Oral contraceptives and cancer

Many of this Journal’s older readers will be familiar with the Oxford-Family Planning Association (Oxford-FPA) contraceptive study and have made important contributions to data collection. Accordingly, I was delighted that the Journal Editor had chosen the most recent publication from the study as the subject for a Journal Review. I am also grateful to Dr Mills for taking so much trouble to produce a succinct summary of a complex paper.

There are, however, one or two points about the review to which I would like to draw readers’ attention.

First, I would like to stress that the majority of the women in the study were followed up individually until mid-1994, although individual follow-up for a substantial subgroup of women ceased earlier than this. With regard to cancer registrations and death notifications, all women (save for those who emigrated) were followed up until the end of 2004 using information provided by the National Health Service Central Registers to supplement data collected during the course of individual follow-up.

Second, the Journal Review does not include any confidence intervals for the rate ratios (no doubt in the interests of saving space). This is, perhaps, of concern mainly for invasive cervical cancer, where the Oxford-FPA findings were considerably more unfavourable than has been described in most other studies. As the Oxford-FPA study included only 59 cases of this disease, any one of the six cases in the reference group who never used oral contraceptives), confidence intervals around the rate ratios were wide.

Finally, while the population studied was certainly of higher social class than the general population, it was not “predominantly Social Class I”. The paper only gives the proportion of women from Social Classes I and II combined and this figure was 41%.

These are relatively minor points that do not detract from the substance and conclusions of the careful review prepared by Dr Mills.

Martin Vessey, CBE, FRS
Emeritus Professor of Public Health,
University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
E-mail: martin.vessey@dphpc.ox.ac.uk

References
2 Mills P. Journal review of ‘Oral contraceptive use and satisfaction of changing practice of fitting and call from a doctor in Hong Kong expressing problems. He drew my attention to an article4 that

IUD expulsions

I would like to express my total agreement with Dr Yadava in his letter entitled ‘Increase in IUD expulsions’ published in the January 2007 issue of the Journal.1

It was some years ago that I noted the rod in the T-Safe 380A® intrauterine device was about 1 cm shorter than the introducer tube. Since this discovery, and the feeling that the device seemed to ‘cling’ to the tube while the latter was being removed, I have systematically shortened the tube before insertion in exactly the same way as Dr Yadava described and have explained to others why the reason. I cannot prove that the expulsion rate of these ‘adjusted’ fittings has fallen, but the fitting procedure is a more satisfying experience.

Margaret Curtis, FFPHP
Semi-retired Consultant in Community Family Planning, Aylesbury, UK
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Pressed for time: providing the Standard Days Method and oral contraceptives in India

Recently, we reported in this Journal2 that 31% of pill clients reached 23.20 on average and the number of information items exchanged with SDM clients reached 23.20 on average and with pill clients 21.75. Only 16 specific items from the SDM checklist were addressed by at least 60% of providers. Essential contra indication questions (e.g. Asked whether my periods come more or less when I expect them, 59%; Asked about partner’s willingness to abstain or use protection on fertile days, 48%) and follow-up instructions (e.g. Told me to see the provider if period does not return the day on which the band should reach the dark brown bead, 43%) routinely failed to meet the 60% standard. Important gaps were found in pill counselling as well (e.g. Asked about my blood pressure or measured it, or someone else did, 3%; Told me to return to the clinic right away if I have severe headaches and/or blurry vision, 9%; To return if I have any question or concern, 3%). Nonetheless, the study results suggest that SDM and pills might be successfully offered in less than 10 minutes if counselling were further streamlined and focused. This will require prioritising items in the service delivery protocol and training providers to focus counselling on essential topics. A satisfactory list of 23 essential SDM items can be produced and emphasised in SDM training. If providers, instead of using a more personal selection of items from the extensive SDM protocol, addressed such 23 items in 9 minutes and 41 second consultations, they would cover all of the basic topics of SDM counselling despite the limited session length. Analogous recommendations apply to pill counselling.

The SDM and pill results from our India study suggest that counselling training for providers pressed for time must help them select from the extensive information contained in method delivery protocols, family planning technology tables, or national reproductive health care guidelines a subset of essential items for standard use in interactions with clients.
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Increase in IUD expulsions

Further to the letters of Drs Hawkins and Callander1 and my own,2 I received a telephone call from a doctor in Hong Kong expressing satisfaction of changing practice of fitting and found entirely satisfactory since 1996.

He tried hard to find a manufacturer to adopt the new design and found one in India. He fits 100 intrauterine devices a month with no problems. He drew my attention to an article2 that supports my proposal, the abstract of which is as follows:

Objective: To assess the validity of modifying the technique of inserting the device (IUD) placement to decrease the incidence of incorrect positioning within the uterine cavity. Methods: We used the current applicator in 78 women and an applicator shortened by 1.5 cm in 91 women and examined the uterus by vaginal ultrasonography before and after application in both groups. Results: Six of the 78 and 15 of the 91 women had modified insertions performed, 91.5% correctly placed, while none of the IUDs inserted with the new technique was placed incorrectly. Conclusions: We suggest shortening the applicator or lengthening the push rod to increase the likelihood of proper IUD insertion and thereby enhance performance.
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