Diagnosis and Management. London, UK: RCOG Press, 1997; Chapter 1, 3–18. Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RCOG). *The*
- 3 Management of Early Pregnancy Loss. London, UK: RCOG Press, 2000
- 4 Scottish Office Department of Health National Medical Advisory Committee. The Management of Early Pregnancy Loss: A Statement of Good Practice. Edinburgh, UK: The Stationery Office, 1996.
- 5 Scottish Programme for Clinical Effectiveness in Reproductive Health (SPCERH). Scottish Audit of the Management of Early Pregnancy Loss. Edinburgh, UK: SPCERH, 2003.
- Chalmers B. Terminology used in early pregnancy loss. Br J Obstet 6 Gynaecol 1992; 99: 357–358.
- Hutchon DJ. Understanding miscarriage or insensitive abortion: time for more defined terminology? *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1998; **179**: 7 397-398
- Freeling P, Gask L. Sticks and stones: changing terminology is no 8 substitute for good consultation skills. BMJ 1998; 317: 1028-1029.

International Travelling Scholarship of the Faculty

The Faculty of Family Planning and Reproductive Health Care has decided to offer a scholarship for those Faculty members who are interested in going abroad to visit international colleagues, services, research or educational establishments in order to learn about some aspect of family planning or reproductive health care. The Faculty will award the International Travelling Scholarship for a maximum of £2000 for five consecutive years. The recipient of the award will be required to give a presentation at a Faculty conference.

The Faculty Officers will consider applications for the award and make a recommendation to Faculty Council. Applications for the scholarship are restricted to members of the Faculty.

Applications should include the following details: country and establishment(s) to be visited, aims of visit, details of visit and benefits, together with a brief curriculum vitae and full contact details.

Enquires about the Scholarship and submissions should be e-mailed to: fulden@ffprhc.org.uk.

Completed applications must be received at the Faculty office by 1 April annually.

Entries should be submitted to: International Travelling Scholarship, Faculty of Family Planning and Reproductive Health Care of the RCOG, 27 Sussex Place, Regent's Park, London NW1 4RG, UK.