

Reasons for using telemedicine medical abortion in Mexico and Chile

With the exception of Cuba, Guyana, Uruguay and, recently, Mexico and Argentina, Latin America has extremely restrictive abortion policies and the prevalence of complications for unsafe abortion remains a major public health problem.¹ In the absence of a favourable legal climate, international organisations such as Women on Web (WoW) offer the possibility of anonymous and private termination of pregnancy with telemedicine counselling and provision.²

In 2019, we conducted a mixed methods study of two countries with different legal frameworks; at the time of the study, only Mexico City offered legal abortion services, and in Chile abortion is valid under three circumstances and with the approval of a doctor. Our main motivation for studying these two countries was to explore the similarities and differences in the factors that influence Mexican and Chilean pregnant individuals' decision to have an abortion and request the WoW service. In total, we analysed 435 Mexican and 241 Chilean requests from the WoW closed-ended consultation form, and 14 follow-up surveys that included open-ended questions. On the consultation form, the reasons for terminating a pregnancy were voluntary childlessness, current work or study situation, and being in a precarious economic situation, while social stigma and lack of legal abortion access were the reasons for contacting the telemedical service offered by WoW in both countries. At the follow-up, all Mexican participants stated that in Mexico City the health system does not support abortion and there is a lot of misinformation and stigmatisation surrounding this issue. In the case of Chile, participants stated that the framework of illegality was the main motivation for choosing WoW as this platform facilitates access to a private and safe service. These issues are in line with similar studies done in both countries.^{3,4}

We acknowledge that although all the participants gave consent, this was due to their need to access a medical abortion, so they had to agree to the terms of use on the WoW consultation form that included their consent to the anonymised use of their data for research purposes.

Some limitations of this study are that only 14 individuals decided to participate in the follow-up, and while the reasons were unknown this could include sensitivity

about the topic of abortion. Furthermore, the WoW consultation forms could have limited and generalised participants' answers, therefore we addressed this issue in the follow-up in which participants could freely express their reasons. Lastly, a large proportion of pregnant individuals did not proceed to access medical abortion pills through WoW, and while the reasons for this were not explored, in Mexico this could be because WoW provided information about local networks that can facilitate medical abortion in Mexico City.

The suggestions emerging from this study lead us to think that access to abortion cannot be understood only in terms of availability of services through public policy and telemedical options. Rather we have to think of abortion access also in connection with a decision-making process that, it seems, cannot be separated from the socio-cultural context of each individual seeking an abortion.

Our invitation is to first, in the context of telemedicine, further explore what factors influence individuals who contact WoW to receive the pills and terminate their pregnancy or instead abandon the process. Second, we invite researchers, policymakers and social movements to design research, policies and interventions that do not limit access to abortion to the legal framework, but rather incorporate the social and cultural environments as determinants in the abortion decision-making process and take steps to change the culture of stigma that so many countries report.

Monserrat Vasquez Ladron de Guevara ¹,
Onaedo Ilozumba ²,
Karin Rebecka Brandell ³,
Kristina Gemzell-Danielsson,³
Rebecca Gomperts⁴

¹Athena Institute, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

²Institute of Applied Health Research, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

³Department of Womens' and Children's Health, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden

⁴Women on Web, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Correspondence to Monserrat Vasquez Ladron de Guevara, Athena Institute, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, 1065 HP Amsterdam, Noord-Holland, The Netherlands; m.vasquezladrondeguevara@vu.nl

Acknowledgements I would like to thanks the research group and the participants for trusting me, and my friends Pilar, Friedericke, Kali, Joachim and Els for all the support during this work.

Contributors MVLdG conceived the original research question, conducted the statistical analyses and prepared the tables and figures, and wrote the first

draft of the manuscript. OI supervised the design, analysis and contributed to it. MVLdG and OI contributed to the initial data interpretation. RG provided the de-identified data.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval This study involved human participants and was approved by the Ethics Committee at Karolinska Institutet (Dnr 2009/2072-31/2). Participants gave informed consent prior to participating in the study.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.



Published Online First 22 December 2021

BMJ Sex Reprod Health 2022;**48**:233.
doi:10.1136/bmjshr-2021-201280

ORCID iDs

Monserrat Vasquez Ladron de Guevara
<http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7312-9110>
Onaedo Ilozumba <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4951-9631>
Karin Rebecka Brandell <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0548-3374>

REFERENCES

- Romero M, Gomez Ponce de Leon R, Baccaro LF, *et al.* Abortion-related morbidity in six Latin American and Caribbean countries: findings of the WHO/HRP multi-country survey on abortion (MCS-A). *BMJ Glob Health* 2021;6:e005618.
- Women on Web (WoW). Who we are, 2021. Available: <https://www.womenonweb.org/en/page/521/who-we-are>
- Larrea S, Hidalgo C, Jacques-Aviñó C, *et al.* "No one should be alone in living this process": trajectories, experiences and user's perceptions about quality of abortion care in a telehealth service in Chile. *Sex Reprod Health Matters* 2021;29:1948953.
- Schiavon R, Troncoso E. Inequalities in access to and quality of abortion services in Mexico: can task-sharing be an opportunity to increase legal and safe abortion care? *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 2020;150 Suppl 1:25–33.