**WEBSITE REVIEWS**

**IPPF reviews**

The International Planned Parenthood Federation (IPPF) maintains a valuable website at www.IPPF.org. The website reflects IPPF's continued commitment to promoting high standards of reproductive health care worldwide. It also provides medical and up-to-date news resources of more immediate relevance to a UK audience. 

IPPF is currently raising the profile of its Quality of Care Programme with a new biannual online newsletter. Heavily supported by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, this programme involves international collaboration in setting and achieving good standards of care in reproductive health worldwide. The website also gives easy access to IPPF's excellent medical and technical literature, including the regular Medical Bulletins and Statements from the International Medical Advisory Panel. The IPPF's invaluable Directory of Hormonal Contraceptives was updated in February 2002 and is now available in a user-friendly, online format.

Source: www.IPPF.org

**NAPS website**

The UK National Association for Premenstrual Syndrome (NAPS) is a medical charity committed to supporting women with premenstrual syndrome (PMS) and their families. It runs a useful website aimed primarily at PMS sufferers. This easily navigated site offers practical lifestyle and dietary advice. A ‘Dear Doctor’ section provides answers to users’ medical questions. NAPS also offers professionals help with diagnosis and management of PMS and carries an extensive database of research literature on the topic.

Source: www.naps.org.uk

**Menopause website**

Menopause Matters offers practical, balanced information on the menopause, HRT (and its alternatives) and other issues such as contraception in the perimenopause. The site also features a news and update section with thorough, balanced analysis and interpretation of recent research findings.

Source: www.menopausematters.co.uk

**Online information on drugs in pregnancy**

Among other useful features, doctors.net now provides online access to the National Teratology Information Service for doctors. This website summarises the available evidence on the effects of any drug and chemical exposures during pregnancy and lactation. Where appropriate, it provides practical advice about what to do next and who to contact for further help. The site is part of the National Poisons Information Service, which is funded by the Department of Health.

Source: www.doctors.net.uk
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**LETTERS**

**Progestogen-only emergency contraception**

Madam

We read with great interest the editorial on progestogen-only emergency contraception (POEC). The author refers incorrectly to a July 2001 article as the first case report of ectopic pregnancy following POEC use. The POEC was for failed postcoital POEC. In late 2000 we undertook a post-marketing surveillance study of POEC prescription in France in order to investigate the efficacy and safety of this method in ‘real life’ use. Our results, published in July 2001, covered in excess of 2500 POEC prescriptions and included reports of three cases of ectopic pregnancy following POEC failures. We addressed the question of a possible association between POEC use and ectopic pregnancy via a two-fold analysis of the data available at that time. First, as an incidence rate within the context of the study results. Second, as an extrapolated incidence based on the number of spontaneously reported cases of ectopic pregnancies after POEC failures relative to the number of POEC units that we knew had been bought during the same period. We concluded at that time that it was unlikely that the incidence of ectopic pregnancy was increased after POEC failure.

A recently published World Health Organization (WHO) multicentre clinical study presented data from an additional 2712 women who had taken levonorgestrel emergency contraception, thus bringing us one step closer to the number of POEC units that we knew had been available at that time. First, as an incidence of three cases of ectopic pregnancy following POEC failure. In results, published in July 2001, covered in excess of 2500 POEC cases. The authors said that their data suggested a possible association between POEC use and ectopic pregnancy, but it was not statistically significant. However, the authors did not report how many women had taken POEC at the time of their study. In our study, we found that the incidence of ectopic pregnancy following POEC failure was 1.6% in clinical trials and 3% in real-world use. This is lower than the incidence of 2.2% that we reported in our study. We agree with the authors that further research is merited to determine whether pregnancies following POEC use are more likely to be ectopic than those occurring in the general population. Nevertheless, it is important to point out that POEC protects against ectopic pregnancy overall by preventing conception. Because POEC is not 100% effective, however, patients and providers should be alert to the symptoms of ectopic pregnancy in the event of a method failure. Our product’s patient information leaflet specifically defines ectopic pregnancy and salpingitis and cautions women who have a history of either one to seek medical advice prior to taking POEC. The summary of product characteristics reminds providers of the importance of performing a pregnancy test in case of suspected failure (menstrual period delayed by more than 5 days or abnormal bleeding at the expected date of the menstrual period). We conclude that the data presented by the authors do not warrant any change in our current recommendations. We shall remain vigilant regarding this issue and will take appropriate measures to communicate any new information as it becomes available.
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**How to remove a Chinese IUD**

Madam

I recently saw a 21-year-old nulliparous woman in a family planning clinic. She was using an intrauterine device (IUD) for contraception and was seeking its removal as she wished to conceive. The IUD is a popular contraceptive in China with two-thirds of the world’s 106 million IUD users being Chinese! The IUD used in China is usually thread-free and it has been argued that this is associated with a lower incidence of PID. However, this does mean that removal may be difficult particularly in a nulliparous woman.

In this case it was possible to pass a hook coil remover into the uterine cavity and with some difficulty the IUD was removed. The hook coil made an anasthesic intracervical block and dilatation of the cervix to Hegar 3 was necessary. The problem I experienced was that the hook tended to slide over the IUD rather than to grasp it. The IUD when removed was a stainless steel wire, flexible ring.

The difficulties I experienced raised two questions: Should there be a hook specifically designed for the removal of these rings? When inserted, is a loading device needed or can the IUD simply be pushed into the uterine cavity through an undilated cervix?

This lady did not speak English; her sister accompanied her for moral support and she spoke a little English. She too has an IUD and is also considering its removal. She would be grateful if POEC’s hear of other readers’ experiences, hopefully before I am challenged again.

Sue Stillwell, Career Grade Trainee, Family Planning and Sexual Health Services, Saltedge Health Centre, Saltedge, Chesterfield S40 1SX, UK
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**Online information on drugs in pregnancy**

Among other useful features, doctors.net now provides online access to the National Teratology Information Service for doctors. This website summarises the available evidence on the effects of any drug and chemical exposures during pregnancy and lactation. Where appropriate, it provides practical advice about what to do next and who to contact for further help. The site is part of the National Poisons Information Service, which is funded by the Department of Health.

Source: www.doctors.net.uk