

Authors' response to 'Should the population and climate discussion be limited to the policy space?'

Thank you to Dr Smith for this interesting question¹ submitted in response

to our commentary article.² In our view climate should not be discussed in counselling sessions between provider and client. These meetings should strictly focus on informed, voluntary choice and on the health and welfare of the woman; discussing climate change in this context would be coercive. It is also hard to imagine a mass media campaign that links climate change to individual contraceptive decision-making without seeming coercive or overbearing. In fact, such messaging might be counterproductive since current climate problems are almost entirely due to emissions from high-income countries where fertility rates are low.

John Bongaarts , **Regine Sitruk-Ware**

Population Council, New York City, New York, USA

Correspondence to Dr John Bongaarts, Population Council, New York City, NY 10017, USA; jbongaarts@popcouncil.org

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Provenance and peer review Commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2020. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.



Published Online First 23 December 2019

BMJ Sex Reprod Health 2020;**46**:157.

doi:10.1136/bmjsh-2019-200546

ORCID iD

John Bongaarts <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6195-7234>

REFERENCES

- 1 Smith C. Should the population and climate discussion be limited to the policy space? *BMJ Sex Reprod Health* 2020;**46**:156–7.
- 2 Bongaarts J, Sitruk-Ware R. Climate change and contraception. *BMJ Sex Reprod Health* 2019;**45**:233–5.