Misoprostol knowledge and distribution in Mexico City after the change in abortion law: a survey of pharmacy staff
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ABSTRACT

Objective First-trimester abortion is widely available in Mexico City since legalisation in 2007, but few data exist surrounding pharmacy staff knowledge and sales practices. We describe misoprostol availability, whether a prescription is required, and knowledge of the legal status of abortion and uses for misoprostol among pharmacy staff in Mexico City.

Methods Data were collected from 174 pharmacies in Mexico City. One employee at each pharmacy was asked about availability, need for prescription, indications for misoprostol, and sociodemographic information. Our primary outcome was availability of misoprostol. We used descriptive and bivariate statistics to compare knowledge and practices by type of pharmacy and staff gender.

Patients and public involvement No patients were involved in this study.

Results Of the 174 pharmacies, 65 were chain and 109 small independent. Misoprostol was available at 61% of sites. Only 49% of independent pharmacies sold misoprostol, compared with 81.5% of chain pharmacies (p<0.05). Knowledge of indications for misoprostol use was similar. The majority (80%) of respondents knew that abortion was legal in Mexico City, and 44% reported requiring a prescription for sale of misoprostol, with no significant difference between male and female staff or by pharmacy type.

Conclusions Availability, requirement of a prescription, and knowledge of indications for use of misoprostol varies among pharmacies, resulting in differential access to medical abortion. Pharmacies may be a good place to target education for pharmacy staff and women about safe and effective use of misoprostol for abortion.

Key messages

► This study explored pharmacy staff knowledge and sales practices related to misoprostol in Mexico City, where first-trimester abortion was legalised in 2007.
► Our study found that misoprostol was available for sale at 61% of pharmacies surveyed, with 44% requiring a prescription.
► Women continue to seek access in pharmacies in the setting of legal abortion; improving pharmacy staff knowledge and practices can help reduce unsafe abortion.

INTRODUCTION

Mexico City, one of Mexico’s 32 states, legalised first-trimester abortion on request in 2007. Abortion is regulated at the state level, and first-trimester abortion is legal only under specific circumstances in other Mexican states. The majority of evidence about abortion in Mexico focuses on the public abortion programme, known as the Interrupción Legal del Embarazo (ILE) programme, in Mexico City. Women also have access to services in the private sector, including private clinics, or by procuring misoprostol from a pharmacy with or without a prescription. While misoprostol was initially developed for treatment of ulcers, it is used in obstetrics and gynaecology to induce labour, treat haemorrhage, and induce pregnancy termination, which is sometimes also referred to as ‘initiating return of menses’. Misoprostol was first made available in Mexican pharmacies in 1985 by prescription only. However, pharmacies do not have to register
prescriptions (as they do for antibiotics, for example) and available data suggest that women across Latin America obtain misoprostol without a prescription.\textsuperscript{7,8}

To date, research suggests a general lack of pharmacy staff knowledge about the use of misoprostol for abortion, including side effects, what the patient should expect, and effective dosing.\textsuperscript{7,8} This is an issue not only with misoprostol provision but also with other medical treatments provided directly in pharmacies in low- and middle-income countries worldwide.\textsuperscript{9}

Yet women continue to seek access to medical abortion outside of clinics, even where abortion is legal, due to perceived ease of access, cost of services, and anonymity.\textsuperscript{2} Improving access and safe use of misoprostol through pharmacies can help reduce unsafe abortion.\textsuperscript{10}

There is limited evidence about the knowledge and sale habits of pharmacy staff in Mexico City following legalisation of first-trimester abortion. The purpose of this study was to describe availability of misoprostol, whether or not the pharmacy requires a prescription, knowledge of indications for misoprostol use, and legal status of abortion among pharmacy employees in Mexico City. We hypothesised that even after abortion became legal, women continue to seek misoprostol outside of clinics, as evidenced by sales habits of pharmacies. We also explored differences in knowledge and sales habits between small independent and chain pharmacies, and by gender of pharmacy staff.

**METHODS**

This study was a secondary analysis in collaboration with Ipas, a global non-profit focused on expanding access to safe abortion. Anonymous data were collected as part of an internal monitoring and evaluation project conducted by Ipas. No local institutional review board (IRB) approval was sought at the time of data collection. No Protected Health Information/Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (PHI/HIPAA) identifiers were collected.

Pharmacies were randomly sampled from each of Mexico City’s 16 delegaciones (similar to a zip code area) and stratified by large chain pharmacies (eg, Ahorro, Guadalajara) and small independent pharmacies (‘mom-and-pop’ establishments) within each delegacion. In June 2015 when the Ipas assessment was started, there were 1305 pharmacies in Mexico City, 35% of which were chains and 65% small independent establishments. The distribution of chain and independent pharmacies across Mexico City as well as the density of pharmacies in each delegacion guided the study sample composition. Some smaller delegaciones did not have enough small independent pharmacies to make up 65% of the sample, in which case those willing to participate were included. The final sample was 174 pharmacies. Data were collected July–August 2015.

Research assistants approached one employee at each selected pharmacy and asked them to participate in the study. Following verbal informed consent the staff person answered questions about their sociodemographic and pharmacy training characteristics. They also answered questions about their practices related to sale of misoprostol, including need for prescription, sale frequency, and knowledge of indications for use. The survey did not specifically ask if they sold misoprostol for medical abortions. Dosing recommendations were not discussed since the focus was on availability not pharmacist recommendations. Data did not contain any identifiers; this secondary analysis was deemed non-human subjects research by the Oregon Health & Science University IRB.

Our primary outcome was availability of misoprostol in the pharmacy. Secondary outcomes were requirement of a prescription for purchase, knowledge of indications for misoprostol use, knowledge of the legal status of abortion in Mexico City, and estimated frequency of requests for misoprostol. Other variables included demographic, employment, and educational information about the participating pharmacy staff.

We used descriptive and bivariate statistics. We tested for differences using Chi-square tests to compare our outcomes by pharmacy type (chain vs small independent) and gender of the respondent.

**RESULTS**

Of the 174 pharmacies, 65 (37%) were chain and 109 (63%) small independent, very similar to the known distribution of pharmacies in Mexico City. Respondents included 86 (49%) male and 88 (51%) female employees, with a roughly equal distribution between pharmacy types (table 1). The majority of interviewed employees at both types of pharmacies had completed at least some technical school (82% in chain and 66% in small independent pharmacies) and the sample included 21 licensed pharmacists, with no significant difference in education level of interviewees between pharmacy types (p=0.735) (table 1).

According to interviewed pharmacy employees, misoprostol was available at 61% of sites. Only 49% of independent pharmacies reported selling misoprostol,
### Table 2  Survey results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Overall (n=174)</th>
<th>Chain pharmacies (n=65)</th>
<th>Small independent pharmacies (n=109)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is misoprostol sold?</td>
<td>61 (106)</td>
<td>82 (72 to 91)</td>
<td>49 (39 to 58)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is a prescription required?</td>
<td>44 (76)</td>
<td>42 (30 to 54)</td>
<td>45 (36 to 54)</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is abortion legal?</td>
<td>80 (139)</td>
<td>80 (70 to 90)</td>
<td>80 (72 to 97)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compared with 81.5% of chain pharmacies (p<0.05) (table 2). Of the small independent pharmacies selling misoprostol, 72% sold Cytotec, 21% generic, and 7% had both types. Among chain pharmacies 36% offered Cytotec, 38% generic, and 26% stocked both (p<0.001).

Fewer than half (44%) of pharmacy staff reported requiring a prescription for sale of misoprostol. Among chain pharmacy employees, 41.5% reported requiring a prescription, while 50% of small independent pharmacy staff reported doing so (table 2). Nearly half (48.8%) of male pharmacy staff reported requiring a prescription compared with 38.6% of females, but this difference was not statistically significant (p=0.18).

Eighty percent of respondents knew that abortion was legal in Mexico City, with no difference between pharmacy types (80% of chain and 80% of small independent pharmacy employees) or gender of pharmacy worker (80.2% of males, 79.6% of females)(table 2). Knowledge of indications for misoprostol use was similar between independent and chain pharmacy staff. Most individuals were able to identify the outpatient indications (ulcers and abortion) but not the inpatient uses (haemorrhage, induction of labour). Though only 13.8% of chain and 8.2% of independent pharmacy employees correctly identified at least three out of five of the indications, the vast majority knew that it can be used to treat ulcers (74% chain, 66% small independent; 69% overall; p=0.66) and induce abortion (71% chain, 61.5% small independent; 65% overall; p=0.33) with no significant difference by pharmacy type (figure 1).

A larger proportion of respondents at pharmacies where misoprostol is sold reported knowing that misoprostol can be used for medical abortion (71% vs 56%; p=0.045). Knowledge of abortion as an indication for misoprostol does not vary by requirement for a prescription (p=0.3)

Most interviewees (75.4%) reported 0–2 requests for misoprostol per month, with no significant difference between pharmacy types. However, interviewees from six pharmacies reported 30 or more requests per month, all in different delegations, and one pharmacy reported 180 requests a month.

**DISCUSSION**

This study shows that misoprostol is widely available across pharmacies in Mexico City, but more available at large chain pharmacies than small independent ones, contrary to our hypothesis. Just under half report requesting a prescription, with female employees slightly less likely to require one. The difference by gender did not reach statistical significance, though it may be meaningful in practice, because it could impact women’s access to misoprostol at the population level. Eighty percent of interviewed pharmacy workers know abortion is legal in Mexico City and the majority know that misoprostol can be used to induce abortion.

Our study found that misoprostol was available for sale at 61% of pharmacies surveyed, with 44% reporting that they required a prescription. This is comparable to other studies that have been done in Mexico and Latin America, though rates of prescription requirement were reportedly as low as 11% in the mystery client portion of one study. Misoprostol is expensive to keep in stock, which can be burdensome to small pharmacies who sell the medication infrequently, which may partially account for chain pharmacies being more likely to stock misoprostol than small independent pharmacies. Worldwide, there is significant variation in misoprostol availability, as well as how often misoprostol is recommended compared

![Figure 1](https://via.placeholder.com/150)
with other medications when an abortifacient medication is requested at a pharmacy. The studies previously performed in Mexico and Latin America did not differentiate availability based on pharmacy type, though one did note that misoprostol was more likely to be sold by the pill rather than the bottle in independent pharmacies and low socioeconomic areas, which was used as a surrogate marker to indicate sale for use in medical abortion. It was also significantly more available in Mexico City, where abortion is presently legal, than in other areas of Mexico, where abortion is still legally restricted. Our study, undertaken after the decriminalisation of first-trimester abortion in Mexico City, suggests that women continue to access misoprostol outside of clinics, with some pharmacies requiring a prescription.

Mystery client studies previously performed in the region have focused on what medication is recommended when an abortifacient is requested and whether or not it is an effective method, as well as whether or not safety information and follow-up precautions are given. However, these studies were performed in areas where abortion is legally restricted, so are not directly comparable with our findings. Our data show that the majority of pharmacy staff know that misoprostol can be used for ulcers and to induce abortion, but are less familiar with other indications such as management of postpartum haemorrhage. This may be related to the fact that we surveyed only outpatient pharmacies. Prior studies around the world show wide variation in medication and dose recommendations given to women requesting medication to interrupt a pregnancy in settings with variable legal restrictions on abortion, demonstrating an ongoing need for additional education on safe and effective dosing, though this was not part of the present study.

We found that female pharmacy staff were slightly less likely than their male counterparts (39% vs 49%) to require a prescription, although this difference did not reach statistical significance. To our knowledge, only one previous study looked at differential prescription requirement by gender of the pharmacy worker, which also found that female staff were slightly more likely to require a prescription and sell by the pill, though the findings were not significant.

Our results can be used to tailor interventions for pharmacy workers to improve the information women receive when requesting misoprostol, with or without a prescription. Educational interventions targeting pharmacy workers have been shown to be effective to improve referrals, information about medical abortion regimens and follow-up. Training would obviously need to be region-specific, based on factors of legality, medication accessibility, and availability of follow-up. Even in a context of legal abortion and good access to services in the public and private sectors, women may choose to obtain misoprostol directly from pharmacies; this was not known in Mexico City. Our results suggest that there is a need for educating pharmacy employees, since they are providing misoprostol directly to women, both with and without a prescription.

Our findings must be interpreted in light of several limitations. First, we relied on self-reported sales practices. Second, we did not ask about dosing recommendations. This study focused on availability, requirement of a prescription, and knowledge of indications. Our sample of pharmacies was designed to be representative of the distribution of chain and small independent pharmacies in Mexico City’s delegaciones, yet there were localities where the target number of pharmacies could not be reached due to limited overall pharmacy numbers and willingness to participate. We may be underpowered for some comparisons; however, this descriptive study presents novel data on pharmacy practices in Mexico City after legalisation of abortion. The overall representation of pharmacies in all delegaciones of Mexico City, and inclusion of gender and educational diversity among staff, are strengths of the study. Additionally, social and religious biases, as well as using a survey method rather than the mystery client approach taken by other studies, could have contributed to underreporting of misoprostol availability and sales practices, even in the legal setting. However, our data do not allow us to assess whether underreporting occurred.

First-trimester medical abortion is legal and widely available in public and private sector clinics in Mexico City. Despite this, women still access misoprostol directly from pharmacies, with or without a prescription. Most pharmacy staff know that abortion is legal and that misoprostol can be used to induce abortion, but availability, knowledge of indications for use of misoprostol, and requirement of a prescription varies widely among pharmacies. This may result in variable access to misoprostol depending on the type of pharmacy a woman walks into. Pharmacies may be a good place to target education for pharmacy employees and women about safe and effective use of misoprostol for abortion, whether through expanding knowledge of indications and appropriate dosing regimens, or improving access to information within pharmacies about abortion services available in Mexico City. Women will continue to seek access to medical abortion in pharmacies; therefore, improving pharmacy staff knowledge and practices can help reduce unsafe abortion.
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