Insertion and removal of Nexplanon

The letter by Wallings draws attention to several important aspects of the insertion technique for Nexplanon as advised by the manufacturer and approved by the Clinical Effectiveness Unit of the Faculty of Sexual and Reproductive Health.

1. To place the arm under the head externally rotated and abducted to 90° for any length of time is as unnatural as it is uncomfortable. This is especially so if the operator is not experienced and is likely to take time in insertion of the implant.

2. Difficult removal of a device in this position of the arm is also likely to be uncomfortable.

I believe it is more comfortable to place the arm on a pillow externally rotated at the shoulder and with the elbow at 90° of flexion. The point of the medial epicondyle of the humerus is marked on the skin. The line diagram below shows the ulnar nerve in its passage from the anterior to the posterior aspect on the medial side of the upper arm. Nexplanon would be inserted 8 cm above the medial epicondyle in the area of the line shown in the diagram (figure 1).

As regards removal of a palpable but deeply placed implant I have not infrequently used the U-technique described by Praptoharjo and Wibowo for Norplant. This technique lends itself safely for removal of the single rod of Nexplanon. The use of a vasectomy forceps as described by Li et al for non-scalpel vasectomy is useful for this purpose. It secures the implant within the ring of the vas forceps. One may then use blunt dissection with gauze to expose the implant which is easily removed. Blunt dissection avoids damage to nerves and vessels. The advantage of the vas forceps is that because of its small diameter it cannot contain much more that the implant, if it is located and secured safely.

The Emory technique for implant removal may also be used but I find the original U-technique easier, safe and quicker.

Kenneth Menon

Figure 1 Surface anatomy for Nexplanon insertion.
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