Lost IUD penetrating bladder wall

Thanks to Vural and colleagues who reported their interesting case of a misplaced intrauterine device (IUD).1 I would like to mention some points in relation to this:

With the increased uptake of intrauterine methods2 and the majority of uterine perforations said to occur at the time of device insertion (but go undetected),1 another perforation is more frequent than Vural et al.3 suggest.

Uterine perforation is now undiagnosed at the time of IUD insertion procedure, also because patients are asymptomatic despite this complication having occurred. There is currently greater use of analgesia prior to as well as local anaesthetic (including injecting) during IUD insertion procedures before.

To facilitate early diagnosis of uterine perforation, especially in the absence of symptoms, clinicians should have a low threshold for performing, or referring for, pelvic ultrasonography. Instances of difficult insertion, insertion after difficult removal, where uterine sounding measurements are much different from the previous of a patient’s (especially after a previous of a patient’s, (especially after a previous), and go undetected),3 uterine perforation is more frequent than Vural et al.3 suggest.

Similarly, an appointment for laparoscopic sounding measurements is much different from the previous of a patient’s (especially after a previous of a patient’s, (especially after a previous), and go undetected),3 uterine perforation is more frequent than Vural et al.3 suggest.

Most services where IUD fitting procedures take place are not equipped with ultrasound scanners. Where there is local access to ultrasound or a referral has to be made, appointment waiting times tend to cause difficulties to carry out these investigations.

Unfortunately most services where IUD fitting procedures take place are not equipped with ultrasound scanners. Where there is local access to ultrasound or a referral has to be made, appointment waiting times tend to cause difficulties to carry out these investigations.
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Lost IUD penetrating bladder wall

I read with interest the letter about uterine perforation with an intrauterine device (IUD) by Vural and colleagues in the July issue of the Journal.1 The authors state that they believe their case to be the first report of bladder perforation by an IUD. However, they cite a paper that gives details of six such cases reported during the 1970s.2 This is a review by Zakin et al.3 analyses 356 cases of perforation at multiple sites and goes on to propose mechanisms by which perforation occurs. Perforation of the uterus and bladder is the most frequent string symptom but regularly reported complications of intrauterine device insertion.

There are about 70 cases of perforation involving the urinary tract reported in the literature.4 The first report appears to have been of a Lippes loop.4 All other types of device, including the Dalkon Shield,2 copper–IUDs including a Multiload5 and the intrauterine system (IUS)6 and GyneFix,8

Some of these reports mention more than one occurrence. All reports concern perforation that resulted in an IUD located within the urinary tract. Some of these reports, especially those written by urologists, refer to spontaneous migration of the device. Vural et al. think it is a matter of debate how devices end up in the urinary tract. Consensus opinion is, however, that spontaneous migration does not happen; the device is in fact forced through the uterine wall at the time of insertion.5–11 The only exceptions are rare cases of partial perforation mentioned by Zakin et al.3

See Figure 1

Figure 1 Photograph showing the two broken implants removed from our client’s arms

Two cases of broken Implanon®

We thought Journal readers might be interested to hear about two cases of broken Implanon® that we came across in our practice recently.

Between October 2003 and December 2009 we fitted 465 Implanon® and removed 254. We have always reassured clients that the implant cannot break as it is very flexible, and we showed similar cases; however, it seems that the only explanation could be a repetitive bending action that weakens the structure of the rod. We tried bending one of these implants around 200 times and only managed to bend it not break it.

We could find only one published article referring to another case of a broken Implanon.1 In this case and in our two cases there was irregular bleeding. As Pickard and Bacon suggested in their article, the broken implant could result in an inadequate release of the progestogen and thus be responsible for the irregular bleeding. These authors recommended that if a broken implant is suspected of causing irregular bleeding then it should be replaced.

We spoke with a doctor from the pharmaceutical company concerned and were unable to obtain any more information about similar cases; however, it seems that the hypothesis of Pickard and Bacon could be true. We could try to confirm this hypothesis by checking blood levels of etonogestrel, however this is an expensive test.
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