WEBSITE REVIEWS
IPPF
The International Planned Parenthood Federation (IPPF) maintains a valuable website at http://www.ippp.org. The website reflects the Federation’s ongoing commitment to promoting high standards of reproductive health care worldwide. It also provides medical and up-to-date news resources of more immediate relevance to a UK audience.

IPPF is currently raising the profile of its Quality of Care Programme with a new biannual online newsletter. Heavily supported by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, this programme involves international collaboration in setting and achieving good standards of care in reproductive health worldwide.

The website also gives easy access to IPPF’s excellent medical and technical literature, including the regular Medical Bulletins and Statements from the International Medical Advisory Panel. The IPPF’s invaluable Directory of Hormonal Contraceptives was updated in February 2002 and is now available in a user-friendly, online format. Source: www.IPPF.org

NAPS website
The UK National Association for Premenstrual Syndrome (NAPS) is a medical charity committed to supporting women with premenstrual syndrome (PMS) and their families. It runs a useful website aimed primarily at PMS sufferers. This easily navigated site offers practical lifestyle and dietary advice and a frequently asked questions section to answer users’ medical questions. NAPS also offers professionals help with diagnosis and management of PMS and carries an extensive database of research literature on the topic. Source: www.pms.org.uk

Menopause website
Menopause Matters offers practical, balanced information on the menopause, HRT (and its alternatives) and other issues such as contraception in the perimenopause. The site also features a news and update section with thorough, balanced analysis and interpretation of recent research findings. Source: www.menopausematters.co.uk

Online information on drugs in pregnancy
Among many other useful features, doctors.net now provides online access to the National Teratology Information Service for doctors. This website summarises the available evidence on the effects of any drug and chemical exposures during pregnancy and lactation. Where appropriate, it provides practical advice about what to do next and who to contact for further help. The site is part of the National Poisons Information Service, which is funded by the Department of Health. Source: www.doctors.net.uk

LETTERS
Progestogen-only emergency contraception
Madam
We read with great interest the editorial on progestogen-only emergency contraception (POEC).1 The author refers incorrectly to a July 2000 article on the current estimate of ectopic pregnancy following failed postcoital POEC. In late 2000 we undertook a post-marketing surveillance study of POEC prescription in France in order to investigate the efficacy and safety of this method in ‘real life’ use. Our results, published in July 2001, covered in excess of 2500 POEC prescriptions and included reports of three cases of ectopic pregnancy following POEC failures.2 We addressed the question of a possible association between POEC use and ectopic pregnancy via a two-fold analysis of the data available at that time. First, as an incidence rate within the context of the study results. Second, as an extrapolated incidence based on the number of spontaneously reported cases of ectopic pregnancies after POEC failures relative to the number of POEC units that we knew had been bought during the same period. We concluded at that time that it was unlikely that the incidence of ectopic pregnancy was increased after POEC failure.

A recently published World Health Organization (WHO) multicentre clinical study presented data from an additional 2712 women who had taken levonorgestrel emergency contraception, thus bringing us one step closer to an estimation of incidence in a controlled clinical environment where there is minimal risk of under-reporting.3 In this study, 44 pregnancies were observed (1.6%) of which one was tubal (2.2%); an incidence rate not significantly different from the reported spontaneous incidence of 11–19 per 1000 pregnancies.4 This conclusion is supported by recent data on POEC’s mechanism of action, which seems to be different from the reported spontaneous incidence (2.2%); an incidence rate not significantly different from the reported spontaneous incidence of 11–19 per 1000 pregnancies.4 This conclusion is supported by recent data on POEC’s mechanism of action, which seems to be different from the reported spontaneous incidence (2.2%); an incidence rate not significantly different from the reported spontaneous incidence of 11–19 per 1000 pregnancies.4

Menopause Matters offers practical, balanced information on the menopause, HRT (and its alternatives) and other issues such as contraception in the perimenopause. The site also features a news and update section with thorough, balanced analysis and interpretation of recent research findings. Source: www.menopausematters.co.uk

Online information on drugs in pregnancy
Among many other useful features, doctors.net now provides online access to the National Teratology Information Service for doctors. This website summarises the available evidence on the effects of any drug and chemical exposures during pregnancy and lactation. Where appropriate, it provides practical advice about what to do next and who to contact for further help. The site is part of the National Poisons Information Service, which is funded by the Department of Health. Source: www.doctors.net.uk

How to remove a Chinese IUD
Madam
I recently saw a 21-year-old nulliparous woman in a family planning clinic. She was using an intrauterine device (IUD) for contraception and was seeking its removal as she wished to conceive. The IUD is a popular contraceptive in China with two-thirds of the world’s 106 million IUD users being Chinese.1 The IUD used in China is usually thread-free and it has been argued that this is associated with a lower incidence of PID. However, this does mean that removal may be difficult particularly in a nulliparous woman.

In this case it was possible to pass a hook coil removers into the uterine cavity and with some difficulty the IUD was removed. The patient had a normal anaesthetic intracervical block and dilatation of the cervix to Hegar 3 was necessary. The problem I experienced was that the hook tended to slip over the IUD rather than to grasp it. The IUD when removed was a stainless steel wire, flexible ring.

The difficulties I experienced raised two questions:

• Is there a hook specifically designed for the removal of these ring coils?

• When inserted, is a loading device needed or can the IUD simply be pushed into the uterine cavity through an undilated cervix?

This lady did not speak English; her sister accompanied her for moral support and she spoke a little English. She too has an IUD and is also considering its removal. I should be grateful to hear of other readers’ experiences, hopefully before I am challenged again.

Sue Stillwell, Career Grade Trainee, Family Planning and Sexual Health Services, Saltedge Health Centre, Saltedge, Chesterfield S40 1SX, UK
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