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ABSTRACT

Aim The aim of this review was to systematically review the outcome of routine anti-D administration among unsensitised rhesus (RhD)-negative individuals who have an abortion. This review is registered with Prospero.

Methods A search for all published and ongoing studies, without restrictions on language or publication status, was performed using the following databases from their inception: EBM Reviews Ovid - Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, MEDLINE Ovid (Pubmed Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations and Daily), Embase.com, Popline and Google Scholar. Study types included: randomised controlled trials, controlled trials, cohort and case–control studies from 1971 onwards. The population included women who undergo an abortion (induced, incomplete, spontaneous or septic abortion), medical or surgical <12 weeks, and isoimmunisation in a subsequent pregnancy. The primary outcomes were: (1) development of a positive Kleihauer–Betke test and (2) development of Rh alloimmunisation in a subsequent pregnancy.

Results A total of 2652 studies were screened with 105 accessed for full-text review. Two studies have been included with high bias appreciated. Both studies found few women to be sensitised in forming antibodies after an abortion. The limited studies available and heterogeneity prevent the conduction of a meta-analysis.

Conclusions Rh immunoglobulin has well-documented safety. However, it is not without risks and costs, is a possible barrier to delivering efficient services, and may have limited availability in some countries. The evidence base and quality of studies are currently limited. There is unclear benefit from the recommendation for Rh testing and immunoglobulin administration in early pregnancy. More research is needed as clinical practice guidelines are varied, based on expert opinions and moving away from testing and administration at time of abortion.

Implications There is limited evidence surrounding medical benefit of Rh testing and immunoglobulin administration in early pregnancy. Further research is needed to define alloimmunisation and immunoglobulin benefit to update standards of care. Additionally, other factors should be considered in forming clinical policies and guidelines such as costs, feasibility and impact on access to care for patients.

BACKGROUND

The administration of rhesus (Rh) immunoglobulin was first introduced in 1968 and significantly reduced immunisation to D-antigen.1 Evidence is sparse for such intervention after abortion in early pregnancy.1 Abortion for the purposes of this
review included induced (medical or surgical), incomplete, missed, spontaneous or septic abortion at less than 12 weeks’ gestation. There is a movement to forgo Rh testing and anti-D immunoglobulin administration in people presenting for early abortion, miscarriage or ectopic pregnancy. For example, the 2019 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines on abortion recommend not offering anti-D prophylaxis to people undergoing medical abortion up to and including 10 weeks’ gestation. Rationales to forgo Rh testing and anti-D immunoglobulin administration include lack of evidence that anti-D immunoglobulin prevents Rh alloimmunisation in lower gestational ages and immunoglobulin administration is not without risk as it is a blood product with added costs and care complexity. For example, added costs and complexity include testing patient blood type and cold storage required for anti-D immunoglobulin.

A systematic review by Karanth et al (2013) examined anti-D administration after spontaneous miscarriage for preventing Rh alloimmunisation with the Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Group. Karanth et al concluded there is insufficient evidence available to evaluate the current practices of anti-D administration in unsensitised RhD-negative mothers after spontaneous miscarriage.

To date, there has been one systematic review examining subsequent isoimmunisation after abortion at less than 13+6 weeks’ gestation in 2019. In preparation for updating the World Health Organization (WHO) 2012 Safe Abortion guidelines, the objective of the review was to systematically review the effect of routine anti-D administration among unsensitised RhD-negative individuals who have an abortion.

METHODS
This review follows Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidance. A protocol for this systematic review is included as online supplemental appendix 1–2. This review is registered with Prospero (CRD42020149073). The population included women who undergo an abortion: induced (medical or surgical), incomplete, missed, spontaneous or septic abortion at less than 12 weeks’ gestation. The intervention examined was routine anti-D administration compared with no anti-D administration.

A search was performed for all published and ongoing studies, without language restrictions, using the following databases from their inception to 13 May 2021: EBM Reviews Ovid - Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, MEDLINE Ovid (Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations and Daily), Embase, Popline and Google Scholar (online supplement 1–2). [NB. Popline and Google Scholar were only searched to 23 July 2019.]

We included randomised controlled trials, controlled trials, cohort studies and case–control studies. The following study types were excluded: case studies, review articles, editorials, letters, advisories, non-comparative studies, unpublished manuscripts, conference abstracts, diagnostic studies, animal studies, cost–benefit analyses, and studies with basic science outcomes. Non-English publications and articles published prior to 1971 were excluded given WHO only started to recommend that Rh testing and treatment with immunoglobulin be made part of the standard protocol of medical care for pregnant women from 1971 onwards.

Primary outcomes included: (a) development of a positive Kleihauer‐Bette test (a test that detects fetal cells in the maternal blood) and (b) development of RhD alloimmunisation in a subsequent pregnancy. Secondary outcomes included: (a) detection of atypical blood group antibodies by positive indirect Coombs test after 6 months of exposure (non-prespecified outcome), (b) need for increased surveillance for suspected fetal blood sampling and fetal transfusions in subsequent pregnancies, (c) neonatal morbidity such as neonatal anaemia, jaundice, bilirubin encephalopathy, erythroblastosis, prematurity, hypoglycaemia (low blood sugar) in subsequent pregnancies and (d) maternal adverse events of anti-D administration including anaphylactic reaction. Currently, flow cytometry has been found to be a more accurate estimate of sensitisation. However, this review utilised the Kleihauer–Bette test as an inclusion criterion because it is more available and commonly used in clinical settings.

Three authors (MCC, CRK and RKG) independently screened all the titles, abstracts and full texts identified from the initial search to determine eligibility for inclusion. The intervention examined was routine anti-D administration compared with no anti-D administration. Studies that did not explicitly include routine anti-D administration as the intervention were excluded. Wrong comparators would include the use of different testing for the primary outcome of developing RhD alloimmunisation confirmed with a positive Kleihauer–Bette test. Conflicts were resolved through discussion and consensus first between MCC and RKG and, if further discussion was needed, with the third reviewer CRK. We used a standard template for data abstraction (table 1).

Data synthesis
A narrative synthesis was performed; the findings are summarised based on study design, population, intervention, comparison, results, strengths and weaknesses based on information reported by the study authors and subjective assessment of the review authors (table 1). The limited number and heterogeneity of the included studies prevent the conduction of a meta-analysis. Risk of bias was assessed by MCC and CRK with quality of evidence assessment frameworks. Cochrane was used
Table 1  Rhesus (Rh) isoimmunisation in unsensitised Rh-negative individuals seeking abortion at <12 weeks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year, funding</th>
<th>Study design, location, year(s) of data collection, follow-up</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Strengths</th>
<th>Weaknesses</th>
<th>Risk of bias</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gavin (1972) Funding: Ortho Research Foundation and the Kaiser Foundation Hospital</td>
<td>Design: prospective double-blind Location: Kaiser Foundation Hospital in Los Angeles, California - Bellflower and Panorama City Duration of data collection: 1 November 1969–15 August 1970 Follow-up: 4 months with indirect Coombs and paternal genotyping (this was only done in 50% of couples)</td>
<td>491 women underwent therapeutic abortions 180 treated for spontaneous abortion 57 were found to be Rh-negative and indirect Coombs at the time of abortion n=57</td>
<td>Within 72 hours of abortion given RhoGAM - 21 patients 8–16 weeks and a 24-week preterm delivery received RhoGAM</td>
<td>Within 72 hours given placebo - 36 patients received placebo 4–20 weeks</td>
<td>2/36 sensitisations from placebo group Patient 1 – G1 with TA at 81 days under GA, 1:16 positive indirect Coombs 7 and 9 months after, no history of transfusions or previous pregnancies Patient 2 – G1 TA at 76 days under GA, 1:2 positive indirect Coombs test 3 months and 19 days after abortion, no history of termination or previous pregnancies No patients receiving RhoGAM sensitised</td>
<td>1. Described as “double-blinded RCT” (poor) 2. Objective measurement of outcome; titres</td>
<td>1. Randomisation and blinding are not detailed 2. Follow-up rate of patients is not reported 3. No defined sample size 4. No power calculations 5. There is no Table 1 on the demographics of the patients 6. No statistics performed (e.g., intention to treat analysis)</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goldman and Eckerling (1972) Funding: source not noted</td>
<td>Design: prospective? Location: not noted, but ?Tel Aviv Duration of data collection: not noted Follow-up: 6 months or more Antibody titres at 6 weeks, 3 months and 6 months after abortion Patients with antibodies at 3 months → ask to continue serological follow-up for 24 months after abortion</td>
<td>170 postabortion patients ≥6 months in Rh-negative mothers (no Rh antibodies in her blood and a negative Coombs test) - When possible, husband’s ABO blood group determined to ensure compatibility with wife’s</td>
<td>48 patients in treated group Prior to Rh injection, serological test to confirm ABO group and Rh type, indirect Coombs and antibody titres</td>
<td>5/122 patients in control group sensitised</td>
<td>Incidence of Rh immunisation in a period of 6 months or more postabortion in Rh-negative patients</td>
<td>1. Table documenting “admin” – who got anti-D and who didn’t 2. Follow-up of Rh-negative patients</td>
<td>1. Patients were not randomised; placed in the control group when anti-D was not available 2. No allocation concealment 3. No follow-up rate reported 4. No sample size calculation 5. No power calculation</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G1, primiparous; GA, general anaesthesia; TA, therapeutic abortion.
The primary aim of this study was to identify Rh sensitisation after an abortion in those who did and did not receive RhoGAM. There was no clear description of data collection duration. For example, patients were followed for different times depending on their antibody status. All postabortion participants were observed for 6 months with antibody titres performed at 6 weeks, 3 months and 6 months. In sensitised participants with antibodies detected at 3 months, repeat follow-up serology was performed 24 months after abortion. The study followed patients identified as RhD-negative mothers without antibodies in their blood and a negative Coombs test. When possible, a husband’s ABO blood group was also determined. There were 48 in the intervention group, receiving an injection of RhoGAM, and 122 in the control group. The main outcome was incidence of rhesus sensitisation in a period of 6 months or more postabortion in RhD-negative mothers. The study identified five mothers who became sensitised in the control group. There were no sensitisations found in the intervention group. The authors of this review assessed the limitations of the study and its quality which included: patients not randomised (patients were placed in the control group if RhoGAM was not available), lack of allocation concealment, lack of reported follow-up rate, no sample size or power calculation. The study did not identify sensitised patients within the intervention group.

**DISCUSSION**

Our review identifies limited evidence on the effectiveness of anti-D administration in women who are RhD-negative and undergo an early abortion. This is consistent with past literature reviews which also provided limited results. Our findings are consistent that there are few studies showing maternal sensitisation or fetal haemolytic disease due to foetal-maternal haemorrhage from first-trimester abortion. Furthermore, evidence surrounding sensitisation secondary to ectopic pregnancy is very limited.

There is no evidence of clear benefit in early pregnancy or the gestational age at which sensitisation can occur among aspiration or medication abortion. Current clinical practice guidelines are generally based on expert opinions. The prevalence of RhD-negative varies among populations. A review comparing Canadian and Netherlands policies surrounding Rh immunoglobulin administration in first-trimester pregnancy...
found no differences in sensitisation. The populations between Canada and the Netherlands were found to be similar, however there is a difference in practice: Canada recommending Rh immunoglobulin administration and the Netherlands, at the time of this study, offering Rh immunoglobulin to RhD-negative women having spontaneous abortions over 10 weeks' gestation and induced abortions over 7 weeks 0 days. Furthermore, in the Netherlands, where no anti-D immunoglobulin is provided routinely in early abortion, showed lower sensitisation rates than Canada. There does not appear to be a clear benefit in Rh immunoglobulin administration in the first trimester.

A recent prospective study examined 42 pregnant people at 5–12 weeks' gestational age for the minimum fetal red blood cell concentration required to cause maternal Rh sensitisation. The study found fetal cell exposure in the first trimester to be well below a calculated threshold for Rh sensitisation. Of note, the study used flow cytometry which is a more accurate marker of fetomaternal haemorrhage. However, the authors identified that larger studies are needed to confirm their study findings. These findings also support the suggestion there is no advantage in Rh immunoglobulin administration in the first trimester.

This review is robust from the systematic search of the literature. However, the studies included for this review were of poor quality. Included studies are quite dated whereby flow cytometry is now considered the most accurate method of testing. Given this, it is important to consider changes in clinical practice and standards contextually. For example, previous surgical techniques likely included more sharp curetage relative to today’s standards. Additionally, the included studies had small sample sizes, with approximately 50 women in each study.

Given minimal quality evidence and no clear benefit of RhD immunoglobulin administration after early abortion, and some disadvantages of doing so, further research is needed. Some disadvantages to the routine administration of RhD immunoglobulin include: cost, cold storage, and barriers to access in the context of increasing self-managed abortions. There is also a dissonance surrounding clinically used versus laboratory research measurements of isoimmunisation. For example, the Kleihauer–Betke test is widely available and clinically used in contrast to new evidence that supports flow cytometry as the most accurate method of assessment. A more robust study should utilise flow cytometry to define sensitisation. Included studies in this review utilised the Kleihauer–Betke test which is more accessible and practical in clinical settings compared with flow cytometry. Up-to-date studies with accessible routine laboratory methods and standard definitions for sensitisation or follow-up times to appreciate sensitisation are needed rather than referring to dated studies with limited quality, such as the ones included in this review. In the process of reinforcing standards of practice, practical guideline application is needed for potential global clinical practice change. This would include considerations not limited to: (a) anti-D administration logistics and practicalities, (b) access to instruments or tools to appreciate sensitisation and (c) access, including people self-managing abortion, as medical abortion is becoming more prevalent and thus do anti-D immunoglobulin recommendations help or hinder access to safe abortion care.

CONCLUSIONS

Rh immunoglobulin has well-documented safety. However, it is not without associated risks and costs, and it introduces barriers to accessing care that may impact especially on populations with restricted access (ie, legal, financial or resource-wise). The evidence base and quality of studies are currently limited, but there is some reassurance and experience from several national guidelines to justify no longer requiring its use in the first trimester. There is unclear benefit from the recommendation for Rh testing and immunoglobulin administration in early pregnancy. Clinical practice guidelines are based on expert opinions which are varied and moving away from testing and administration at the time of abortion. Therefore, more robust research would help give the reassurance needed to achieve substantial changes to guide clinical practice.
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Supplement 1 – Search Strategy

The following databases from their inception:

- EBM Reviews Ovid - Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials
- MEDLINE Ovid (Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations and Daily)
- Embase.com
- Popline [https://www.popline.org/advancedsearch](https://www.popline.org/advancedsearch)
- Google Scholar

The following trials registries:

- The World Health Organization International Clinical Trials Registry Platform [www.who.int/trialsearch](http://www.who.int/trialsearch)
- ClinicalTrials.gov [www.clinicaltrials.gov](http://www.clinicaltrials.gov)

Ovid MEDLINE(R) and Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations and Daily 1946 to July 22, 2019

Date searched: July 23, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Searches</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Abortion, Induced/ or Abortion, Eugenic/ or Abortion, Legal/ or Abortion, Therapeutic/ or Abortion, Criminal/ or Abortion Applicants/</td>
<td>40273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(abortion* or MTOP or VTOP or preabortion* or postabortion* or feticid* or foeticid* or ((interrup* or terminat*) adj4 pregnan*) or (labo?r adj2 terminat*) or (menstrua* adj3 (induc* or regulat*))).ti,ab,kf.</td>
<td>71537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Abortifacient Agents/ or Abortifacient Agents, Nonsteroidal/ or Abortifacient Agents, Steroidal/ or Menstruation-Inducing Agents/ or ((Carboprost/ or Dinoprost/ or Mifepristone/ or Misoprostol/ or Methotrexate/) and (Abortion, Induced/ or Abortion, Eugenic/ or Abortion, Legal/ or Abortion, Missed/ or Abortion, Therapeutic/ or Abortion, Criminal/ or Abortion Applicants/))</td>
<td>5190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(abortifacient* or ((mifepristone or misoprostol or methotrexate or dinoprost* or carboprost or sulprostone or gemonprost or metenepristone or onapristone or epostane or</td>
<td>5396</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
oxytocin or prostaglandin*) and (abortion* or "interruption of pregnancy" or "termination of pregnancy* or pregnancy-termination*)}).ti,ab,kf.

5 "Dilatation and Curettage"/ or Vacuum Curettage/

6 ("D&C" or "D & C" or "D/C" or D&E or "D & E" or D&X or "D & X" or MVA or ((dilation or dilatation or electric or gestation or manual or pregna* or sharp or suction or surgical or uterine or uterus or vacuum) adj3 (aspiration* or curettage or evacuation* or extraction or termination*))).ti,ab,kf.

7 or/1-6

8 "Rh Isoimmunization"/ or "Rho(D) Immune Globulin"/ or "RHO(D) Antibody"/ or Isoantibodies/ or Isoantigens/ or Rh-Hr Blood-Group System/ or Fetomaternal Transfusion/

9 (alloimmun* or allo-immun* or anti-D or anti-Rh or anti-RhD or ((Fetomaternal or fetomaternal or foetalmaternal or foeto-maternal or transplacental or trans-placental) adj3 (haemorrhag* or hemorrhag* or transfusion)) or hydrops or ((Rh or RhD or "Rho(D)" or "Rho(D)" or "Rh o (D)" or RhiG or Rhesus) adj5 (hemoly* or haemoly* or incompat* or immun* or immunoglobulin* or immune-globulin or immunoprophylaxis or immuno-prophylaxis or isoantibod* or isoimmun* or iso-immun* or negative or prophyla* or sensiti*)}).ti,ab,kf.

10 (WinRho or Rhogam).ti,ab,kf.

11 or/8-10

12 and/7,11

13 12 not ((exp Animals/ not Humans/) or (cat or cats or dog or dogs or macaque* or murine or moose or rabbit* or rat or rats or sheep).ti,ab,kf.)

**Embase.com**

Date searched: July 23, 2019

<p>| #11 | #10 AND [embase]/lim NOT ([embase]/lim AND [medline]/lim) | 584 |
| #10 | #4 AND #8 AND [humans]/lim | 1,964 |
| #9  | #4 AND #8 | 2,356 |
| #8  | #5 OR #6 OR #7 | 223,873 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Searches</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(abortion* or MTOP or VTOP or preabortion* or postabortion* or feticide* or foeticide* or ((interrupt* or terminat*) adj4 pregnan*) or (menstrua* adj3 (induc* or regulat*))).ti,ab.</td>
<td>4280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>((dilation or dilatation or electric or gestation or manual or pregnan* or sharp or suction or surgical or uterine or uterus or vacuum) adj3 (aspiration* or curettage or evacuation* or extraction or terminat*)).ti,ab.</td>
<td>2966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>or/1-2</td>
<td>5627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>((alloimmun* or allo-immun* or anti-D or anti-Rh or anti-RhD or ((Fetomaternal or feto-maternal or foetomaternal or foeto-maternal or transplacental or trans-placental) adj3 (haemorrhag* or hemorrhag* or transfusion)) or ((Rh or RhD or &quot;Rh(D)&quot; or &quot;Rho (D)&quot; or &quot;Rh o (D)&quot; or RhiG or Rhesus) adj5 (hemoly* or haemoly* or incompat* or immum* or immunoglobulin* or immune-).ti,ab.</td>
<td>697</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EBM Reviews - Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials June 2019**

Date searched: July 23, 2019

1. winrho:ti,ab,kw OR rhogam:ti,ab,kw
2. alloimmun*:ti,ab,kw OR 'allo immun*':ti,ab,kw OR 'anti d':ti,ab,kw OR 'anti rh':ti,ab,kw OR 'anti rhd':ti,ab,kw OR Immunoglobulin*:ti,ab,kw OR 'immune globulin':ti,ab,kw OR Immunophylaxis:ti,ab,kw OR isoantibod*:ti,ab,kw OR isoimmun*:ti,ab,kw OR 'iso immun*':ti,ab,kw OR (((rh or rhd or rhod or 'rho d' or 'rh o d' or rhlg or rhesus) NEAR/5 (hemoly* or haemoly* or incompat* or immum* or negative or prophyla* or sensiti*)):ti,ab,kw)
3. 'rhesus isoimmunization'/exp OR 'rhesus antibody'/exp OR 'rhesus d antibody'/exp OR 'blood group rhesus system'/exp
4. #1 OR #2 OR #3
5. 'induced abortion'/exp OR 'abortion'/exp

EBM Reviews - Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials June 2019
Date searched: July 23, 2019

1. (abortion* or MTOP or VTOP or preabortion* or postabortion* or feticide* or foeticide* or ((interrupt* or terminat*) adj4 pregnan*) or (menstrua* adj3 (induc* or regulat*)).ti,ab.
2. ((dilation or dilatation or electric or gestation or manual or pregnan* or sharp or suction or surgical or uterine or uterus or vacuum) adj3 (aspiration* or curettage or evacuation* or extraction or terminat*).ti,ab.
3. or/1-2
4. ((alloimmun* or allo-immun* or anti-D or anti-Rh or anti-RhD or ((Fetomaternal or feto-maternal or foetomaternal or foeto-maternal or transplacental or trans-placental) adj3 (haemorrhag* or hemorrhag* or transfusion)) or ((Rh or RhD or "Rh(D)" or "Rho (D)" or "Rh o (D)" or RhiG or Rhesus) adj5 (hemoly* or haemoly* or incompat* or immum* or immunoglobulin* or immune-
globulin or immunoprophylaxis or immuno-prophylaxis or isoantibod* or isoimmun* or iso-immun* or negative or prophyla* or sensiti*).ti,ab.

5 (WinRho or Rhogam).ti,ab. 10
6 or/4-5 702
7 and/3,6 26

POPLINE
Date searched: July 23, 2019
ALL FIELDS:
abortion* OR MTOP OR VTOP OR preabortion* OR postabortion* OR feticid* OR foeticid* OR ((interrup* OR terminat*) AND pregnan*) OR (menstrua* AND (induc* OR regulat*)) OR ((dilation OR dilatation OR electric OR gestation OR manual OR pregnan* OR sharp OR suction OR surgical OR uterine OR uterus OR vacuum) AND (aspiration* OR curettage OR evacuation* OR extraction OR terminat*))
AND
ALL FIELDS:
alloimun* OR allo-immun* OR anti-D OR anti-Rh OR anti-RhD OR ((Fetomaternal OR feto-maternal OR foeto-maternal OR foeto-placental OR transplacental OR trans-placental) AND (haemorrhag* OR hemorrhag* OR transfusion)) OR ((Rh OR RhD OR "Rho(D)" OR "Rho (D)" OR "Rh o (D)" OR RhIG OR Rhesus) AND (hemoly* OR haemoly* OR incompat* OR immum* OR immunoglobulin* OR immune-globulin OR immunoprophylaxis OR immuno-prophylaxis OR isoantibod* OR isoimmun* OR iso-immun* OR negative OR prophyla* OR sensiti*)) OR WinRho OR Rhogam
80 results

ClinicalTrials.gov
Date searched: July 23, 2019
abortion OR interruption OR termination OR aspiration OR extraction OR curettage OR evacuation | (alloimmun* OR allo-immun* OR anti-D OR anti-Rh OR Fetomaternal OR feto-maternal OR foeto-maternal OR foeto-placental OR RhD OR Rho(D) OR RhIG OR Rhesus OR Immun* OR isoantibod* OR isoimmun* OR iso-immun* OR WinRho OR Rhogam) = 0 studies

WHO ICTRP
Date searched: July 23, 2019
TITLE: anti
AND
alloimmun* OR allo-immun* OR anti-D OR anti-Rh OR anti-RhD OR Fetomaternal OR feto-maternal OR foetomaternal OR foeto-maternal OR Rh OR RhD OR Rho OR RhlG OR Rhesus
= 15 studies

Google Scholar
Date searched: July 23, 2019

(abortion* OR ((interrup* OR terminat*) AND pregnan*) OR ((dilation OR dilatation OR electric OR gestation OR manual OR pregnan* OR suction OR uterine OR uterus OR vacuum) AND (aspiration* OR curettage OR evacuation* OR extraction OR terminat*))))) AND (alloimmun* OR allo-immun* OR anti-D OR anti-Rh OR anti-RhD OR Fetomaternal OR feto-maternal OR foetomaternal OR foeto-maternal OR Rh OR RhD OR Rho OR RhlG OR Rhesus)

A search update was performed 13 May 2021, with no further studies meeting inclusion criteria.

Medline
Ovid MEDLINE(R) and Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process, In-Data-Review & Other Non-Indexed Citations and Daily 1946 to May 13, 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Searches</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Abortion, Induced/ or Abortion, Eugenic/ or Abortion, Legal/ or Abortion, Missed/ or Abortion, Therapeutic/ or Abortion, Criminal/ or Abortion Applicants/</td>
<td>41594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(abortion* or MTOP or VTOP or preabortion* or postabortion* or feticid* or foeticid* or ((interrup* or terminat*) adj4 pregnan*) or (labo?r adj2 terminat*) or (menstrua* adj3 (induc* or regulat*))).ti,ab,kf.</td>
<td>76673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Abortifacient Agents/ or Abortifacient Agents, Nonsteroidal/ or Abortifacient Agents, Steroidal/ or Menstruation-Inducing Agents/ or ((Carboprost/ or Dinoprost/ or Mifepristone/ or Misoprostol/ or Methotrexate/) and (Abortion, Induced/ or Abortion, Eugenic/ or Abortion, Legal/ or Abortion, Missed/ or Abortion, Therapeutic/ or Abortion, Criminal/ or Abortion Applicants/))</td>
<td>5423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(abortifacient* or ((mifepristone or misoprostol or methotrexate or dinoprostone) or carboprost or sulprostone or gemeprost or meteneprost or llopristone or onapristone or epostane or oxytocin or prostaglandin*) and (abortion* or &quot;interruption of pregnancy&quot; or &quot;termination of pregnancy&quot; or pregnancy-termination*)).ti,ab,kf.</td>
<td>5695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>&quot;Dilatation and Curettage&quot;/ or Vacuum Curettage/</td>
<td>3102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(&quot;D&amp;C&quot; or &quot;D &amp; C&quot; or &quot;D/C&quot; or D&amp;E or &quot;D &amp; E&quot; or D&amp;X or &quot;D &amp; X&quot; or MVA or ((dilation or dilatation or electric or gestation or manual or pregnan* or sharp or suction or surgical or uterine or uterus or vacuum) adj3 (aspiration* or curettage or evacuation* or extraction or terminat*)).ti,ab,kf.</td>
<td>360810</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In line 14, I used the Create Date — the day the citation record is entered into Medline. This will capture everything published since July 23, 2019, but also anything published slightly before July 23 that was added at a later time.

**Embase**

I only have access to Embase through the Ovid platform. The search results followed a fairly similar pattern to what I could see in your previous Embase.com results. While I did not remove Medline articles in the search, I manually removed them afterward. In line 13, I removed one result that overlapped with the Medline results.

**Embase** 1974 to 2021 May 13 (Ovid Version)
In line 10, I limited the search using the Date Delivered field – which acts similarly to the Create Date in Ovid Medline.

EBM Reviews
EBM Reviews - Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials April 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Searches</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(abortion* or MTOP or VTOP or preabortion* or postabortion* or feticid* or foeticid* or ((interrup* or terminat*) adj4 pregnan*) or (menstrua* adj3 (induc* or regulat*)).ti,ab.</td>
<td>5027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>((dilation or dilatation or electric or gestation or manual or pregnan* or sharp or suction or surgical or uterine or uterus or vacuum) adj3 (aspiration* or curettage or evacuation* or extraction or terminat*).ti,ab.</td>
<td>3551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 or 2</td>
<td>6719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(alloimmun* or allo-immun* or anti-D or anti-Rh or anti-RhD or ((Fetomaternal or feto-maternal or foetomaternal or foeto-maternal or transplacental or trans-placental) adj3 (haemorrhag* or hemorrhag* or transfusion)) or ((Rh or RhD or &quot;Rh(D)&quot; or &quot;Rh (D)&quot; or &quot;Rh o (D)&quot; or RhlG or Rhesus) adj5 (hemoly* or haemoly* or incompat* or immun* or immunoglobulin* or immune-globulin or...)</td>
<td>774</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
immunophylaxis or immuno-prophylaxis or isoantibod* or isoimmun* or iso immun* or negative or prophyla* or sensiti*)}.ti,ab.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(WinRho or Rhogam).ti,ab.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4 or 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3 and 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No new results

**POPLINE**
Unfortunately, this database was retired in September 2019, so I was unable to search it.

**ClinicalTrials.gov**
Broken down into 2 searches (to fit the character limit):

(abortion OR interruption OR termination OR aspiration OR extraction OR curettage OR evacuation) AND (alloimmun* OR allo-immun* OR anti-D OR anti-Rh OR Fetomaternal OR fetomaternal OR foetomaternal OR foeto-maternal OR RhD OR Rho(D) OR RhIG)

(abortion OR interruption OR termination OR aspiration OR extraction OR curettage OR evacuation) AND (Rhesus OR immun* OR isoantibod* OR isoimmun* OR iso-immun* OR WinRho OR Rhogam)

Found 1 result:

https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04701034?cond=%28abortion+OR+interruption+OR+termination+OR+aspiration+OR+extraction+OR+curettage+OR+evacuation%29+AND+%28Rhesus+OR+immun*+OR+isoantibod*+OR+isoimmun*+OR+iso-immun*+OR+WinRho+OR+Rhogam%29&draw=2&rank=1

**WHO ICTRP**
Searching in the Title field:

anti AND alloimmun* OR allo-immun* OR anti-D OR anti-Rh OR anti-RhD OR Fetomaternal OR fetomaternal OR foetomaternal OR foeto-maternal OR Rh OR RhD OR Rho OR RhoG OR Rhesus

Results:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main ID</th>
<th>Public Title</th>
<th>Date of Registration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NCT04734379</td>
<td>Rho Kinase (ROCK) Inhibitor in Tauopathies - 1</td>
<td>2021-01-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT04682912</td>
<td>Blood Types in Children With COVID-19</td>
<td>2020-12-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT04600674</td>
<td>Delayed Cord Clamping in Rhesus Disease of the Newborn</td>
<td>2020-10-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPRN-jRCT2052200064</td>
<td>Effectiveness and Safety of RH-01 in Patients with Cardiac Disease and Indication of Cardiac Rehabilitation: A Multicenter Parallel-group Randomized Control Trial</td>
<td>2020-10-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT04484896</td>
<td>Message Framing on Recruiting Rh-D Negative Blood Donors</td>
<td>2020-07-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChiCTR2000033786</td>
<td>Effect and mechanism of micro negative pressure therapy on burn wound: a randomized controlled trial.</td>
<td>2020-06-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT04364308</td>
<td>The Relationship Between Umbilical Cord ph and Feto-maternal Doppler Studies in Scheduled Nonlaboring Term Singleton Caesarean Deliveries</td>
<td>2020-04-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT04556188</td>
<td>The Clinical Influence of Developing a Sustainable</td>
<td>2020-03-17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cardiac Surgery Service to Reduce the Burden of Rheumatic Heart Disease in Sub-Saharan Africa

NCT04285398  Prospective Natural History Study of Retinitis Pigmentosa  2020-02-24

NCT04234932  Short-term Effect of Rho-kinase Inhibitor on Retinal Circulation  2020-01-15

NCT04156893  RH Genotype Matched RBC Transfusions  2019-11-06

NCT04156906  RHD Genotype Matching for Anti-D  2019-11-06

NCT04120883  Oral Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) for Retinitis Pigmentosa Caused by P23H-Rhodopsin (RHO)  2019-10-08

NCT04123626  A Study to Evaluate the Safety and Tolerability of QR-1123 in Subjects With Autosomal Dominant Retinitis Pigmentosa Due to the P23H Mutation in the RHO Gene  2019-10-01

Google Scholar was not repeated in the updated search, given it is not a database.
Citation
Michelle Chan, Caron Kim, Roopan Gill. A systematic review: Rh isoimmunization in unsensitized Rh negative individuals seeking abortion <12 weeks. PROSPERO 2020 CRD42020149073 Available from: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_record.php?ID=CRD42020149073

Review question
Among women who undergo an abortion, medical or surgical, what is the rate of isoimmunization in subsequent pregnancy.

Searches
Run on 23 July 2019 by Fertility Regulation Group Information Specialist, Robin Paynter (MLIS), conducted a search for all published, unpublished, and ongoing studies, without restrictions on language or publication status.

The following databases from their inception:
- EBM Reviews Ovid - Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL)
- MEDLINE Ovid (Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations and Daily)
- Embase.com
- Popline https://www.popline.org/advancedsearch
- Google Scholar

The following trials registries:
- The World Health Organization International Clinical Trials Registry Platform www.who.int/trialsearch

Types of study to be included
Types of studies:
Included: Primary studies including RCTs, controlled trials, cohort studies, case-control studies

Excluded: Case series, review articles, editorials, letters, advisories, non-comparative studies, unpublished manuscripts, conference abstracts, diagnostic studies, animal studies, cost-benefit analysis, study with basic science outcomes

- Date cut off: nothing before 1971 *WHO recommended in this year to its nations that Rh testing and treatment with immune globulin be made part of the standard protocol of medical care for pregnant women
- Non-English publications

Condition or domain being studied
In most countries anti-D Immunoglobulin G is provided for rhesus (Rh)-negative patients. The administration
of Rh-immunoglobulin was first introduced in 1968 and significantly reduced immunization to D-antigen (Fiala 2003). There is sparse evidence for such intervention after abortion in early pregnancy (Fiala 2003). Early abortion includes threatened, spontaneous, surgical or medical abortion.

Currently, the WHO recommends Rh testing and administration of anti-D in populations with higher prevalence of Rh-negative individuals. Recently, the National Abortion Federation of North America published a statement that women presenting for early abortion can forego Rh testing and anti-D immunoglobulin administration (Mark 2019).

Participants/population
Reproductive aged women who have recently undergone surgical or medical management of pregnancy <12 weeks (includes induced, incomplete, spontaneous, or septic abortion)

Intervention(s), exposure(s)
Intervention:
Routine administration of Anti-D

Comparator(s)/control
Comparisons:
No administration of Anti-D

Context
Global setting

Main outcome(s)
Primary outcomes
1. Development of a positive Kleihauer Betke test (a test that detects fetal cells in the maternal blood).
2. Development of RhD alloimmunisation in a subsequent pregnancy.

Measures of effect
As defined by studies

Additional outcome(s)
Secondary outcomes
1. Detection of atypical blood group antibodies by positive indirect Coombs test after six months of exposure (non-prespecified outcome).
2. Need for increased surveillance for suspected fetal blood sampling and fetal transfusions in subsequent pregnancies.
3. Neonatal morbidity such as neonatal anaemia, jaundice, bilirubin encephalopathy, erythroblastosis, prematurity, hypoglycaemia (low blood sugar) in subsequent pregnancies.
4. Maternal adverse events of anti-D administration including anaphylactic reaction

Measures of effect
As defined by studies

Data extraction (selection and coding)
With less than 20 articles, all data extraction will be performed by Dr. Michelle Chan. Data extraction will be
obtained directly from the original published article. Recording of information for the data abstraction will occur in a table format, with the following headings. (1) Author, Year, Funding (2) Study Design, location, year(s) of data collection, follow-up (3) Population (4) Intervention (5) Comparison (6) Results (7) Strengths (8) Weaknesses (9) Risk of Bias.

**Risk of bias (quality) assessment**
Risk of bias quality assessment will be addressed with criteria depending on study design.

**RCT** - List of factors for RCT quality framework: Adequate randomization (computer generated); Allocation concealment (opaque sealed envelopes); Blinding of participants and personnel; Blinding of outcome assessment;

Objective measurement of outcome; Incomplete data/lost to follow-up; Small sample size (need to define the size); Power calculations by appropriate outcome measure.

**Observational studies** - List of factors for observational quality framework: Population, Participation and follow up rates as above; Defined exposure (esp with comparator); Exposure defining, duration, timing; Measurement of isoimmunization; Confounding issue.

**Strategy for data synthesis**
Data synthesis will primarily be descriptive analysis based on the outcomes. Pooled data will be reviewed with the GRADE system.

Given the anticipated small number of articles, this review will be primarily narrative. A descriptive analysis will provide summary of the studies including: study location, years of data collection, follow-up, population, intervention, comparison, results, strengths and weaknesses. Risk of bias will also be included.

A broader summary and tables of primary outcomes:

1. Development of a positive Kleihauer Betke test (a test that detects fetal cells in the maternal blood).
2. Development of RhD alloimmunisation in a subsequent pregnancy.

and secondary outcomes:

1. Detection of atypical blood group antibodies by positive indirect Coombs test after six months of exposure (non-prespecified outcome).
2. Need for increased surveillance for suspected fetal blood sampling and fetal transfusions in subsequent pregnancies.
3. Neonatal morbidity such as neonatal anaemia, jaundice, bilirubin encephalopathy, erythroblastosis, prematurity, hypoglycaemia (low blood sugar) in subsequent pregnancies.
4. Maternal adverse events of anti-D administration including anaphylactic reaction

and secondary outcomes (will also be included.

**Analysis of subgroups or subsets**
Not applicable.

**Contact details for further information**
Michelle C Chan
michelle.c.chan@gmail.com

**Organisational affiliation of the review**
University of British Columbia/ World Health Organization, Department of Reproductive Health & Research.
Review team members and their organisational affiliations
Dr Michelle Chan. University of British Columbia/ World Health Organization, Department of Reproductive Health & Research
Dr Caron Kim. World Health Organization, Department of Reproductive Health & Research
Dr Roopan Gill. World Health Organization, Department of Reproductive Health & Research

Collaborators
Ms Robyn Paynter. Cochrane Fertility Regulation Group Information Specialist, MLS

Type and method of review
Systematic review

Anticipated or actual start date
23 July 2019

Anticipated completion date
29 February 2020

Funding sources/sponsors
Not applicable, no funders

Conflicts of interest

Language
English

Country
Canada, Switzerland, United States of America

Stage of review
Review Ongoing

Subject index terms status
Subject indexing assigned by CRD

Subject index terms
Abortion, Induced; Abortion, Spontaneous; Female; Humans; Pregnancy; Rh Isoimmunization

Date of registration in PROSPERO
28 April 2020

Date of first submission
30 August 2019

Stage of review at time of this submission

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Started</th>
<th>Completed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary searches</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piloting of the study selection process</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formal screening of search results against eligibility criteria</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data extraction</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk of bias (quality) assessment</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data analysis</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The record owner confirms that the information they have supplied for this submission is accurate and complete and they understand that deliberate provision of inaccurate information or omission of data may be construed as scientific misconduct.

The record owner confirms that they will update the status of the review when it is completed and will add publication details in due course.

Versions
28 April 2020
Supplements:

Supplement 1 – Search Strategy

The following databases from their inception:

- EBM Reviews Ovid - Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials
- MEDLINE Ovid (Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations and Daily)
- Embase.com
- Popline [https://www.popline.org/advancedsearch](https://www.popline.org/advancedsearch)
- Google Scholar

The following trials registries:

- The World Health Organization International Clinical Trials Registry Platform [www.who.int/trialsearch](http://www.who.int/trialsearch)
- ClinicalTrials.gov [www.clinicaltrials.gov](http://www.clinicaltrials.gov)

Ovid MEDLINE(R) and Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations and Daily 1946 to July 22, 2019

Date searched: July 23, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Searches</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Abortion, Induced/ or Abortion, Eugenic/ or Abortion, Legal/ or Abortion, Therapeutic/ or Abortion, Criminal/ or Abortion Applicants/</td>
<td>40273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(abortion* or MTOP or VTOP or preabortion* or postabortion* or feticid* or foeticid* or ((interrup* or terminat*) adj4 pregnan*) or (labo?r adj2 terminat*) or (menstrua* adj3 (induc* or regulat*))).ti,ab,kf.</td>
<td>71537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Abortifacient Agents/ or Abortifacient Agents, Nonsteroidal/ or Abortifacient Agents, Steroidal/ or Menstruation-Inducing Agents/ or ((Carboprost/ or Dinoprost/ or Mifepristone/ or Misoprostol/ or Methotrexate/) and (Abortion, Induced/ or Abortion, Eugenic/ or Abortion, Legal/ or Abortion, Missed/ or Abortion, Therapeutic/ or Abortion, Criminal/ or Abortion Applicants/))</td>
<td>5190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(abortifacient* or ((mifepristone or misoprostol or methotrexate or dinoprost* or carboprost or sulprostone or g membrane or meteneprust or onapristone or epostane or</td>
<td>5396</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
oxytocin or prostaglandin*) and (abortion* or "interruption of pregnancy" or "termination of pregnancy" or pregnancy-termination*))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5</th>
<th>&quot;Dilatation and Curettage&quot;/ or Vacuum Curettage/</th>
<th>3000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(&quot;D&amp;C&quot; or &quot;D &amp; C&quot; or &quot;D/C&quot; or D&amp;E or &quot;D &amp; E&quot; or D&amp;X or &quot;D &amp; X&quot; or MVA or ((dilation or dilatation or electric or gestation or manual or pregman* or sharp or suction or surgical or uterine or uterus or vacuum) adj3 (aspiration* or curettage or evacuation* or extraction or terminat*))).ti,ab,kf.</td>
<td>303323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>or/1-6</td>
<td>373037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>&quot;Rh Isoimmunization&quot;/ or &quot;Rho(D) Immune Globulin&quot;/ or &quot;RHO(D) Antibody&quot;/ or Isoantibodies/ or Isoantigens/ or Rh-Hr Blood-Group System/ or Fetomaternal Transfusion/</td>
<td>32528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>(alloimmun* or allo-immun* or anti-D or anti-Rh or anti-RhD or ((Fetomaternal or feto-maternal or foetomaternal or foeto-maternal or transplacental or trans-placental) adj3 (haemorrhag* or hemorrhag* or transfusion)) or hydrops or ((Rh or RhD or &quot;Rho(D)&quot; or &quot;Rho (D)&quot; or &quot;Rh o (D)&quot; or RhiG or Rhesus) adj5 (hemoly* or haemoly* or incompat* or immun* or immunoglobulin* or immune-globulin or immunoprophylaxis or immuno prophylaxis or isoantibod* or isoimmun* or iso-immun* or negative or prophyla* or sensit*)).ti,ab,kf.</td>
<td>24035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>(WinRho or Rhogam).ti,ab,kf.</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>or/8-10</td>
<td>48774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>and/7,11</td>
<td>2335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>12 not ((exp Animals/ not Humans/) or (cat or cats or dog or dogs or macaque* or murine or moose or rabbit* or rat or rats or sheep).ti,ab,kf.)</td>
<td>1987</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Embase.com**

Date searched: July 23, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#11</th>
<th>#10 AND [embase]/lim NOT ([embase]/lim AND [medline]/lim)</th>
<th>584</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#10</td>
<td>#4 AND #8 AND [humans]/lim</td>
<td>1,964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#9</td>
<td>#4 AND #8</td>
<td>2,356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#8</td>
<td>#5 OR #6 OR #7</td>
<td>223,873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Search</td>
<td>Results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(abortion* or MTOP or VTOP or preabortion* or postabortion* or feticid* or foeticid* or</td>
<td>4280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(interrupt* or terminat*) adj4 pregnan* or (menstrua* adj3 (induc* or regulat*))),ti,ab.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(dilation or dilatation or electric or gestation or manual or pregnan* or sharp or suction</td>
<td>2966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>or surgical or uterine or uterus or vacuum) adj3 (aspiration* or curettage or evacuation* or</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>extraction or terminat*)),ti,ab.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>or/1-2</td>
<td>5627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(alloimmun* or allo-immun* or anti-D or anti-Rh or anti-RhD or ((Fetomaternal or feto-maternal</td>
<td>697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>or foetomaternal or foeto-maternal or transplacental or trans-placental) adj3 (haemorrhag*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>or hemorrhag* or transfusion)) or ((Rh or RhD or &quot;Rho(D)&quot; or &quot;Rho (D)&quot; or &quot;Rh o (D)&quot; or RhiG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>or Rhesus) adj5 (hemoly* or haemoly* or incompat* or immun* or immunoglobulin* or immune-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TITLE: anti
AND
alloimmun* OR allo-immun* OR anti-D OR anti-Rh OR anti-RhD OR Fetomaternal OR feto-
maternal OR foetomaternal OR foeto-maternal OR Rh OR RhD OR Rho OR RhIG OR Rhesus
= 15 studies

Google Scholar
Date searched: July 23, 2019

(abortion* OR ((interrup* OR terminat*) AND pregnan*) OR ((dilation OR dilatation OR electric OR
gestation OR manual OR pregnan* OR suction OR uterine OR uterus OR vacuum) AND (aspiration* OR
curettage OR evacuation* OR extraction OR terminat*))) AND (alloimmun* OR allo-immun* OR anti-D
OR anti-Rh OR anti-RhD OR Fetomaternal OR feto-maternal OR foetomaternal OR foeto-maternal OR
Rh OR RhD OR Rho OR RhIG OR Rhesus)

A search update was performed 13 May 2021, with no further studies meeting inclusion criteria.

Medline
Ovid MEDLINE(R) and Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process, In-Data-Review & Other Non-Indexed Citations and
Daily 1946 to May 13, 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Searches</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1 | Abortion, Induced/ or Abortion, Eugenic/ or Abortion, Legal/ or Abortion, Missed/ or Abortion,
Therapeutic/ or Abortion, Criminal/ or Abortion Applicants/ | 41594 |
| 2 | (abortion* or MTOP or VTOP or preabortion* or postabortion* or feticid* or foeticid* or ((interrup* or
terminat*) adj4 pregnan*) or (labo?r adj2 terminat*) or (menstrua* adj3 (induc* or regulat*))).ti,ab,kf. | 76673 |
| 3 | Abortifacient Agents/ or Abortifacient Agents, Nonsteroidal/ or Abortifacient Agents, Steroidal/ or
Menstruation-Inducing Agents/ or ((Carboprost/ or Dinoprost/ or Mifepristone/ or Misoprostol/ or
Methotrexate/) and (Abortion, Induced/ or Abortion, Eugenic/ or Abortion, Legal/ or Abortion, Missed/
or Abortion, Therapeutic/ or Abortion, Criminal/ or Abortion Applicants/)) | 5423 |
| 4 | (abortifacient* or ((mifepristone or misoprostol or methotrexate or dinoprost* or carboprost or
suprostone or gemeprost or meteneprost or illopristone or onapristone or epostane or oxytocin or
prostaglandin*) and (abortion* or "interruption of pregnancy" or "termination of pregnancy" or
pregnancy-termination*))).ti,ab,kf. | 5695 |
| 5 | "Dilatation and Curettage"/ or Vacuum Curettage/ | 3102 |
| 6 | ("D&C" or "D & C" or "D/C" or D&E or "D & E" or D&X or "D & X" or MVA or ((dilation or dilatation or
electric or gestation or manual or pregnan* or sharp or suction or surgical or uterine or uterus or
vacuum) adj3 (aspiration* or curettage or evacuation* or extraction or terminat*))).ti,ab,kf. | 360810 |
In line 14, I used the Create Date — the day the citation record is entered into Medline. This will capture everything published since July 23, 2019, but also anything published slightly before July 23 that was added at a later time.

**Embase**

I only have access to Embase through the Ovid platform. The search results followed a fairly similar pattern to what I could see in your previous Embase.com results. While I did not remove Medline articles in the search, I manually removed them afterward. In line 13, I removed one result that overlapped with the Medline results.

**Embase** 1974 to 2021 May 13 (Ovid Version)
In line 10, I limited the search using the Date Delivered field – which acts similarly to the Create Date in Ovid Medline.

### EBM Reviews

**EBM Reviews - Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials** April 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Searches</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(abortion* or MTOP or VTOP or preabortion* or postabortion* or feticid* or foeticid* or ((interrup* or terminat*) adj4 pregnan*) or (menstrua* adj3 (induc* or regulat*))).ti,ab.</td>
<td>5027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>((dilation or dilatation or electric or gestation or manual or pregnan* or sharp or suction or surgical or uterine or uterus or vacuum) adj3 (aspiration* or curettage or evacuation* or extraction or terminat*)).ti,ab.</td>
<td>3551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 or 2</td>
<td>6719</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 4 | (alloimmun* or allo-immun* or anti-D or anti-Rh or anti-RhD or ((Fetomaternal or feto-maternal or foetomaternal or foeto-maternal or transplacental or trans-placental) adj3 (haemorrhag* or hemorrhag* or transfusion)) or ((Rh or RhD or "Rho(D)" or "Rho (D)" or "Rh o (D)" or RhlG or Rhesus) adj5 (hemoly* or haemoly* or incompat* or immun* or immunoglobulin* or immune-globulin or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobulin* or immunoglobul
immunoprophylaxis or immuno-prophylaxis or isoantibod* or isoimmun* or iso immun* or negative or prophyla* or sensiti*))).ti,ab.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(WinRho or Rhogam).ti,ab.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4 or 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3 and 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No new results

POPLINE
Unfortunately, this database was retired in September 2019, so I was unable to search it.

ClinicalTrials.gov
Broken down into 2 searches (to fit the character limit):

(abortion OR interruption OR termination OR aspiration OR extraction OR curettage OR evacuation) AND (alloimmun* OR allo-immun* OR anti-D OR anti-Rh OR Fetomaternal OR foetomaternal OR foeto-maternal OR RhD OR Rho(D) OR RhIG)

(abortion OR interruption OR termination OR aspiration OR extraction OR curettage OR evacuation) AND (Rhesus OR immun* OR isoantibod* OR isoimmun* OR iso-immun* OR WinRho OR Rhogam)

Found 1 result:
https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04701034?cond=%28abortion+OR+interruption+OR+termination+OR+aspiration+OR+extraction+OR+curettage+OR+evacuation%29+AND+%28alloimmun%29+OR+allo-immun%29+OR+anti-D+OR+anti-Rh+OR+Fetomaternal+OR+foetomaternal+OR+foeto-maternal+OR+RhD+OR+Rho(D)+OR+RhIG

WHO ICTRP
Searching in the Title field:

anti AND alloimmun* OR allo-immun* OR anti-D OR anti-Rh OR anti-RhD OR Fetomaternal OR fetomaternal OR foetomaternal OR foeto-maternal OR Rh OR RhD OR Rho OR RhIG OR Rhesus

Results:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main ID</th>
<th>Public Title</th>
<th>Date of Registration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NCT04734379</td>
<td>Rho Kinase (ROCK) Inhibitor in Tauopathies - 1</td>
<td>2021-01-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT04682912</td>
<td>Blood Types in Children With COVID-19</td>
<td>2020-12-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT04600674</td>
<td>Delayed Cord Clamping in Rhesus Disease of the Newborn</td>
<td>2020-10-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPRN-jRCT2052200064</td>
<td>Effectiveness and Safety of RH-01 in Patients with Cardiac Disease and Indication of Cardiac Rehabilitation: A Multicenter Parallel-group Randomized Control Trial</td>
<td>2020-10-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT04484896</td>
<td>Message Framing on Recruiting Rh-D Negative Blood Donors</td>
<td>2020-07-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChiCTR2000033786</td>
<td>Effect and mechanism of micro negative pressure therapy on burn wound: a randomized controlled trial.</td>
<td>2020-06-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT04364308</td>
<td>The Relationship Between Umbilical Cord ph and Feto-maternal Doppler Studies in Scheduled Nonlaboring Term Singleton Caesarean Deliveries</td>
<td>2020-04-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT04556188</td>
<td>The Clinical Influence of Developing a Sustainable</td>
<td>2020-03-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Trial ID</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Enrollment Start Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT04285398</td>
<td><strong>Cardiac Surgery Service to Reduce the Burden of Rheumatic Heart Disease in Sub-Saharan Africa</strong></td>
<td>2020-02-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT04234932</td>
<td><strong>Prospective Natural History Study of Retinitis Pigmentosa</strong></td>
<td>2020-01-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT04156893</td>
<td><strong>Short-term Effect of Rho-kinase Inhibitor on Retinal Circulation</strong></td>
<td>2019-11-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT04156906</td>
<td><strong>RH Genotype Matched RBC Transfusions</strong></td>
<td>2019-11-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT04120883</td>
<td><strong>RHD Genotype Matching for Anti-D</strong></td>
<td>2019-10-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT04123626</td>
<td><strong>Oral Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) for Retinitis Pigmentosa Caused by P23H-Rhodopsin (RHO)</strong></td>
<td>2019-10-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>A Study to Evaluate the Safety and Tolerability of QR-1123 in Subjects With Autosomal Dominant Retinitis Pigmentosa Due to the P23H Mutation in the RHO Gene</strong></td>
<td>2019-10-01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Google Scholar was not repeated in the updated search, given it is not a database.
Citation
Michelle Chan, Caron Kim, Roopan Gill. A systematic review: Rh isoimmunization in unsensitized Rh negative individuals seeking abortion <12 weeks. PROSPERO 2020 CRD42020149073 Available from: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_record.php?ID=CRD42020149073

Review question
Among women who undergo an abortion, medical or surgical, what is the rate of isoimmunization in subsequent pregnancy.

Searches
Run on 23 July 2019 by Fertility Regulation Group Information Specialist, Robin Paynter (MLIS), conducted a search for all published, unpublished, and ongoing studies, without restrictions on language or publication status.

The following databases from their inception:
- EBM Reviews Ovid - Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL)
- MEDLINE Ovid (Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations and Daily)
- Embase.com
- Popline https://www.popline.org/advancedsearch
- Google Scholar

The following trials registries:
- The World Health Organization International Clinical Trials Registry Platform www.who.int/trialsearch

Types of study to be included
Types of studies:
Included: Primary studies including RCTs, controlled trials, cohort studies, case-control studies
Excluded: Case series, review articles, editorials, letters, advisories, non-comparative studies, unpublished manuscripts, conference abstracts, diagnostic studies, animal studies, cost-benefit analysis, study with basic science outcomes
- Date cut off: nothing before 1971 *WHO recommended in this year to its nations that Rh testing and treatment with immune globulin be made part of the standard protocol of medical care for pregnant women
- Non-English publications

Condition or domain being studied
In most countries anti-D Immunoglobulin G is provided for rhesus (Rh)-negative patients. The administration
of Rh-immunoglobulin was first introduced in 1968 and significantly reduced immunization to D-antigen (Fiala 2003). There is sparse evidence for such intervention after abortion in early pregnancy (Fiala 2003). Early abortion includes threatened, spontaneous, surgical or medical abortion.

Currently, the WHO recommends Rh testing and administration of anti-D in populations with higher prevalence of Rh-negative individuals. Recently, the National Abortion Federation of North America published a statement that women presenting for early abortion can forego Rh testing and anti-D immunoglobulin administration (Mark 2019).

**Participants/population**
Reproductive aged women who have recently undergone surgical or medical management of pregnancy <12 weeks (includes induced, incomplete, spontaneous, or septic abortion)

**Intervention(s), exposure(s)**

**Intervention:**
Routine administration of Anti-D

**Comparator(s)/control**
Comparisons:

No administration of Anti-D

**Context**
Global setting

**Main outcome(s)**

**Primary outcomes**

1. Development of a positive Kleihauer Betke test (a test that detects fetal cells in the maternal blood).

2. Development of RhD alloimmunisation in a subsequent pregnancy.

**Measures of effect**
As defined by studies

**Additional outcome(s)**
Secondary outcomes

1. Detection of atypical blood group antibodies by positive indirect Coombs test after six months of exposure (non-prespecified outcome).

2. Need for increased surveillance for suspected fetal blood sampling and fetal transfusions in subsequent pregnancies.

3. Neonatal morbidity such as neonatal anaemia, jaundice, bilirubin encephalopathy, erythroblastosis, prematurity, hypoglycaemia (low blood sugar) in subsequent pregnancies.

4. Maternal adverse events of anti-D administration including anaphylactic reaction

**Measures of effect**
As defined by studies

**Data extraction (selection and coding)**
With less than 20 articles, all data extraction will be performed by Dr. Michelle Chan. Data extraction will be
obtained directly from the original published article. Recording of information for the data abstraction will occur in a table format, with the following headings. (1) Author, Year, Funding (2) Study Design, location, year(s) of data collection, follow-up (3) Population (4) Intervention (5) Comparison (6) Results (7) Strengths (8) Weaknesses (9) Risk of Bias

Risk of bias (quality) assessment
Risk of bias quality assessment will be addressed with criteria depending on study design.

RCT - List of factors for RCT quality framework: Adequate randomization (computer generated); Allocation concealment (opaque sealed envelopes); Blinding of participants and personnel; Blinding of outcome assessment;

Objective measurement of outcome; Incomplete data/lost to follow-up; Small sample size (need to define the size); Power calculations by appropriate outcome measure.

Observational studies - List of factors for observational quality framework: Population, Participation and follow up rates as above; Defined exposure (esp with comparator); Exposure defining, duration, timing; Measurement of isoimmunization; Confounding issue

Strategy for data synthesis
Data synthesis will primarily be descriptive analysis based on the outcomes. Pooled data will be reviewed with the GRADE system.

Given the anticipated small number of articles, this review will be primarily narrative. A descriptive analysis will provide summary of the studies including: study location, years of data collection, follow-up, population, intervention, comparison, results, strengths and weaknesses. Risk of bias will also be included.

A broader summary and tables of primary outcomes:

1. Development of a positive Kleihauer Betke test (a test that detects fetal cells in the maternal blood).
2. Development of RhD alloimmunisation in a subsequent pregnancy.

and secondary outcomes:

1. Detection of atypical blood group antibodies by positive indirect Coombs test after six months of exposure (non?prespecified outcome).
2. Need for increased surveillance for suspected fetal blood sampling and fetal transfusions in subsequent pregnancies.
3. Neonatal morbidity such as neonatal anaemia, jaundice, bilirubin encephalopathy, erythroblastosis, prematurity, hypoglycaemia (low blood sugar) in subsequent pregnancies.
4. Maternal adverse events of anti?D administration including anaphylactic reaction

and secondary outcomes ( will also be included.

Analysis of subgroups or subsets
Not applicable

Contact details for further information
Michelle C Chan
michelle.c.chan@gmail.com

Organisational affiliation of the review
University of British Columbia/ World Health Organization, Department of Reproductive Health & Research
Review team members and their organisational affiliations
Dr Michelle Chan. University of British Columbia/ World Health Organization, Department of Reproductive Health & Research
Dr Caron Kim. World Health Organization, Department of Reproductive Health & Research
Dr Roopan Gill. World Health Organization, Department of Reproductive Health & Research

Collaborators
Ms Robyn Paynter. Cochrane Fertility Regulation Group Information Specialist, MLS

Type and method of review
Systematic review

Anticipated or actual start date
23 July 2019

Anticipated completion date
29 February 2020

Funding sources/sponsors
Not applicable, no funders

Conflicts of interest

Language
English

Country
Canada, Switzerland, United States of America

Stage of review
Review Ongoing

Subject index terms status
Subject indexing assigned by CRD

Subject index terms
Abortion, Induced; Abortion, Spontaneous; Female; Humans; Pregnancy; Rh Isoimmunization

Date of registration in PROSPERO
28 April 2020

Date of first submission
30 August 2019

Stage of review at time of this submission

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Started</th>
<th>Completed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary searches</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piloting of the study selection process</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formal screening of search results against eligibility criteria</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data extraction</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk of bias (quality) assessment</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data analysis</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The record owner confirms that the information they have supplied for this submission is accurate and complete and they understand that deliberate provision of inaccurate information or omission of data may be construed as scientific misconduct.

The record owner confirms that they will update the status of the review when it is completed and will add publication details in due course.
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