Second-trimester abortion: women often lack the choice they should be offered
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In this issue, Koh et al.1 from Singapore report on their randomised study of three vaginal prostaglandin regimens for mid-trimester termination of pregnancy.2 This article addresses gaps in knowledge both of the optimum dose of the most commonly used agent, misoprostol, and of the relative efficacy of the product licensed for this indication, gemeprost. But the question of whether medical termination is, in fact, the most suitable approach for termination after the first trimester remains open to debate.

Over the past 20 years, the overall abortion rate in England and Wales, where figures are believed to be compiled with a high degree of accuracy, has plateaued at around 16/1000 women aged 15–44 years. In 2016, over 80% of procedures were undertaken at under 10 weeks’ gestation, with a continuing rise in the proportion utilising early medical abortion.3 However, the proportion of abortions carried out at 13 weeks’ gestation or above has remained static at around 8% and is unlikely to change in the foreseeable future. Mid-trimester abortion will continue to be necessary for a range of reasons including some women’s ambivalence about their decision, women not recognising their pregnancy due to contraceptive use or because they believe that they are infertile due to their age or medical factors, concealed pregnancies (particularly in teenagers), difficulty in engaging services due to mental health problems or learning difficulties, pregnancies that were initially wanted but where the woman’s circumstances have changed, and where serious fetal abnormality has been diagnosed.4 The introduction of more efficacious screening programmes in the UK has resulted in a much higher proportion of fetal anomalies being diagnosed antenatally. However, for many of the anomalies detected by routine ultrasound scans, most of the pregnancies will have advanced to the second trimester by the time of diagnosis. Unfortunately the choices of method then available to those women requesting abortion are limited.5

Abortion in the UK is very safe, but the risks and complexity of the procedure increase with advancing gestation. Worldwide, ‘late’ procedures account for a disproportionate amount of abortion-related morbidity and mortality. Following liberalisation of abortion laws in the USA and much of Europe in the 1960s and 1970s, the surgical procedure of dilatation and evacuation (D&E) was developed. ‘Medical’ methods of second-trimester abortion were also developed, initially injecting hypertonic saline or urea into the amniotic sac, but these were soon replaced by much safer and more effective regimens using prostaglandins. The evidence base comparing surgical and medical second-trimester abortion is limited owing to difficulty in recruiting women to trials. The only randomised controlled trial (RCT) of surgical versus modern (non-instillation) medical abortion was stopped after 1 year, when 62% had declined participation, primarily due to a preference for D&E.6 Although the data are limited, comparisons of procedures carried out at 13 to 24 weeks show significantly more complications with medical than with surgical termination (>20% v 4%).5–8 This reflects greater blood loss, more failed procedures and a high rate of surgical intervention for retained placental tissue.

Due to its speed, predictability and the expectation of significantly less pain, many women would choose surgery. This is reflected in UK national guidance.9 A 5-year review of requests for termination for fetal anomaly in my own service, where a choice of medical or surgical termination is offered up to 17 weeks+6 days, showed that of 118...
could be identified. Koh et al's misoprostol could not be determined as no RCTs concluded that the optimal dose of vaginally administered misoprostol is known. However, in the UK, surgical abortion has been the most common method used for mid-trimester procedures up to 24 weeks' gestation, and mirrors practice in the USA where D&E is the predominant method of termination throughout the mid-trimester.

Abortion services in the UK now face a workforce crisis as a high proportion of the small number of surgeons who provide D&E have reached, or are approaching, retirement. Gynaecological surgical training in the UK occurs almost exclusively within the NHS, but as few NHS gynaecological surgeons perform D&E, there are almost no role models or opportunities for training. Those who do perform D&E in the NHS frequently meet barriers from theatre staff who find the procedure psychologically distressing, owing to the need for extraction of recognisable fetal parts. Where this is combined with ultrasound guidance there is good evidence that the safety of the procedure is enhanced, but staff may find the imaging a further source of distress. If there is no ultrasound imaging, checking the fetal parts is required to clarify completeness of the procedure and this can be the most upsetting process of all.

So for most women in the UK diagnosed with a fetal anomaly, or requiring a mid-trimester abortion for any other reason, the lack of expertise to offer a choice of method within the NHS means that medical abortion will be the only option offered. As the independent sector becomes less able to source the required pool of skilled surgical providers, medical termination may increasingly become the default position for late terminations throughout the UK. Against that background, Koh et al's RCT provides useful information on different regimens for mid-trimester medical abortion. Unlike comparisons between surgical and medical methods, in practice many practitioners will only be in a position to offer their patients a medical approach. For those services that can only offer medical abortion, and where misoprostol is not available, this study adds reassuring information about an effective medical regimen.

While there is strong evidence that for mid-trimester termination D&E offers significant advantages over medical methods, in practice many practitioners will only be in a position to offer their patients a medical approach. For those services that can only offer medical abortion, and where misoprostol is not available, this study adds reassuring information about an effective medical regimen.
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