Tables S4: Tables of methodological assessment

Category of	Made delegied coelies sidesis	Responses				
study designs	Methodological quality criteria	Yes	No	Can't tell	Comments	
Screening	S1. Are there clear research questions?	✓				
questions (for all	S2. Do the collected data allow to address the research questions?	✓				
types)	Further appraisal may not be feasible or appropriate when the answer is 'No' or 'Can't tell' to one or both screening que	estions.				
1. Qualitative	1.1. Is the qualitative approach appropriate to answer the research question?					
	1.2. Are the qualitative data collection methods adequate to address the research question?					
	1.3. Are the findings adequately derived from the data?					
	1.4. Is the interpretation of results sufficiently substantiated by data?					
	1.5. Is there coherence between qualitative data sources, collection, analysis and interpretation?					
2. Quantitative	2.1. Is randomization appropriately performed?					
randomized	2.2. Are the groups comparable at baseline?					
controlled trials	2.3. Are there complete outcome data?					
	2.4. Are outcome assessors blinded to the intervention provided?					
	2.5 Did the participants adhere to the assigned intervention?					
3. Quantitative	3.1. Are the participants representative of the target population?					
non-randomized	3.2. Are measurements appropriate regarding both the outcome and intervention (or exposure)?					
	3.3. Are there complete outcome data?					
	3.4. Are the confounders accounted for in the design and analysis?					
	3.5. During the study period, is the intervention administered (or exposure occurred) as intended?					
4. Quantitative	4.1. Is the sampling strategy relevant to address the research question?	<b>√</b>				
descriptive	4.2. Is the sample representative of the target population?		✓			
	4.3. Are the measurements appropriate?		<b>✓</b>		Didn't assess knowledge/ skills or follow-up measurements	
	4.4. Is the risk of nonresponse bias low?		✓		Voluntary participation	
	4.5. Is the statistical analysis appropriate to answer the research question?		✓			
5. Mixed methods	5.1. Is there an adequate rationale for using a mixed methods design to address the research question?					
	5.2. Are the different components of the study effectively integrated to answer the research question?					
	5.3. Are the outputs of the integration of qualitative and quantitative components adequately interpreted?					
	5.4. Are divergences and inconsistencies between quantitative and qualitative results adequately addressed?					
	5.5. Do the different components of the study adhere to the quality criteria of each tradition of the methods involved?					

Baker & Shears, 2010

Category of study designs	Methodological quality criteria	Responses					
		Yes	No	Can't tell	Comments		
Screening	S1. Are there clear research questions?	✓					
questions (for all	S2. Do the collected data allow to address the research questions?	✓					
types)	Further appraisal may not be feasible or appropriate when the answer is 'No' or 'Can't tell' to one or both screening q	uestions.					
1. Qualitative	1.1. Is the qualitative approach appropriate to answer the research question?	✓					
	1.2. Are the qualitative data collection methods adequate to address the research question?	✓					
	1.3. Are the findings adequately derived from the data?	✓					
	1.4. Is the interpretation of results sufficiently substantiated by data?	✓					
	1.5. Is there coherence between qualitative data sources, collection, analysis and interpretation?	✓					
2. Quantitative	2.1. Is randomization appropriately performed?						
randomized	2.2. Are the groups comparable at baseline?						
controlled trials	2.3. Are there complete outcome data?						
	2.4. Are outcome assessors blinded to the intervention provided?						
	2.5 Did the participants adhere to the assigned intervention?						
3. Quantitative	3.1. Are the participants representative of the target population?						
non-randomized	3.2. Are measurements appropriate regarding both the outcome and intervention (or exposure)?						
	3.3. Are there complete outcome data?						
	3.4. Are the confounders accounted for in the design and analysis?						
	3.5. During the study period, is the intervention administered (or exposure occurred) as intended?						
4. Quantitative	4.1. Is the sampling strategy relevant to address the research question?						
descriptive	4.2. Is the sample representative of the target population?						
	4.3. Are the measurements appropriate?						
	4.4. Is the risk of nonresponse bias low?						
	4.5. Is the statistical analysis appropriate to answer the research question?						
5. Mixed methods	5.1. Is there an adequate rationale for using a mixed methods design to address the research question?						
	5.2. Are the different components of the study effectively integrated to answer the research question?						
	5.3. Are the outputs of the integration of qualitative and quantitative components adequately interpreted?						
	5.4. Are divergences and inconsistencies between quantitative and qualitative results adequately addressed?						
	5.5. Do the different components of the study adhere to the quality criteria of each tradition of the methods involved?						

Castell & Stenfert Kroese, 2016

Category of study designs	Methodological quality criteria		Responses				
		Yes	No	Can't tell	Comments		
Screening	S1. Are there clear research questions?	✓					
questions (for all	S2. Do the collected data allow to address the research questions?	✓					
types)	Further appraisal may not be feasible or appropriate when the answer is 'No' or 'Can't tell' to one or both screening q	uestion	s.				
1. Qualitative	1.1. Is the qualitative approach appropriate to answer the research question?	✓					
	1.2. Are the qualitative data collection methods adequate to address the research question?	✓					
	1.3. Are the findings adequately derived from the data?	✓					
	1.4. Is the interpretation of results sufficiently substantiated by data?	✓					
	1.5. Is there coherence between qualitative data sources, collection, analysis and interpretation?	✓					
2. Quantitative	2.1. Is randomization appropriately performed?						
randomized	2.2. Are the groups comparable at baseline?						
controlled trials	2.3. Are there complete outcome data?						
	2.4. Are outcome assessors blinded to the intervention provided?						
	2.5 Did the participants adhere to the assigned intervention?						
3. Quantitative	3.1. Are the participants representative of the target population?	✓					
non-randomized	3.2. Are measurements appropriate regarding both the outcome and intervention (or exposure)?	✓					
	3.3. Are there complete outcome data?		<b>✓</b>		Approx. half of data missing so not included		
	3.4. Are the confounders accounted for in the design and analysis?	✓					
	3.5. During the study period, is the intervention administered (or exposure occurred) as intended?	✓					
4. Quantitative	4.1. Is the sampling strategy relevant to address the research question?						
descriptive	4.2. Is the sample representative of the target population?						
	4.3. Are the measurements appropriate?						
	4.4. Is the risk of nonresponse bias low?						
	4.5. Is the statistical analysis appropriate to answer the research question?						
5. Mixed methods	5.1. Is there an adequate rationale for using a mixed methods design to address the research question?	✓					
	5.2. Are the different components of the study effectively integrated to answer the research question?	<b>✓</b>	1				
	5.3. Are the outputs of the integration of qualitative and quantitative components adequately interpreted?	<b>✓</b>					
	5.4. Are divergences and inconsistencies between quantitative and qualitative results adequately addressed?	✓					
	5.5. Do the different components of the study adhere to the quality criteria of each tradition of the methods involved?	✓					

Dyer et al. 2014

Category of study designs	Made Advisor markets	Responses				
	Methodological quality criteria	Yes	No	Can't tell	Comments	
Screening	S1. Are there clear research questions?	✓				
questions (for all	S2. Do the collected data allow to address the research questions?	✓				
types)	Further appraisal may not be feasible or appropriate when the answer is 'No' or 'Can't tell' to one or both screening qu	iestions				
1. Qualitative	1.1. Is the qualitative approach appropriate to answer the research question?	✓				
	1.2. Are the qualitative data collection methods adequate to address the research question?	✓				
	1.3. Are the findings adequately derived from the data?	✓				
	1.4. Is the interpretation of results sufficiently substantiated by data?	✓				
	1.5. Is there coherence between qualitative data sources, collection, analysis and interpretation?	✓				
2. Quantitative	2.1. Is randomization appropriately performed?	✓				
randomized	2.2. Are the groups comparable at baseline?	✓				
controlled trials	2.3. Are there complete outcome data?	✓				
	2.4. Are outcome assessors blinded to the intervention provided?			✓		
	2.5 Did the participants adhere to the assigned intervention?			<b>√</b>	Intergroup discussion cannot be ruled out	
3. Quantitative	3.1. Are the participants representative of the target population?					
non-randomized	3.2. Are measurements appropriate regarding both the outcome and intervention (or exposure)?					
	3.3. Are there complete outcome data?					
	3.4. Are the confounders accounted for in the design and analysis?					
	3.5. During the study period, is the intervention administered (or exposure occurred) as intended?					
4. Quantitative	4.1. Is the sampling strategy relevant to address the research question?					
descriptive	4.2. Is the sample representative of the target population?					
	4.3. Are the measurements appropriate?					
	4.4. Is the risk of nonresponse bias low?					
	4.5. Is the statistical analysis appropriate to answer the research question?					
5. Mixed methods	5.1. Is there an adequate rationale for using a mixed methods design to address the research question?	<b>√</b>				
	5.2. Are the different components of the study effectively integrated to answer the research question?	<b>√</b>				
	5.3. Are the outputs of the integration of qualitative and quantitative components adequately interpreted?	<b>√</b>				
	5.4. Are divergences and inconsistencies between quantitative and qualitative results adequately addressed?	<b>√</b>				
	5.5. Do the different components of the study adhere to the quality criteria of each tradition of the methods involved?	<b>√</b>				

Fronek et al. 2010

Category of	Mathodological quality oritoria	Responses				
study designs	Methodological quality criteria	Yes	No	Can't tell	Comments	
Screening	S1. Are there clear research questions?	✓				
questions (for all	S2. Do the collected data allow to address the research questions?	✓				
types)	Further appraisal may not be feasible or appropriate when the answer is 'No' or 'Can't tell' to one or both screening q	uestions				
1. Qualitative	1.1. Is the qualitative approach appropriate to answer the research question?	✓				
	1.2. Are the qualitative data collection methods adequate to address the research question?	✓				
	1.3. Are the findings adequately derived from the data?	✓				
	1.4. Is the interpretation of results sufficiently substantiated by data?	✓				
	1.5. Is there coherence between qualitative data sources, collection, analysis and interpretation?	✓				
2. Quantitative	2.1. Is randomization appropriately performed?					
andomized	2.2. Are the groups comparable at baseline?					
controlled trials	2.3. Are there complete outcome data?					
	2.4. Are outcome assessors blinded to the intervention provided?					
	2.5 Did the participants adhere to the assigned intervention?					
3. Quantitative	3.1. Are the participants representative of the target population?	✓				
non-randomized	3.2. Are measurements appropriate regarding both the outcome and intervention (or exposure)?	✓				
	3.3. Are there complete outcome data?		<b>✓</b>		25% of data could not be matched so not included	
	3.4. Are the confounders accounted for in the design and analysis?	✓				
	3.5. During the study period, is the intervention administered (or exposure occurred) as intended?	✓				
l. Quantitative	4.1. Is the sampling strategy relevant to address the research question?					
descriptive	4.2. Is the sample representative of the target population?					
	4.3. Are the measurements appropriate?					
	4.4. Is the risk of nonresponse bias low?					
	4.5. Is the statistical analysis appropriate to answer the research question?					
5. Mixed methods	5.1. Is there an adequate rationale for using a mixed methods design to address the research question?	✓				
	5.2. Are the different components of the study effectively integrated to answer the research question?	<b>√</b>				
	5.3. Are the outputs of the integration of qualitative and quantitative components adequately interpreted?	✓				
	5.4. Are divergences and inconsistencies between quantitative and qualitative results adequately addressed?	✓				
	5.5. Do the different components of the study adhere to the quality criteria of each tradition of the methods involved?	<b>√</b>				

Higgins et al. 2012

Category of	Mothodological quality oritoria	Responses					
study designs	Methodological quality criteria	Yes	No	Can't tell	Comments		
Screening	S1. Are there clear research questions?	✓					
questions (for all	S2. Do the collected data allow to address the research questions?	✓					
types)	Further appraisal may not be feasible or appropriate when the answer is 'No' or 'Can't tell' to one or both screening q	uestions.					
1. Qualitative	1.1. Is the qualitative approach appropriate to answer the research question?	✓					
	1.2. Are the qualitative data collection methods adequate to address the research question?	✓					
	1.3. Are the findings adequately derived from the data?	✓					
	1.4. Is the interpretation of results sufficiently substantiated by data?	✓					
	1.5. Is there coherence between qualitative data sources, collection, analysis and interpretation?	✓					
2. Quantitative	2.1. Is randomization appropriately performed?						
randomized	2.2. Are the groups comparable at baseline?						
controlled trials	2.3. Are there complete outcome data?						
	2.4. Are outcome assessors blinded to the intervention provided?						
	2.5 Did the participants adhere to the assigned intervention?						
3. Quantitative	3.1. Are the participants representative of the target population?						
non-randomized	3.2. Are measurements appropriate regarding both the outcome and intervention (or exposure)?						
	3.3. Are there complete outcome data?						
	3.4. Are the confounders accounted for in the design and analysis?						
	3.5. During the study period, is the intervention administered (or exposure occurred) as intended?						
4. Quantitative	4.1. Is the sampling strategy relevant to address the research question?						
Descriptive	4.2. Is the sample representative of the target population?						
	4.3. Are the measurements appropriate?						
	4.4. Is the risk of nonresponse bias low?						
	4.5. Is the statistical analysis appropriate to answer the research question?						
5. Mixed methods	5.1. Is there an adequate rationale for using a mixed methods design to address the research question?						
	5.2. Are the different components of the study effectively integrated to answer the research question?						
	5.3. Are the outputs of the integration of qualitative and quantitative components adequately interpreted?						
	5.4. Are divergences and inconsistencies between quantitative and qualitative results adequately addressed?						
	5.5. Do the different components of the study adhere to the quality criteria of each tradition of the methods involved?						

Höglund & Larrson, 2019

Category of study designs	Methodological quality criteria	Responses				
		Yes	No	Can't tell	Comments	
Screening	S1. Are there clear research questions?	✓				
questions (for all	S2. Do the collected data allow to address the research questions?	✓				
types)	Further appraisal may not be feasible or appropriate when the answer is 'No' or 'Can't tell' to one or both screening q	uestions	S.			
1. Qualitative	1.1. Is the qualitative approach appropriate to answer the research question?					
	1.2. Are the qualitative data collection methods adequate to address the research question?					
	1.3. Are the findings adequately derived from the data?					
	1.4. Is the interpretation of results sufficiently substantiated by data?					
	1.5. Is there coherence between qualitative data sources, collection, analysis and interpretation?					
2. Quantitative	2.1. Is randomization appropriately performed?					
randomized	2.2. Are the groups comparable at baseline?					
controlled trials	2.3. Are there complete outcome data?					
	2.4. Are outcome assessors blinded to the intervention provided?					
	2.5 Did the participants adhere to the assigned intervention?					
3. Quantitative	3.1. Are the participants representative of the target population?					
non-randomized	3.2. Are measurements appropriate regarding both the outcome and intervention (or exposure)?					
	3.3. Are there complete outcome data?					
	3.4. Are the confounders accounted for in the design and analysis?					
	3.5. During the study period, is the intervention administered (or exposure occurred) as intended?					
4. Quantitative	4.1. Is the sampling strategy relevant to address the research question?	$\checkmark$				
descriptive	4.2. Is the sample representative of the target population?		✓		Demographics relatively homogeneous	
	4.3. Are the measurements appropriate?	✓				
	4.4. Is the risk of nonresponse bias low?		✓			
	4.5. Is the statistical analysis appropriate to answer the research question?	✓				
5. Mixed methods	5.1. Is there an adequate rationale for using a mixed methods design to address the research question?					
	5.2. Are the different components of the study effectively integrated to answer the research question?					
	5.3. Are the outputs of the integration of qualitative and quantitative components adequately interpreted?					
	5.4. Are divergences and inconsistencies between quantitative and qualitative results adequately addressed?					
	5.5. Do the different components of the study adhere to the quality criteria of each tradition of the methods involved?					

Kazukauskas & Lam, 2009

Category of	Mathadalasiaal suulitu suitasia		Responses					
study designs	Methodological quality criteria	Yes	No	Can't tell	Comments			
Screening	S1. Are there clear research questions?	✓						
questions (for all	S2. Do the collected data allow to address the research questions?	✓						
types)	Further appraisal may not be feasible or appropriate when the answer is 'No' or 'Can't tell' to one or both screening q	uestion	S.					
1. Qualitative	1.1. Is the qualitative approach appropriate to answer the research question?	✓						
	1.2. Are the qualitative data collection methods adequate to address the research question?	✓						
	1.3. Are the findings adequately derived from the data?	✓						
	1.4. Is the interpretation of results sufficiently substantiated by data?	✓						
	1.5. Is there coherence between qualitative data sources, collection, analysis and interpretation?	✓						
2. Quantitative	2.1. Is randomization appropriately performed?							
randomized	2.2. Are the groups comparable at baseline?							
controlled trials	2.3. Are there complete outcome data?							
	2.4. Are outcome assessors blinded to the intervention provided?							
	2.5 Did the participants adhere to the assigned intervention?							
3. Quantitative	3.1. Are the participants representative of the target population?							
non-randomized	3.2. Are measurements appropriate regarding both the outcome and intervention (or exposure)?							
	3.3. Are there complete outcome data?							
	3.4. Are the confounders accounted for in the design and analysis?							
	3.5. During the study period, is the intervention administered (or exposure occurred) as intended?							
4. Quantitative	4.1. Is the sampling strategy relevant to address the research question?							
descriptive	4.2. Is the sample representative of the target population?							
	4.3. Are the measurements appropriate?							
	4.4. Is the risk of nonresponse bias low?							
	4.5. Is the statistical analysis appropriate to answer the research question?							
5. Mixed methods	5.1. Is there an adequate rationale for using a mixed methods design to address the research question?							
	5.2. Are the different components of the study effectively integrated to answer the research question?							
	5.3. Are the outputs of the integration of qualitative and quantitative components adequately interpreted?							
	5.4. Are divergences and inconsistencies between quantitative and qualitative results adequately addressed?							
	5.5. Do the different components of the study adhere to the quality criteria of each tradition of the methods involved?							

Lee et al. 2015

Category of	Made delected coefficient	Responses				
study designs	Methodological quality criteria	Yes	No	Can't tell	Comments	
Screening	S1. Are there clear research questions?	<b>√</b>				
questions (for all	S2. Do the collected data allow to address the research questions?	✓				
types)	Further appraisal may not be feasible or appropriate when the answer is 'No' or 'Can't tell' to one or both screening que	estions.				
1. Qualitative	1.1. Is the qualitative approach appropriate to answer the research question?					
	1.2. Are the qualitative data collection methods adequate to address the research question?					
	1.3. Are the findings adequately derived from the data?					
	1.4. Is the interpretation of results sufficiently substantiated by data?					
	1.5. Is there coherence between qualitative data sources, collection, analysis and interpretation?					
2. Quantitative	2.1. Is randomization appropriately performed?					
randomized	2.2. Are the groups comparable at baseline?					
controlled trials	2.3. Are there complete outcome data?					
	2.4. Are outcome assessors blinded to the intervention provided?					
	2.5 Did the participants adhere to the assigned intervention?					
3. Quantitative	3.1. Are the participants representative of the target population?					
non-randomized	3.2. Are measurements appropriate regarding both the outcome and intervention (or exposure)?					
	3.3. Are there complete outcome data?					
	3.4. Are the confounders accounted for in the design and analysis?					
	3.5. During the study period, is the intervention administered (or exposure occurred) as intended?					
4. Quantitative	4.1. Is the sampling strategy relevant to address the research question?	<b>√</b>				
descriptive	4.2. Is the sample representative of the target population?		✓		Roughly 47% of sent surveys completed	
	4.3. Are the measurements appropriate?	✓				
	4.4. Is the risk of nonresponse bias low?		✓			
	4.5. Is the statistical analysis appropriate to answer the research question?	✓				
5. Mixed methods	5.1. Is there an adequate rationale for using a mixed methods design to address the research question?					
	5.2. Are the different components of the study effectively integrated to answer the research question?					
	5.3. Are the outputs of the integration of qualitative and quantitative components adequately interpreted?					
	5.4. Are divergences and inconsistencies between quantitative and qualitative results adequately addressed?					
	5.5. Do the different components of the study adhere to the quality criteria of each tradition of the methods involved?					

Molloy & Herold, 1985

Category of study designs	Methodological quality criteria		Responses				
		Yes	No	Can't tell	Comments		
Screening	S1. Are there clear research questions?	✓					
questions (for all	S2. Do the collected data allow to address the research questions?	✓					
types)	Further appraisal may not be feasible or appropriate when the answer is 'No' or 'Can't tell' to one or both screening q	uestion	S.				
1. Qualitative	1.1. Is the qualitative approach appropriate to answer the research question?						
	1.2. Are the qualitative data collection methods adequate to address the research question?						
	1.3. Are the findings adequately derived from the data?						
	1.4. Is the interpretation of results sufficiently substantiated by data?						
	1.5. Is there coherence between qualitative data sources, collection, analysis and interpretation?						
2. Quantitative	2.1. Is randomization appropriately performed?						
randomized	2.2. Are the groups comparable at baseline?						
controlled trials	2.3. Are there complete outcome data?						
	2.4. Are outcome assessors blinded to the intervention provided?						
	2.5 Did the participants adhere to the assigned intervention?						
3. Quantitative	3.1. Are the participants representative of the target population?						
non-randomized	3.2. Are measurements appropriate regarding both the outcome and intervention (or exposure)?						
	3.3. Are there complete outcome data?						
	3.4. Are the confounders accounted for in the design and analysis?						
	3.5. During the study period, is the intervention administered (or exposure occurred) as intended?						
4. Quantitative	4.1. Is the sampling strategy relevant to address the research question?	<b>✓</b>					
descriptive	4.2. Is the sample representative of the target population?		✓		Roughly 16% of sent surveys completed		
	4.3. Are the measurements appropriate?	✓					
	4.4. Is the risk of nonresponse bias low?		✓				
	4.5. Is the statistical analysis appropriate to answer the research question?	✓					
5. Mixed methods	5.1. Is there an adequate rationale for using a mixed methods design to address the research question?						
	5.2. Are the different components of the study effectively integrated to answer the research question?						
	5.3. Are the outputs of the integration of qualitative and quantitative components adequately interpreted?						
	5.4. Are divergences and inconsistencies between quantitative and qualitative results adequately addressed?						
	5.5. Do the different components of the study adhere to the quality criteria of each tradition of the methods involved?						

Murphy et al. 2015

Category of	Mathadalasiaal suralitu suitasia		Responses				
study designs	Methodological quality criteria	Yes	No	Can't tell	Comments		
Screening	S1. Are there clear research questions?	✓					
questions (for all	S2. Do the collected data allow to address the research questions?	✓					
types)	Further appraisal may not be feasible or appropriate when the answer is 'No' or 'Can't tell' to one or both screening q	uestions	<b>S.</b>				
1. Qualitative	1.1. Is the qualitative approach appropriate to answer the research question?	✓					
	1.2. Are the qualitative data collection methods adequate to address the research question?	✓					
	1.3. Are the findings adequately derived from the data?	✓					
	1.4. Is the interpretation of results sufficiently substantiated by data?	✓					
	1.5. Is there coherence between qualitative data sources, collection, analysis and interpretation?	✓					
2. Quantitative	2.1. Is randomization appropriately performed?						
randomized	2.2. Are the groups comparable at baseline?						
controlled trials	2.3. Are there complete outcome data?						
	2.4. Are outcome assessors blinded to the intervention provided?						
	2.5 Did the participants adhere to the assigned intervention?						
3. Quantitative	3.1. Are the participants representative of the target population?						
non-randomized	3.2. Are measurements appropriate regarding both the outcome and intervention (or exposure)?						
	3.3. Are there complete outcome data?						
	3.4. Are the confounders accounted for in the design and analysis?						
	3.5. During the study period, is the intervention administered (or exposure occurred) as intended?						
4. Quantitative	4.1. Is the sampling strategy relevant to address the research question?						
descriptive	4.2. Is the sample representative of the target population?						
	4.3. Are the measurements appropriate?						
	4.4. Is the risk of nonresponse bias low?						
	4.5. Is the statistical analysis appropriate to answer the research question?						
5. Mixed methods	5.1. Is there an adequate rationale for using a mixed methods design to address the research question?						
	5.2. Are the different components of the study effectively integrated to answer the research question?						
	5.3. Are the outputs of the integration of qualitative and quantitative components adequately interpreted?						
	5.4. Are divergences and inconsistencies between quantitative and qualitative results adequately addressed?						
	5.5. Do the different components of the study adhere to the quality criteria of each tradition of the methods involved?						

Ride & Newton, 2018

Category of study designs		Responses					
	Methodological quality criteria	Yes	No	Can't tell	Comments		
Screening	S1. Are there clear research questions?	✓					
questions (for all	S2. Do the collected data allow to address the research questions?	✓					
types)	Further appraisal may not be feasible or appropriate when the answer is 'No' or 'Can't tell' to one or both screening q	uestion	<b>S.</b>				
1. Qualitative	1.1. Is the qualitative approach appropriate to answer the research question?						
	1.2. Are the qualitative data collection methods adequate to address the research question?						
	1.3. Are the findings adequately derived from the data?						
	1.4. Is the interpretation of results sufficiently substantiated by data?						
	1.5. Is there coherence between qualitative data sources, collection, analysis and interpretation?						
2. Quantitative	2.1. Is randomization appropriately performed?						
randomized	2.2. Are the groups comparable at baseline?						
controlled trials	2.3. Are there complete outcome data?						
	2.4. Are outcome assessors blinded to the intervention provided?						
	2.5 Did the participants adhere to the assigned intervention?						
3. Quantitative	3.1. Are the participants representative of the target population?	✓					
non-randomized	3.2. Are measurements appropriate regarding both the outcome and intervention (or exposure)?	✓					
	3.3. Are there complete outcome data?		✓		54% drop-off rate between follow-ups		
	3.4. Are the confounders accounted for in the design and analysis?	✓					
	3.5. During the study period, is the intervention administered (or exposure occurred) as intended?		<b>✓</b>		Potential intergroup discussion		
4. Quantitative	4.1. Is the sampling strategy relevant to address the research question?						
descriptive	4.2. Is the sample representative of the target population?						
	4.3. Are the measurements appropriate?						
	4.4. Is the risk of nonresponse bias low?						
	4.5. Is the statistical analysis appropriate to answer the research question?						
5. Mixed methods	5.1. Is there an adequate rationale for using a mixed methods design to address the research question?						
	5.2. Are the different components of the study effectively integrated to answer the research question?						
	5.3. Are the outputs of the integration of qualitative and quantitative components adequately interpreted?						
	5.4. Are divergences and inconsistencies between quantitative and qualitative results adequately addressed?						
	5.5. Do the different components of the study adhere to the quality criteria of each tradition of the methods involved?						

Simpson et al. 2006

Category of study designs	Methodological quality criteria	Responses						
		Yes	No	Can't tell	Comments			
Screening questions (for all types)	S1. Are there clear research questions?	✓						
	S2. Do the collected data allow to address the research questions?	✓						
	Further appraisal may not be feasible or appropriate when the answer is 'No' or 'Can't tell' to one or both screening questions.							
1. Qualitative	1.1. Is the qualitative approach appropriate to answer the research question?	✓						
	1.2. Are the qualitative data collection methods adequate to address the research question?	✓						
	1.3. Are the findings adequately derived from the data?	✓						
	1.4. Is the interpretation of results sufficiently substantiated by data?	✓						
	1.5. Is there coherence between qualitative data sources, collection, analysis and interpretation?	✓						
2. Quantitative randomized controlled trials	2.1. Is randomization appropriately performed?							
	2.2. Are the groups comparable at baseline?							
	2.3. Are there complete outcome data?							
	2.4. Are outcome assessors blinded to the intervention provided?							
	2.5 Did the participants adhere to the assigned intervention?							
3. Quantitative non-randomized	3.1. Are the participants representative of the target population?							
	3.2. Are measurements appropriate regarding both the outcome and intervention (or exposure)?							
	3.3. Are there complete outcome data?							
	3.4. Are the confounders accounted for in the design and analysis?							
	3.5. During the study period, is the intervention administered (or exposure occurred) as intended?							
4. Quantitative descriptive	4.1. Is the sampling strategy relevant to address the research question?							
	4.2. Is the sample representative of the target population?							
	4.3. Are the measurements appropriate?							
	4.4. Is the risk of nonresponse bias low?							
	4.5. Is the statistical analysis appropriate to answer the research question?							
5. Mixed methods	5.1. Is there an adequate rationale for using a mixed methods design to address the research question?							
	5.2. Are the different components of the study effectively integrated to answer the research question?							
	5.3. Are the outputs of the integration of qualitative and quantitative components adequately interpreted?							
	5.4. Are divergences and inconsistencies between quantitative and qualitative results adequately addressed?							
	5.5. Do the different components of the study adhere to the quality criteria of each tradition of the methods involved?							

Smith et al. 2004

Category of study designs	Methodological quality criteria		Responses				
		Yes	No	Can't tell	Comments		
Screening questions (for all types)	S1. Are there clear research questions?	✓					
	S2. Do the collected data allow to address the research questions?	✓					
	Further appraisal may not be feasible or appropriate when the answer is 'No' or 'Can't tell' to one or both screening q	uestion	S.				
1. Qualitative	1.1. Is the qualitative approach appropriate to answer the research question?	✓					
	1.2. Are the qualitative data collection methods adequate to address the research question?	✓					
	1.3. Are the findings adequately derived from the data?	✓					
	1.4. Is the interpretation of results sufficiently substantiated by data?	✓					
	1.5. Is there coherence between qualitative data sources, collection, analysis and interpretation?	✓					
2. Quantitative randomized	2.1. Is randomization appropriately performed?						
	2.2. Are the groups comparable at baseline?						
controlled trials	2.3. Are there complete outcome data?						
	2.4. Are outcome assessors blinded to the intervention provided?						
	2.5 Did the participants adhere to the assigned intervention?						
3. Quantitative non-randomized	3.1. Are the participants representative of the target population?						
	3.2. Are measurements appropriate regarding both the outcome and intervention (or exposure)?						
	3.3. Are there complete outcome data?						
	3.4. Are the confounders accounted for in the design and analysis?						
	3.5. During the study period, is the intervention administered (or exposure occurred) as intended?						
4. Quantitative descriptive	4.1. Is the sampling strategy relevant to address the research question?						
	4.2. Is the sample representative of the target population?						
	4.3. Are the measurements appropriate?						
	4.4. Is the risk of nonresponse bias low?						
	4.5. Is the statistical analysis appropriate to answer the research question?						
5. Mixed methods	5.1. Is there an adequate rationale for using a mixed methods design to address the research question?						
	5.2. Are the different components of the study effectively integrated to answer the research question?						
	5.3. Are the outputs of the integration of qualitative and quantitative components adequately interpreted?						
	5.4. Are divergences and inconsistencies between quantitative and qualitative results adequately addressed?						
	5.5. Do the different components of the study adhere to the quality criteria of each tradition of the methods involved?						

Thompson et al. 2014