OPEN ACCESS

Denial of abortion in legal settings

Caitlin Gerdts,¹ Teresa DePiñeres,² Selma Hajri,³ Jane Harries,⁴
 Altaf Hossain,⁵ Mahesh Puri,⁶ Divya Vohra,⁷ Diana Greene Foster⁸

For numbered affiliations see
 end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Caitlin Gerdts, Advancing
 New Standards in Reproductive
 Health, University of California,
 San Francisco, 1330 Broadway
 St, Suite 1100, Oakland,
 CA 94612, USA;
 gerdts@obgyn.ucsf.edu

Received 10 June 2014

Revised 30 August 2014

Accepted 7 November 2014

Published Online First

15 December 2014

Open Access
 Scan to access more
 free content

ABSTRACT

Background Factors such as poverty, stigma, lack of knowledge about the legal status of abortion, and geographical distance from a provider may prevent women from accessing safe abortion services, even where abortion is legal. Data on the consequences of abortion denial outside of the US, however, are scarce.

Methods In this article we present data from studies among women seeking legal abortion services in four countries (Colombia, Nepal, South Africa and Tunisia) to assess sociodemographic characteristics of legal abortion seekers, as well as the frequency and reasons that women are denied abortion care.

Results The proportion of women denied abortion services and the reasons for which they were denied varied widely by country. In Colombia, 2% of women surveyed did not receive the abortions they were seeking; in South Africa, 45% of women did not receive abortions on the day they were seeking abortion services. In both Tunisia and Nepal, 26% of women were denied their wanted abortions.

Conclusions The denial of legal abortion services may have serious consequences for women's health and wellbeing. Additional evidence on the risk factors for presenting later in pregnancy, predictors of seeking unsafe illegal abortion, and the health consequences of illegal abortion and childbirth after an unwanted pregnancy is needed. Such data would assist the development of programmes and policies aimed at increasing access to and utilisation of safe abortion services where abortion is legal, and harm reduction models for women who are unable to access legal abortion services.

BACKGROUND

The legal status of induced abortion has long been seen as a proxy for ease of access to safe abortion services.¹ The notion that legality equals safety is simplistic; some illegal abortions are safe and even where abortion is legal, many women are unable to access these services.² As misoprostol – an abortifacient that women can use to safely terminate their own pregnancies –

Key message points

- ▶ Legal restrictions on abortion are often the cause of abortion denial.
- ▶ Abortion denial is common, though incidence varies by country.
- ▶ The denial of legal abortion services may have serious consequences for the health and wellbeing of women and their families.

becomes more widely available, women may be able to access safe abortions outside legal systems.³ While evidence is limited, it is likely that factors such as poverty, stigma, geographical distance from a provider and lack of knowledge about the legal status of abortion on the part of women and potential providers, prevent many women from accessing safe abortion services, even where abortion is legal.⁴

Both in contexts where abortion is legally restricted and where it is available, widespread stigma about unwanted pregnancy and abortion lead to substantial bias in data collected about abortion.⁵ The lack of reliable data makes it difficult to gain a broader understanding of abortion safety and access in legal contexts, or to fully understand the role of misoprostol in making abortions safer and more accessible in any context.³ The availability of new data from four countries sheds light on the extent of abortion denial in legal settings and sets the stage for studying the health and socioeconomic consequences of not receiving a wanted abortion.

Legal restrictions on abortion are often the cause of abortion denial. In Nepal, the law permits abortion on request up to a gestational age of 12 weeks. In South Africa, the law allows legal termination of pregnancy on request up until 12 weeks gestation, and for socioeconomic or medical reasons from 13 to 20 weeks. In

CrossMark

To cite: Gerdts C,
 DePiñeres T, Hajri S, et al. *J
 Fam Plann Reprod Health
 Care* 2015;**41**:161–163.

Tunisia, abortion is legal on request during the first 12 weeks of pregnancy. In Colombia, abortion is permitted by law when the pregnancy endangers the life or health of the woman, the pregnancy is the result of rape or incest, or if the fetus has a life-threatening anomaly. While there is no legal gestational limit in Colombia, the largest provider of abortions in the country has a gestational age limit of 15 weeks.⁶

STUDY FINDINGS

We interviewed all women presenting for abortion at two clinics in each of four cities: Katmandu, Nepal; Cape Town, South Africa; Tunis, Tunisia; and Bogota, Colombia over a span of 1–6 weeks between 2012 and 2013. We administered questionnaires and documented each participant's gestational age at the time of the visit, whether she received the abortion she was seeking, and, in the case of denial of services, the reason for denial.

Women seeking abortion ranged in age from a mean of 26.4 years in Colombia to 30.6 years in Tunisia. The mean gestational age ranged from 6.1 weeks in Colombia to 9.9 weeks in South Africa, and the proportion of women presenting for abortions after 12 weeks' gestation ranged from 2% in Colombia to 20% in South Africa (Table 1).

The incidence of abortion denial was overall much higher than we expected and varied significantly by country. In South Africa, 45% of women in our survey did not receive the abortions they sought at the clinic: 20% of all women interviewed were turned away for advanced gestational age, 20% because the clinic did not have the staff to perform their abortions that day, and 5% because of an inability to pay for their abortions. In Tunisia and Nepal, approximately one-quarter of women were denied wanted abortions. In Tunisia, 26% did not receive the abortions they sought; 7% of women were turned away for gestational age reasons, 15% were required by the clinic to undergo laboratory tests not required by law prior to receiving the abortion they requested, and 4% were either required by the

clinic to have an ultrasound scan prior to the procedure or were referred to other providers. In Nepal, 26% of women did not receive the abortions they sought; 14% were turned away for gestational age reasons and 12% were turned away for other reasons including lack of availability of abortion medications at the facility, undetectable pregnancy, and medical contraindications. In Colombia, 2% of women surveyed did not receive the abortions they sought, all due to gestational age restrictions.

The findings in Nepal, South Africa and Tunisia that a large proportion of women seeking abortions are turned away are similar to results of a recent study among women seeking menstrual regulation services in Bangladesh,⁷ where one-quarter of women seeking menstrual regulation services in 2010 were turned away. The study found that health facilities denied services to women because too much time had elapsed since the last missed period or for other medical concerns. However, consistent with our findings in Tunisia where clinics required unnecessary tests, facilities also reported turning women away for reasons that were not legally required or medically necessary, such as being unmarried or not having the husband's consent.

ILLEGAL ABORTIONS

What happens to women who are denied the abortion services they seek? Researchers have hypothesised that in some settings women who are denied abortions because of gestational age limits may go on to seek illegal abortions elsewhere.⁸ In each of the countries some women received referrals to other legal providers. It is, however, unknown whether women were able to pursue those referrals and receive a legal abortion, whether they sought illegal abortions, or whether they continued with their pregnancies.

Evidence suggests that with increased access to misoprostol, women seeking abortions outside legal systems may have a safer alternative to invasive and potentially life-threatening methods of self-termination.³ Data from Latin America indicate that misoprostol has

Table 1 Characteristics of women seeking abortions in four countries

Selected characteristics	Tunisia* (n=85)	South Africa* (n=60)	Colombia† (n=225)	Nepal* (n=311)
Sociodemographic characteristics (mean)				
Age (years)	30.6	28.2	26.4	28.6
Gestational age by ultrasound (weeks)	7.6	9.9	6.1	8.8
Education (%)				
None/primary school	47	8	5	40
Secondary school	39	57	49	40
Beyond secondary school	14	35	46	20
Receipt of abortion (%)				
Received abortion	74	55	98	74
Turned away due to gestational age	7	20	2	14
Turned away for other reasons	19	25	0	12

*Gestational limit 12 weeks.

†Gestational limit 15 weeks.

contributed to a decrease in the mortality and morbidity associated with illegal abortion,⁹ but that it may also be contributing to a higher rate of facility visits for abortion-related complications as women seek services to complete the abortion once it is started with misoprostol.¹⁰ Outcomes associated with misoprostol use for self-induced abortions outside legal systems have been challenging to document,³ and how women access this medication, learn about its applications, and decide when and where to seek care is unknown.

CONCLUSIONS

The denial of legal abortion services may have serious consequences for the health and wellbeing of women and their families.¹¹ Evidence from the Turnaway Study in the USA – a prospective, longitudinal study of women's access to legal abortion and the consequences of being denied an abortion in the USA on women's physical and mental health and socioeconomic wellbeing¹² – has demonstrated substantial negative effects on physical health and socioeconomic outcomes among women denied abortions who carry their pregnancies to term compared to women who received wanted abortions.¹³ Additional evidence on the risk factors for seeking abortion later in pregnancy, predictors of seeking unsafe or illegal abortion, and the health consequences of illegal abortion and of childbirth after an unwanted pregnancy is needed. We are currently conducting in-depth interviews among women in Bangladesh, Colombia, Nepal, South Africa and Tunisia who were denied abortions, to document who has access to legal abortion and who seeks illegal abortion. The study ultimately aims to prospectively measure the health consequences of legal abortion, illegal abortion and childbirth. Such data will provide valuable evidence for the development of programmes and policies to improve access to and utilisation of safe abortion services where abortion is legal, and harm reduction models for women who are unable to access legal abortion services.

Author affiliations

¹Epidemiologist, Advancing New Standards in Reproductive Health, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA

²Senior Technical Advisor/Research Supervisor, Fundación Oriéntame/ESAR, Bogota, Colombia

³Director, Groupe Tawhida Ben Cheikh, Tunis, Tunisia

⁴Director, Women's Health Research Unit, School of Public Health and Family Medicine, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa

⁵Director, Bangladesh Association for the Prevention of Septic Abortion, Dhaka, Bangladesh

⁶Associate Director, Center for Research on Environment Health and Population Activities, Kathmandu, Nepal

⁷Survey Researcher, Mathematica Policy Research, Oakland, CA, USA

⁸Associate Professor, Advancing New Standards in Reproductive Health, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA

Acknowledgements The authors thank Rana Barar, Heather Gould, Alison Swiatlo, Debbie Nguyen and Elisette Weiss.

Funding Funding for these studies was provided by the University of California, San Francisco's Research Allocations Program, and through a crowdfunding campaign hosted on IndieGoGo.

Competing interests None.

Ethics approval Human subjects approval for this research was granted by the UCSF Committee on Human Research (CHR#10-04511), the Nepal Health Research Council in Kathmandu, Nepal, the Human Research Ethics Committee, University of Cape Town, South Africa, Le Comité d'Ethique du Service A du CMNT, in Tunis, Tunisia and the Institutional Review Board at Fundación Oriéntame, in Bogota, Colombia.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

REFERENCES

- 1 Ganatra B, Tuncalp O, Johnston HB, *et al.* From concept to measurement: operationalizing WHO's definition of unsafe abortion. *Bull World Health Organ* 2014;92:155.
- 2 Guttmacher Institute. *Making Abortion Services Accessible in the Wake of Legal Reforms: A Framework and Six Case Studies*. New York, NY: Guttmacher Institute, 2012.
- 3 Hyman A, Blanchard K, Coeytaux F, *et al.* Misoprostol in women's hands: a harm reduction strategy for unsafe abortion. *Contraception* 2013;87:128–130.
- 4 Grimes DA, Benson J, Singh S, *et al.* Unsafe abortion: the preventable pandemic. *Lancet* 2006;368:1908–1919.
- 5 Rasch V, Muhammad H, Urassa E, *et al.* Self-reports of induced abortion: an empathetic setting can improve the quality of data. *Am J Public Health* 2000;90:1141–1144.
- 6 Center for Reproductive Rights. *The World's Abortion Laws 2014*. 2014 <http://worldabortionlaws.com/map/> [accessed 27 August 2014].
- 7 Hossain A, Maddow-Zimet I, Singh S, *et al.* Menstrual regulation, unsafe abortion, and maternal health in Bangladesh. *In Brief*. New York, NY: Guttmacher Institute, 2012;3:1–8.
- 8 Feters T, Vonthanak S, Picardo C, *et al.* Abortion-related complications in Cambodia. *BJOG* 2008;115:957–968.
- 9 Miller S, Lehman T, Campbell M, *et al.* Misoprostol and declining abortion-related morbidity in Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic: a temporal association. *BJOG* 2005;112:1291–1296.
- 10 Prada E, Biddlecom A, Singh S. Induced abortion in Colombia: new estimates and change between 1989 and 2008. *Int Perspect Sex Reprod Health* 2011;27:114–124.
- 11 David HP, Dytrych Z, Matejcek Z. Born unwanted: observations from the Prague Study. *Am Psychol* 2003;58:224–229.
- 12 Rocca C, Kimport K, Gould H, *et al.* Women's emotions one week after receiving or being denied an abortion in the United States. *Perspect Sex Reprod Health* 2013;45:122–131.
- 13 Foster D, Roberts S, Mauldon J. *Socioeconomic Consequences of Abortion Compared to Unwanted Birth*. San Francisco, CA: American Public Health Association, 2012.