Do intrauterine device/intrauterine system users check their threads?
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ABSTRACT

Background Expulsion of an intrauterine device (IUD) occurs in about 1 in 20 women and expulsion may not be noticed by the user. Current guidance recommends that users are instructed to check regularly for their threads. This is the first study to explore the reality of how women feel about checking their threads and the details surrounding how frequently checks are done.

Methods One hundred consecutive IUD users were interviewed regarding their type of device, duration of use, frequency of thread checking, prompts for checking and reasons for not checking.

Results Only 23% checked their threads regularly and 51% had never done so. The most common reason for not checking was forgetting about it.

Conclusions Despite being advised to do so, the majority of users do not check their threads at all and fewer than one-quarter of them check regularly. We propose that advice offered about thread checking should be given less emphasis and suggested more for user reassurance.

INTRODUCTION

The incidence of copper-bearing intrauterine device (Cu-IUD)/levonorgestrel-containing intrauterine system (LNG IUS) expulsion quoted in the literature varies widely, but it is generally considered to occur in 1 in 20 women.1 Expulsion can occur at any time during the 5–10 years of device use, but is said to be most common in the first 3 months after insertion, and often during menstruation.1,2 About 1 in 5 expulsions are not noticed by the device user.3,4 Therefore, approximately 1% of women will experience an unrecognised expulsion while using the IUD for contraception, and be at risk of pregnancy. Until 2004, users were recommended to undergo an annual checkup for their IUD, and one reason for this was so that a health professional could check for the presence of the threads.5 Although annual checkups are no longer advised, the Faculty of Sexual & Reproductive Healthcare (FSRH) recommends that women should be given instruction on how to check for the IUD threads “after each menstruation or at regular intervals. Users should be advised that if they are unable to feel their threads, it may be that the device has been expelled, and alternative contraception should be used until the position of the device is confirmed”.2

Locally we use a proforma to record the details of an IUD insertion, and there is a section to complete concerning advising women to check threads. The advice we give to women is that checking threads is recommended by the FSRH as quoted above, and written information is also provided.

Anecdotally we felt that many women do not check their threads despite having been advised to do so. We designed this study to investigate this scenario further, and to explore women’s reasons for checking their threads or not doing so.

METHODS

One hundred consecutive Cu-IUD/LNG IUS users seen by the first author...
attending a walk-in sexual health clinic in Aneuran Bevan Health Board in 2010 underwent a structured interview regarding checking their IUD threads. All the interviews were carried out in person by the first author, and consent was obtained in each case. The device users were attending clinic for a variety of reasons, which may or may not have been in connection with their IUD/IUS.

Women were asked what type of device they used, how long they had used it, what bleeding patterns they had, how many times they had checked their threads in the last 12 months, who checked them, reasons for not checking, and what prompted them to check. The women were also asked whether they had been told to check their threads, and explored how comfortable the women were with self-examination.

Women using the LNG IUS for non-contraceptive reasons were excluded from the study, as checking for threads would be less relevant for them. Women whose device was not fitted in the authors’ own service were also excluded in order to audit the advice given within this particular service.

RESULTS
The average duration of use was 3 years and 6 months (range 5 months – 17 years). Fifty-five women were LNG IUS users and 45 were Cu-IUD users. The average age of the women in the study was 37 (range 18 – 57) years. As a total of 100 women were interviewed, the number of women also represents the percentage, unless otherwise stated.

Advice on checking
Eighty-four women could remember being told to check their threads, and 80 understood why they should do so.

Frequency of checking
Half the users in the authors’ service had not checked their threads at all, and only about one-fifth checked them with any regularity. In the last 12 months, 51 women had never checked their threads, nine had done it once, 17 women had checked 2–3 times, nine had checked 4–6 times and only 14 women had checked >7 times. Neither age nor duration of IUD use influenced the frequency of thread checks (Tables 1 and 2).

Influences on frequency of checking
Women’s frequency of checking was not influenced by their age, the duration of use of the device or menstrual cycle. Cu-IUD users checked slightly more often than LNG IUS users, although this did not reach statistical significance.

Those women who could not remember being advised to check their threads had checked less often than those who could remember, as would be expected. Thirteen of the 16 women who could not remember this advice had not checked at all, and the other three had only checked once.

Who checks the threads
Of those women who had ever checked their threads, 63% checked themselves, 9% said their partner checked and 28% had been checked at a clinic.

Prompts to check
Gynaecological symptoms (10%) and visits to the clinic for other reasons (16%) were the most frequently mentioned prompts, but many women could not say what prompted them to check.

Reasons for not checking
Of the reasons given, about one-third demonstrated lack of understanding of the reasons for checking or the recommended frequency of checking, one-third appeared to be choosing to forget about it and the final third were not confident about how to feel for the threads. A small number of women knew in other ways that their device was in place, and only 4% of women admitted to being uncomfortable with self-examination and stated that this put them off checking.

Table 3 outlines the reasons women gave for not checking their threads, or not checking them more often. Women may have given more than one reason, so the numbers total more than 100.

DISCUSSION
It has been reported that one-third of IUD users cannot manage to feel their threads at all, and the findings of the present study support this figure.
I don’t know if it fell out
I can tell by my periods
I can feel it anyway
I don’t like to examine myself
I didn’t know what I was feeling for
No one told me why
It is difficult to feel
I didn’t think there was any problem
I assume it is there
I only check when I come to clinic
I wasn’t told how to
I don’t have time

Total reasons

Therefore, if all women followed the currently recommended FSRH advice exactly, then one-third of IUD users would present to their general practitioner or clinic with ‘missing threads’ to investigate. This would have large workload and cost implications, and would be inconvenient for the patients. Studies looking at the outcomes of investigations into missing threads show that even in those patients in whom the clinician is unable to visualise the threads on speculum examination, between 69% and 99% of devices are correctly situated within the uterine cavity on ultrasound examination.7 8

One-third of the reasons given by the IUD users in the present study for not checking their threads were that the women did not know how to check or were unable to feel their threads. This is a similar proportion to those described by Husemeyer 30 years earlier.6 If thread-checking remains a priority, then there may be a case for better written information and active teaching for women on how to feel their threads at the time of the IUD fitting.

Randomised controlled trials using the T380 Cu® IUD report cumulative expulsion rates ranging from 3–6% at 1 year, 5–7% at 2 years, 5% at 3 years and 11% at 10 years.1 There appears to be little difference in expulsion rates between the T380 Cu IUD and the LNG IUS.1 It has been shown that a history of previous IUD expulsion is a risk factor for repeat expulsion,9 and Grimes at al. reported that IUD insertions immediately after abortion had a higher expulsion rate than insertions done after a suitable time interval.10

Apparently 1 in 5 IUD expulsions are not noticed by the user.4 5 Taking an expulsion rate of 5%, this would give a rate for unnoticed expulsions of 1%. Pregnancy rates for the T-Safe 380A® IUD and IUS, respectively, are 1–2% at 5 years and less than 1% at 5 years.10 It has been calculated that fewer than one-third of all these IUD-related pregnancies follow unnoticed expulsion.11 Therefore, taking a failure rate of 1%, perfect concordance with the currently recommended FSRH advice could be expected to reduce pregnancy rates by about 0.33%. However, as the present study shows, women are not following this advice, and so the expected benefit would be considerably less. While any unplanned pregnancy is undesirable, ‘typical use’ failure rates for intrauterine contraception compare favourably with other contraceptive methods, even when advice on checking threads is not followed.

One of the benefits claimed for long-acting reversible contraception (LARC) is that you can ‘fit and forget’. Requiring that women examine themselves regularly may deter some from choosing IUDs. At a time when we are encouraging women to choose LARC methods we should make these methods as simple, attractive and forgettable as possible. The present study suggests that most women ignore the current advice regarding checking their IUD threads, and this may be because they do not find this advice either useful or easy to follow.

The Association of Reproductive Health Professionals in the USA advises that “women who want reassurance about placement of the IUD can check for presence of the string, although checking on a regular basis is not necessary”.12 The United States Agency for International Development IUD guidelines state that: “the importance of having the client check her strings has been over-emphasised. IUD expulsion is uncommon, undetected expulsion is rare ... the provider should minimise this aspect of counselling and focus more on other messages”6 13

Table 3 Reasons given by women for not checking their intrauterine device threads

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I just forget about it</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tried and couldn’t</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didn’t know I should do more often</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would know if it fell out</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I can tell by my periods</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I can feel it anyway</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don’t like to examine myself</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I didn’t know what I was feeling for</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No one told me why</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is difficult to feel</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I didn’t think there was any problem</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I assume it is there</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I only check when I come to clinic</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I wasn’t told how to</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don’t have time</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total reasons</strong></td>
<td><strong>109</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
to forget about their device, and are therefore even less likely to be checking their threads.

The interviewer is a clinic doctor, and therefore the potential for bias in the women’s responses needs to be considered. However, it could be argued that the IUD users would be more likely to over-report the frequency of thread-checking so as to appear to be complying with the advice they have been given. This would suggest that the high proportion of women who don’t follow the clinic’s advice could actually be even higher.

This study did not address the advantages and disadvantages of the routine post-insertion check, which is designed to pick up immediate complications such as infection or perforation.

CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that most women do not follow the current advice to regularly check their IUD threads. If they did, we predict that this would have huge workload implications for little benefit.

We suggest adopting the approach that unless women are at an increased risk of expulsion then thread-checking should be done for reassurance only. An alternative approach would be to inform the IUD/IUS user of the 1% chance of unnoticed expulsion, and that only one-third of the 1% failure rate (i.e. 1 in 300) is due to unnoticed expulsion, and let the individual woman decide whether to check for her threads.

In view of the high proportion of women who cannot manage to feel their threads, those users who want to check for their threads, and those who are considered to be at an increased risk of expulsion, should be actively shown how to do it.
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