

## Bone mineral density in progestogen-only implant and pill users with amenorrhoea: a pilot study

Although there is good evidence that the progestogen-only injectable is associated with a decrease in bone mineral density (BMD), there is a lack of data pertaining to lower dose progestogen-only methods.<sup>1,2</sup> The recent guidance from the Clinical Effectiveness Unit of the Faculty of Sexual and Reproductive Healthcare advises that current evidence is too limited to confirm or exclude an association between implant use and a reduction in BMD.<sup>3</sup>


Amenorrhoea results from suppression of ovarian follicle growth and of oestrogen secretion.<sup>4</sup> It is therefore possible that amenorrhoeic women using any systemic progestogen-only contraception that suppresses ovarian activity may be more at risk of low BMD, compared with users who are continuing to experience ovarian activity and menstruation (and are less likely to be hypoestrogenic). These women may be at greater risk of BMD loss than those who experience bleeding.

In order to examine the relationship between bleeding patterns, circulating oestradiol levels and BMD among users of the implant and progestogen-only pill (POP), we designed a small pilot study. We recruited four groups: women using for a minimum of 1 year a progestogen-only implant (68 mg etonogestrel) with (1) amenorrhoea (n=22) and (2) bleeding (at least one bleed every 8 weeks) (n=22);

and women using the POP (75 µg desogestrel) with (3) amenorrhoea (n=12) and (4) bleeding (n=4). We conducted a single serum oestradiol level analysis and a single dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry BMD scan.

Results are detailed in table 1. Demographically the groups were very similar, with the important exception that the median age of implant users was 28 years, in contrast to a median age of 40 for POP users. For implant users the proportion with a low BMD (T-score of < -1.0) was higher in the amenorrhoea group than the bleeding group, but results did not reach statistical significance. Small numbers recruited in the desogestrel with bleeding group made comparisons between desogestrel users difficult. Median serum oestradiol levels were generally lower in the amenorrhoea than bleeding groups, but results were not of statistical significance (potentially because of the small sample size).

Despite small numbers and recruitment challenges, our results raise the possibility that amenorrhoea in users of progestogen-only contraception (with the exception of levonorgestrel intrauterine devices) may be a marker of hypoestrogenism and possibly of low BMD, in a similar way to the injectable.<sup>2,5</sup> The impact of progestogen-only contraception on BMD of users is clinically important. Although our pilot study had limitations and findings were not of statistical significance, we feel that this adds support for the need for robust clinical trials to explore this further.

Rebecca L Heller <sup>1</sup>, Sharon Cameron <sup>1,2</sup>, Anne Johnstone,<sup>2</sup> Rosemary Cochrane,<sup>1</sup> Anna Glasier<sup>2</sup>

**Table 1** Results of pilot study

Contraceptive method	Bleeding pattern	N=	Numbers with low BMD (osteopenia/osteoporosis)	Mean serum oestradiol (range): pmol/L
Implant	Amenorrhoea	22	7	207(<50–1214)
	Bleeding	22	3	257 (67–917)
POP	Amenorrhoea	24	10	145 (<50–259)
	Bleeding	4	4	406 (<50–653)

BMD, bone mineral density.

<sup>1</sup>Chalmers Centre for Sexual and Reproductive Health, NHS Lothian, Edinburgh, UK

<sup>2</sup>University of Edinburgh Division of Health Sciences, Edinburgh, UK

**Correspondence to** Dr Rebecca L Heller, Chalmers Centre for Sexual and Reproductive Health, NHS Lothian, Edinburgh, UK; rlheller@gmail.com

**Contributors** SC and AG came up with the original research design and hypothesis. RC, AJ and RH were involved in patient recruitment. RH collated the data and wrote the final paper, with assistance from SC.

**Funding** Funding was obtained from the NHS Lothian Family Planning Grant. There were no grant numbers.

**Competing interests** None declared.

**Patient and public involvement** Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, conduct, reporting or dissemination plans of this research.

**Patient consent for publication** Not required.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.



BMJ Sex Reprod Health 2021;0:1.  
doi:10.1136/bmjshr-2021-201184

### ORCID iDs

Rebecca L Heller <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3584-0141>

Sharon Cameron <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1168-2276>

### REFERENCES

- Lopez LM, Chen M, Mullins Long S, *et al*. Steroidal contraceptives and bone fractures in women: evidence from observational studies. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015:CD009849.
- Curtis KM, Martins SL. Progestogen-only contraception and bone mineral density: a systematic review. *Contraception* 2006;73:470–87.
- Faculty of Sexual and Reproductive Healthcare. *FSRH guideline: Progestogen-only implant*, 2021.
- Landgren B-M, Diczfalusy E. Hormonal effects of the 300 µg norethisterone (NET) minipill. *Contraception* 1980;21:87–113.
- Lopez LM, Grimes DA, Schulz KF, *et al*. Steroidal contraceptives: effect on bone fractures in women. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2014;21:CD006033..