Use of the combined oral contraceptive pill by under 16s
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Summary
The General Practice Research Database was used to examine prescribing of the combined oral contraceptive pill for females aged under 16 in England and Wales in 1997. From these data, calculations were made to estimate prevalence for these countries; family planning clinic return data were combined with the general practice estimates to give an overall figure of 4.2 per 100. This extent of use is low considering the amount of sexual activity now occurring. A weak effect of population density on prescribing was found, with higher rates in the more rural areas.
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Key message points
- Prescribing of the combined oral contraceptive pill to girls aged under 16 by general practitioners is low in contrast to the high degree of sexual activity.
- The prescribing rate is higher in more rural areas.

Introduction
There has been a trend of earlier menarche in developed countries during the last century. In the last 40 years, the median age of sexual debut has fallen from 21 years to 13-15 years for women.1 Conception rates in the under 16s have remained relatively stable (8.9 per 1000 women aged 13-15 years in 1997),2 failing to follow marked reductions in all other Western European countries.3 Current rates are higher than in any other Western European country and the Health of the Nation target of 4.8 per 1000 was not achieved. The new target is a little less specific: ‘to set a Health of the Nation target of 4.8 per 1000 was not achieved. The new target is a little less specific: ‘to set a

The National Survey of Sexual Attitudes and Lifestyles had, and the General Household Survey has, a lower age limit of interviewees of 16, so these rich sources of data shed no light on contraceptive use in the under 16s. Use of different contraceptive methods by under 16s attending general practices is poorly researched. There is very little known about use of the combined oral contraceptive pill by such young women.

The aim of the study was to ascertain the level of combined pill prescribing to women aged under 16 within the context of general practice.

Method
The General Practice Research Database (GPRD) was used to identify females aged 13-19 years registered at contributing practices in England and Wales during 1997. We have described the GPRD in detail elsewhere.4 In summary, the GPRD contains the anonymised records of over eight million patients in the UK and has been validated to contain more than 90% of all prescriptions issued.5 Records of patients with data meeting standards defined by the Medicines Control Agency (GPRD Group) as suitable for research for at least 6 months within the study period, were used. Females aged 16-19 years were included so that comparisons could be made with General Household Survey data. Prescriptions for all currently marketed combined pills and the formulation containing ethinyloestradiol/cyproterone acetate were searched for. Only the first prescription was counted. Annual prevalence rates of pill use were calculated for each age. Contributing practices were categorised by population density in a manner preserving confidentiality of the practices.

Results
Table 1 shows the prevalence of combined pill prescribing in the GPRD. Of the 24 620 young women aged 13-15 years, 1.7% received prescriptions. Applying similar proportions to the population of England and Wales (930 028 females aged 13-15)6 gives an estimate of 15 700 females. The equivalent figure for English6 and Welsh (unpublished KT31 returns for Wales) family planning clinics for the 12-month period 1st April 1997 to 31st March 1998 was 23 490. To calculate the estimate of the prevalence of use from both general practice and clinic outlets, it was assumed that women attending GP surgeries and women attending family planning clinics are mutually exclusive. An estimate of the prevalence rate of use from both general practice and clinic outlets is 4.2 per 100. This compares with an estimate of 30 per 100 for the 16-19 year age group calculated in similar fashion to that for the 13-15 age group.

Combined pill prescribing rates by population density category of contributing practice is shown in Table 2. The most densely populated category was major cities, the intermediate category was suburban areas and the least...
Discussion

Only 4.2% of 13-15 year old women received a prescription for the combined pill in 1997, and yet as many as one third of women aged under 16 are sexually active.

The figure of 30% for the annual prevalence of combined pill use in females aged 16-19 years in England and Wales compares with a point prevalence of 22% in Great Britain in the 1995 General Household Survey (personal communication, ONS 1999). Published figures are 17% for 16-17 year olds and 30% for 18-19 year olds.10 One would expect annual prevalence figures to be higher than point prevalence figures; also there will be some inflation of the summed general practice and clinic data due to double counting. Allowing for these two phenomena, the similarity of these two data sources provides some validation for the quality of the electronic data for the under 16 age group.

This estimate of contraceptive uptake is necessarily crude as general practitioner records do not contain information on condom use. The General Household Survey gives us information on the extent of use of the combined pill together with condoms (‘Double Dutch’) which has now become a fairly common practice,11 but only in older teenagers. Also, contraceptive use in teenagers is difficult to measure as it is often very much an on-off phenomenon. Those whose prescriptions were captured in this study would have been unlikely to have continued the pill throughout 1997. Also, the figures from this study will tend to be an underestimate, as some teenagers will be using the contraceptive pill and population density; rural areas were observed to have a higher prevalence of females receiving the pill.

Reasons for this low use probably include: reluctance to attend a medically orientated service outlet,14 risk-taking behaviour,14,15 sporadic sexual activity, worries over safety especially after the 1995 pill scare,16 and confusion among teenagers as to the law relating to under 16s.17

The population density effect on prescribing is weak, but may reflect the closer proximity of the general practitioner’s surgery compared to the family planning clinic in more rural areas. Those living in rural areas are presumably more likely to use general practice services because of easier access. However, this lack of clinic facilities in rural areas may lead to poor outcomes; a study in Wessex showed a positive association between distance to the nearest youth-orientated family planning clinic and chance of conceiving plus that conception ending in a maternity rather than a termination.18 The teenage conception rate is positively correlated with socio-economic deprivation;19 deprivation is more common in urban areas.

Conclusion

The use of the combined pill is low in the under 16s. Low use of effective contraception must partly explain why the UK has the highest teenage birth rate in Western Europe. However, there are many other factors to take into consideration: a lack of ‘openness’ in discussing sex, ignorance about sexual and relationships, poor employment opportunities and poverty. More work is needed on uptake of contraceptive services by young people from different outlets and in areas classified according to a deprivation index.
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Table 1 Prevalence of combined pill prescribing at GP consultations in GPRD contributing practices in England and Wales: females aged 13-19 in 1997

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (Years)</th>
<th>No. of females receiving pill</th>
<th>No. in age group</th>
<th>Annual prevalence per 100</th>
<th>95% Confidence interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8293</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.07 to 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>8312</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.81 to 1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>8015</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.54 to 4.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>8265</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>10.4 to 11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1718</td>
<td>8720</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>18.9 to 20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>2174</td>
<td>8081</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>25.9 to 27.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2183</td>
<td>7345</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>28.7 to 30.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-15</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>24 620</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.53 to 1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-19</td>
<td>6990</td>
<td>32 411</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>21.1 to 22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-19</td>
<td>7406</td>
<td>57 031</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>12.7 to 13.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Somers’ D test for trend p = 0.016

Table 2 Combined pill prescribing rates by population density category of contributing general practice: females aged 13-15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population density (persons/km²)</th>
<th>No. of females receiving combined pill</th>
<th>Base population</th>
<th>Rate per 100</th>
<th>95% Confidence interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;150</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2745</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.51 to 2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150-1999.9</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>15 453</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.62 to 2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 and over</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>21 836</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.34 to 1.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Somers’ D test for trend p = 0.016
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