Comment on journal review of ‘Use of depot medroxyprogesterone acetate contraception and incidence of bone fracture’

We thank Dr Curry for an accurate summary1 of our study entitled ‘Use of depot medroxyprogesterone acetate contraception and incidence of bone fracture’.2 Nevertheless, we do not recommend more selective use of depot medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA) on account of fracture risk, as we believe that this recommendation would reduce access to an effective, safe contraceptive without actually reducing fracture risk. As we reported, in those subjects with at least 6 months of pre-DMPA medical history (176 pre-treatment fractures among 41 876 future DMPA users and 1574 fractures after starting DMPA) the incidence rate ratio (IRR) for fracture ‘after’ vs ‘before’ DMPA use was 1.08 [95% confidence interval (CI) 0.92–1.26]. We subsequently expanded that analysis to include up to 2 years of fracture history in the same subpopulation of 41 876 women (582 pre-treatment fractures in 64 737 patient-years; 1574 fractures after starting DMPA), which yielded IRR ‘after’ vs ‘before’ of 1.01 (95% CI 0.92–1.11), supporting our conclusion that DMPA had no meaningful effect on fracture risk in women who chose to use it.

In contrast, considering only the post-treatment follow-up period, our study confirmed the findings of others3–4 that women who are offered and choose to use DMPA do tend to have more fractures than women who are not offered, or decline to use, DMPA. We agree with Dr Curry that reporting bias might play a role, because DMPA patients return to clinic every 3 months rather than annually. Possibly more important, however, is our observation that the incidence of peripheral fractures, most likely resulting from trauma, showed the greatest differential between DMPA users and non-users during follow-up, suggesting that the women to whom physicians tend to recommend DMPA may well experience more trauma than non-users. Several studies5–6 have noted demographic, economic and other sociological differences between self-selected DMPA users and non-users, supporting the possibility that there is a higher underlying risk for trauma in women who choose DMPA.

The effect of DMPA on bone mineral density (BMD) has been clearly demonstrated in numerous studies, but like the effect of pregnancy and lactation on BMD, the BMD decline seen with DMPA use has been shown to be largely reversible and does not appear to cause any increase in fracture risk.
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