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There is a minor error in the paper. At present the text incorrectly reads: ‘While the trial was stopped early by a WHO review panel due to concern over side effects (despite very few men discontinuing treatment), there were just four pregnancies, giving a contraceptive efficacy of 1.59% (CI 0.6 to 4.2), thus matching hormonal female methods and substantially better than condoms, the only current reversible male method.’

The correct text should be: ‘While the trial was stopped early by a WHO review panel due to concern over side effects (despite very few men discontinuing treatment), there were just four pregnancies, giving a Pearl index of 2.18 pregnancies per 100 person-years (95% CI, 0.82 to 5.80), thus matching hormonal female methods and substantially better than condoms, the only current reversible male method.’