Assessment of the awareness and usages of family planning methods in the Lebanese community
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ABSTRACT

Background Worldwide, one in three women has unmet family planning (FP) needs because of difficulties in accessing or using contraceptives. In Lebanon, information from the scientific literature on the national prevalence of contraceptive use appears scarce.

Objective In view of this, we measured the current Lebanese national prevalence of FP methods’ uptake among women and men of reproductive age, and we assessed barriers for potential unmet need.

Methods We conducted a cross-sectional survey on a nationally representative sample of 825 married women (aged 15–49 years) and men of reproductive age (aged 18+ years). We used the validated Demographic & Health Survey (DHS) data collection tool and analysed data with SPSS Version 22.0 with p values <0.05 considered statistically significant.

Results We found that the current prevalence of contraceptive use is 55.6%, the unmet need is 11.4%, the total demand for FP is 67% and the percentage of satisfied demand for FP is 83%. Despite favourable knowledge of and access to FP methods as well as positive attitudes towards FP, there is a clear stagnation in the rate of contraception use over the past four decades. The identified major factors hindering the use of FP methods in Lebanon appear to be religion, age and lower educational levels.

Conclusion We suggest the implementation of effective interventions at the national level to promote and encourage the uptake of modern FP methods among couples. The latter will further promote maternal and child health, as well as empower women to fulfil their equal function in society.

INTRODUCTION

According to the World Health Organization (WHO), a specific sustainable target goal is to ensure universal access to sexual and reproductive healthcare (SRH) services by 2030.1 This includes the education and integration of family planning (FP) into national strategies and programmes.1 FP, as defined by the WHO, allows individuals to anticipate and attain their desired number of children with the spacing and timing of their births. It is achieved through use of contraceptive methods, and the treatment of involuntary infertility.2 FP directly impacts women’s health and well-being as well as the outcome of each of their pregnancies. Some of FP’s benefits are reduction of infant mortality, prevention of pregnancy-related health risks, and the empowerment of women and enhancement of their education. Overall, FP is essential for sustainable population growth.2 Failures of FP are referred to as unmet need and include unmet need for limiting unwanted pregnancies and for spacing pregnancies in women of reproductive age.3 4 Reports about unmet need for FP are extensively prevalent in the literature. Worldwide, one in three women who preferred to space (16%) or limit (13%) pregnancies was constrained from doing so because of difficulties in using contraceptives.5 6

The unsatisfied demand for contraception is particularly common in low- and middle-income countries (LMIC). Data from women of reproductive age in Asia,
the Caribbean, sub-Saharan Africa and the Middle East show high unmet need for FP. Indeed, a significant number of women of reproductive age in LMIC report wanting to avoid or postpone pregnancies without being able to use any FP methods.

Causes for unmet FP need in LMIC are related to poor access to health services, lack of necessary knowledge about FP methods, and health concerns about possible side effects. Another salient cause is the social opposition to contraceptive use. In most settings, the most significant social actor that impacts unmet need is the husband or partner. Studies conducted in the Philippines, Guatemala, India, Egypt, Nepal and Pakistan identified the husband’s opposition as a major cause for contraception non-use in women. Men’s opposition to contraceptive use was explored, and findings revealed that this behaviour was mainly due to the “fear of losing their role as family heads” and the fear of “wives becoming unfaithful.” It is also documented that men have very limited knowledge about FP methods, since contraception is considered a woman’s domain. Furthermore, it was shown that education of men partners about contraception decreased the FP unmet need.

Lebanon, a middle-income economy Arab country, has a highly fragmented and pluralistic healthcare system. Sources of financing of the Lebanese health system mostly depend on private bodies with heavy reliance on households’ out-of-pocket payments with minimal governmental contribution to total health expenditure. The current structure of the health system is a legitimate challenge to health equity and universal health coverage. In common with other primary care services, accessing FP services might be difficult and the projected unmet need might be high.

Identified studies relating to the evaluation of the national reproductive health services in Lebanon appear scarce, and the most recent official information from the World Bank on the national prevalence of contraceptive use dates back to 1971 and 2009 and appears to be equivalent to 53% and 55%, respectively.

In view of this, we proposed to measure the current Lebanese national prevalence of FP methods’ uptake among women of reproductive age, and assess barriers for potential unmet need.

**METHODS**

**Study design and setting**

We conducted a cross-sectional survey on a nationally representative sample of married Lebanese women (aged 15–49 years) and men of reproductive age (aged 18+ years) from June 2016 to March 2017. Since Lebanon has no civil code or law regulating the minimum marriageable age, we enrolled women starting at the onset of puberty (15 years) and we set men’s marriageable age at 18 years. Before initiation of the data collection, the study protocol, informed consent form and baseline household survey were reviewed and approved by the Lebanese American University Institutional Review Board (IRB00006954 LAUIRB#1).

The calculated total needed sample size was 800, based on a conservative estimated prevalence of contraception use of 50%. Our calculations assumed 80% power and a design effect of 2.0. The cluster effect was later taken into account during our data analysis.

To gather data, we used the standardised Family Planning section of the Demographic & Health Survey (DHS), a nationally-representative household survey developed by the United States Agency for International Development (USAID). The questionnaire had two components: the demographic and socioeconomic characteristics of respondents and their reproductive status, decision-making and contraceptive status.

The data collection tool was translated, back-translated and adapted to a Lebanese context. The data collection started after contacting local authority representatives in each cluster and obtaining a list of dwellers on the basis of which we drew a random sample of 20–25 participants per cluster. Trained interviewers approached participants within households and after obtaining their verbal consent, carried out the survey in a private setting in attempt to minimise response bias.

To respect the high social and religious values that the country places on virginity among unmarried youth, we excluded non-married sexually active individuals as well as voluntary abortions as modality for FP.

A cluster design sampling was used to attain a nationally representative sample; every village or community constituted a cluster. For weighting, we used the 2004 National Survey of Household Living Conditions as the reference population to enrol participants across governorates as follow: Beirut 10%, Bekaa 11%, Mount Lebanon 39%, North 25% and South 15%.

**Patient and public involvement**

Participants were not directly involved in the design of the research. However, the study design and outcome measures were carried out in a way so as to respect and reflect the local communities’ values, preferences and experiences. After the conclusion of other related studies on FP and early child marriage, the results of this article and others will be disseminated to participants via various community meetings.

**Data collection process**

Before initiation of the data collection, the study protocol, informed consent form and baseline household survey were reviewed and approved by the Lebanese American University Institutional Review Board (IRB00006954 LAUIRB#1).
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Participants were not directly involved in the design of the research. However, the study design and outcome measures were carried out in a way so as to respect and reflect the local communities’ values, preferences and experiences. After the conclusion of other related studies on FP and early child marriage, the results of this article and others will be disseminated to participants via various community meetings.

**Data collection process**

Before initiation of the data collection, the study protocol, informed consent form and baseline household survey were reviewed and approved by the Lebanese American University Institutional Review Board (IRB00006954 LAUIRB#1).

The calculated total needed sample size was 800, based on a conservative estimated prevalence of contraception use of 50%. Our calculations assumed 80% power and a design effect of 2.0. The cluster effect was later taken into account during our data analysis.

To gather data, we used the standardised Family Planning section of the Demographic & Health Survey (DHS), a nationally-representative household survey developed by the United States Agency for International Development (USAID). The questionnaire had two components: the demographic and socioeconomic characteristics of respondents and their reproductive status, decision-making and contraceptive status.

The data collection tool was translated, back-translated and adapted to a Lebanese context. The data collection started after contacting local authority representatives in each cluster and obtaining a list of dwellers on the basis of which we drew a random sample of 20–25 participants per cluster. Trained interviewers approached participants within households and after obtaining their verbal consent, carried out the survey in a private setting in attempt to minimise response bias. Male and female respondents were independent of one another. Only one of the two partners was interviewed for couples within the same household. In case of refusal, the household was replaced by another one from the list. The reported refusal rate was 26%. We recorded information in such a way that subjects were not identifiable.

**Data analysis**

All obtained information was de-identified, coded and processed confidentially. Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0; IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA)
was used for data entry and analysis. Data were analysed by sex, age, marital status, region of residence, religion, education level and socioeconomic status. Each demographic inquiry and assessment question was tabulated and then recorded as the percentage of the total survey. Descriptive statistics were used to assess basic knowledge, as well as perceptions; presented as mean±SD for continuous variables and frequency (%) for multinomial/dichotomous variables. \( \chi^2 \) tests were used to assess univariate associations with the ORs of FP methods’ use among the interviewees. Multivariable logistic regression was used to take into account potential confounders. Sociodemographic factors were the independent predictors and adjusted OR and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the probability of using at least one contraceptive method were calculated for each predictor to explain the strength of the association after controlling for other sociodemographic factors. The logistic regression was conducted using a step-wise backward method. All independent variables that did not show a significant association with the dependent variable were removed from the analysis, and the final model only included significant independent variables and modalities. The variables initially introduced in the model were participants’ place of residence (ie, governorate), marital status, religion, age, socioeconomic status, wife’s education level and husband’s education level.

All quantitative data were analysed with the statistical package SPSS (Version 22.0), with p values <0.05 considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Sociodemographic characteristics

We interviewed a total of 825 participants of whom 437 were women within the age range 15–49 years and 388 men aged 18+ years. The mean age of the respondents was 31±10 years. The reported mean age at the time of marriage was 26.5±4.63 years. The major religions represented in our sample were Islam (47.5%), Christianity (45.3%) and Druze (5.9%). Secondary education was most common (51%) among the participants with a mean monthly household income equivalent to 1 600 000 Lebanese pound (LBP). The male was considered the head of the household by most of the women in the sample (91.6%).

Reproductive health decision-making

We inquired whether participants discussed FP openly with their spouse; 69% confirmed they did, while 31% responded ‘No’. The top two reasons for not discussing FP were being uncomfortable or afraid (60%), or considering such discussions against religion (22%). The rest of the participants (18%) did not consider FP an essential topic for communication among couples.

When asked about ‘Who makes decisions on reproductive health care-seeking?’ we found that 25.0% of the decisions were made by husbands alone, 11.0% by wives, 57.4% jointly by husbands and wives, and 6.6% of the decisions being influenced by the couple’s parents.

Husbands accompanied their wives to reproductive health services 30.4% of the time, while 43.8% of women sought reproductive health care by themselves, or accompanied by a female family member or friend (25.8%).

Knowledge of, attitudes towards, and access to FP methods

There was a high level of knowledge about the different modern FP methods, mostly condoms (87.1%) and pills (79.2%). The major source of information was physicians (32%) followed by media/internet (27%), family members and friends (21%), pharmacists (11%) and spouse (9%). The overall attitude towards the use of FP methods was positive, as almost 70% of the respondents approved the use of FP methods. Traditional FP (periodic abstinence and withdrawal) methods were most acceptable to respondents (84.1%), with a lower proportion of respondents (78.4%) regarding modern methods as being acceptable. To evaluate access to FP methods, participants were asked if they knew how/where to obtain the different FP methods. The results show an overall high accessibility to contraceptives, with no significant difference across all Lebanese regions. Table 1 summarises respondents’ knowledge, access to, and uptake of FP.

Prevalence and utilisation trend of FP methods

We calculated the contraceptive prevalence rate (CPR) by measuring the percentage of women participants who confirmed that they or their partners were using any contraceptive method (traditional or modern) at the time of the study. We found the current CPR equalled 53.6%. The mean duration of contraceptive use was 4.63±2.84 years. Almost half of the participants reported using periodic abstinence as a FP method (50.9%), followed by condoms as the most commonly used modern FP method (47.6%). Full utilisation trends of FP methods are shown in table 1.

Predictors of FP methods uptake

The main predictors of contraceptive use were higher age compared with lower age groups (OR 5.28, 95% CI 3.97 to 10.23, p<0.021); religion, as Christians were found to use more FP methods compared with Muslims (OR 5.88, 95% CI 3.03 to 10.81, p=0.027); higher socioeconomic status (OR 43.4, 95% CI 6.72 to 73.03, p=0.001) as well as higher education levels for wives (OR 3.33, 95% CI 1.72 to 7.87, p=0.008) and husbands (OR 14.04, 95% CI 11.51 to 23.58, p=0.02). Table 2 presents the unadjusted and adjusted ORs of current contraceptive use by significant sociodemographic characteristics of participants.

FP unmet need

To calculate unmet need, we used the formula depicted by the United Nations Population Division’s 2009 Metadata on Unmet Need.26 As recommended in the
Table 1 Knowledge of, accessibility to and uptake of family planning methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FP method</th>
<th>Knowledge of FP method (n (%))</th>
<th>Accessibility to FP method (n (%))</th>
<th>Uptake of FP method (n (%))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Traditional FP methods</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periodic abstinence</td>
<td>548 (66.5)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>420 (50.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Withdrawal</td>
<td>541 (65.6)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>285 (34.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Modern FP methods</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condom</td>
<td>718 (87.1)</td>
<td>778 (94.3)</td>
<td>393 (47.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pill</td>
<td>653 (79.2)</td>
<td>766 (92.8)</td>
<td>270 (32.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IUD</td>
<td>601 (72.9)</td>
<td>706 (85.6)</td>
<td>321 (36.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implant</td>
<td>401 (48.6)</td>
<td>603 (73.1)</td>
<td>108 (13.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sterilisation</td>
<td>376 (45.6)</td>
<td>534 (64.7)</td>
<td>74 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection</td>
<td>332 (40.2)</td>
<td>465 (56.4)</td>
<td>85 (10.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sterilisation</td>
<td>303 (36.7)</td>
<td>523 (63.4)</td>
<td>74 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall CPR</strong></td>
<td>55.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CPR, contraceptive prevalence rate; FP, family planning; IUD, intrauterine device.

Table 2 Unadjusted and adjusted ORs of current contraceptive use by sociodemographic characteristics of participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Unadjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Adjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Christian vs Muslim</td>
<td>4.77 (3.10 to 8.41)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>5.88 (3.03 to 10.81)</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wife's education</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.02 (0.13 to 8.02)</td>
<td>0.982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate vs low</td>
<td>2.97 (1.23 to 7.16)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>3.33 (1.72 to 7.87)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High vs low</td>
<td>1.16 (0.48 to 2.77)</td>
<td>0.745</td>
<td>1.78 (1.32 to 6.08)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Husband's education</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>2.63 (0.45 to 15.23)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle vs low</td>
<td>2.66 (1.21 to 5.83)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>5.28 (3.97 to 10.23)</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High vs low</td>
<td>4.20 (1.66 to 10.64)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>14.04 (11.51 to 23.58)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age category (years)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–39 vs 18–29</td>
<td>1.66 (1.19 to 4.89)</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>1.78 (1.32 to 6.08)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40+ vs 18–29</td>
<td>4.17 (1.98 to 7.54)</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>5.84 (3.97 to 10.23)</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic status†</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2 vs Q1</td>
<td>0.64 (0.23 to 1.81)</td>
<td>0.399</td>
<td>2.04 (0.47 to 8.87)</td>
<td>0.341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3 vs Q1</td>
<td>1.05 (0.41 to 2.69)</td>
<td>0.922</td>
<td>20.63 (2.99 to 14.44)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4 vs Q1</td>
<td>2.27 (0.47 to 10.91)</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>29.40 (1.59 to 54.16)</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5 vs Q1</td>
<td>6.86 (0.95 to 49.37)</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>43.40 (6.72 to 73.03)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Age of participants ranged from 18 to 40+ years since lower age groups did not participate.
†Q1 and Q5 indicate the lowest and highest socioeconomic status, respectively.
FP, family planning.
Lebanese married individuals. We calculated the CPR, which is equivalent to 55.6%, the unmet need 11.4%, the total demand for FP 67.0% and the percentage of satisfied demand for FP 83.0%.

The results show significant knowledge of, as well as high access to, most modern FP methods. The reported favourable awareness and accessibility to contraceptives does not translate into a similarly high percentage of their use. The CPR in Lebanon is 55.6% compared with 53.3% reported in 1971. If measurement error is taken into account, it is unlikely that the prevalence of contraception has changed. Unmet need in this sample was 11.4%, equivalent to approximately 95 unintended pregnancies in the 10-month period of data collection. If this result were to be generalised to the Lebanese population during the same period, assuming a birth rate of 14.4/1000 population and a total population of 6 237 738 as per the Lebanon Demographics Profile,24 the estimated number of yearly unplanned births would exceed 90 000. The identified factors for unmet need were women’s younger age, lower education level, and women of Islamic faith.

Our findings are consistent with the literature. FP studies conducted in neighbouring Arab countries reveal a similar CPR. For instance, the latest reported rates of contraceptive use are around 57% in Egypt13 and 56% in Jordan.27 The Arab region, though diverse, is still characterised by patriarchal social systems in which marriage and families are given prominence. The identified unmet need, as well as hindering factors for contraceptive use in Lebanon, were found to be similar to other Arab countries. The literature reports that Arab young people’s reproductive health needs are not fully met, with the reasons behind unmet need being mostly linked to cultural and religious sensitivities.25 Islamic stance was identified as a factor associated with less contraceptive use in a study conducted in Jordan.26 Possible explanations might be that Muslims are influenced by the Prophet Mohammed’s call to ‘get married and multiply’, and that they believe procreation is one of the most important objectives of marriage.29 Moreover, children in Islam are referred to as ‘wealth’ and Muslims believe that every baby comes with his own provision.29 Unmet need in our study was particularly prevalent among women of lower socioeconomic status and younger age, which are observations recognised in most FP studies conducted in LMIC.30 31 Our study has some potential limitations to be considered. Despite the fact that we used a high-quality data collection instrument (DHS) and interviewed participants in private, we still need to account for recall bias, as well as response bias due to the effect of social pressure. We had a participation refusal rate of 26%, which might reflect the fact that FP discussions are still considered taboo and people might not feel comfortable openly discussing such matters. We believe that the participants, being more open to discuss FP, are more likely to have a better knowledge and more interest in FP use when compared with the non-respondents, which might reveal a societal higher unmet need for pregnancies.

Despite the aforementioned limitations, the major strength of our study is the fact that it is a national survey that reports on FP perspectives and practices. It measures the prevalence of national FP methods’ uptake, and assesses the extent of national unmet need for FP services and its predictors. It also importantly sets the ground for future research related to women’s empowerment and gender equity through adequate access to and utilisation of FP services in Lebanon.

Measuring FP and its progress is imperative for driving improved policy and programming. Based on our findings, we propose important interventions targeting both the Lebanese public and private sectors to improve FP. We suggest the implementation of a national surveillance system to continuously monitor unmet FP needs. We also recommend passing legislation to end child marriage in the country by setting the marital age at 21+ years. Moreover, we propose introducing SRH education into school curricula and mandating premarital FP counselling directed at couples. Not forgetting, finally, the important role that religious leaders and non-governmental organisations play in promoting the concept of FP for achieving maternal and child health.

### CONCLUSION AND PRACTICE IMPLICATIONS

The prevalence of contraception use in Lebanon has remained unchanged for the past four decades, with religious, younger age and lower education levels appearing to be important factors hindering the use of FP methods. It is imperative to further
educate the public and integrate FP interventions into Lebanon’s national strategies and programmes in an attempt to ensure universal access to SRH services by 2030.
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